

IL EE Stakeholder Advisory Group

IPA TRC Subcommittee

Meeting #1

Tuesday, February 17th, 2015

10:30 am – 4:30pm

MEEA (20 N. Wacker Drive, Ste 1301, Chicago)

Call-In Number: 760-569-6000; access code 844452#

Webinar registration: <https://attendeegotowebinar.com/register/5235403045314145026>

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
10:30 – 10:45	Opening and Introductions	Annette Beitel, EE SAG Facilitator
10:45 – 12:30	DRIPE <i>Goals:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review issues as set forth in Comparison Exhibit.• What are the differences and why?• Can we narrow any differences?• Determine whether the DRIPE Comparison Exhibit is complete.	Paul Chernick, Resource Insight, on behalf of NRDC; Scott Fisher, NorthBridge Group, on behalf of ComEd; David Brightwell, ICC Staff
12:30 – 1:00	Lunch	
1:00 – 1:20	DRIPE Adoption/Rejection by Other Jurisdictions <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review/analysis of why other jurisdictions did/did not adopt DRIPE. Purpose: To educate Subcommittee.	Roger Baker, ComEd
1:20 – 3:00	DRIPE (cont.) <i>Goals:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clearly identify all differences of opinion that relate to DRIPE (as set forth in Comparison Exhibit);• Identify rationale for each side;• Narrow differences; and/or• Eliminate points of contention where possible. Purpose: Meeting will result in an updated DRIPE Comparison Exhibit.	Paul Chernick, Resource Insight, on behalf of NRDC; Scott Fisher, NorthBridge Group, on behalf of ComEd; David Brightwell, ICC Staff
3:00 – 4:25	Additional TRC Issues	Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group on

	<p><i>Threshold Issue:</i> Whether the IPA should perform its own TRC analysis of the IPA program bids (instead of reviewing the TRC analysis files supplied by the utilities).</p> <p><i>Non-Energy Benefits:</i> Overview of Proposal and Issues – Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group, on behalf of NRDC</p> <p><i>Marginal Line Losses:</i> Ameren IL to explain use of average vs. marginal line losses (ComEd uses marginal) – Keith Goerss, Ameren IL</p> <p><i>Administrative Costs:</i> How will admin costs be tracked going forward, by program? – Ameren IL and ComEd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Additional question:</i> How should administrative costs be determined when there is no data on a previous program? (Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group on behalf of NRDC) <p><i>Goals:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brief discussion of issues; • Identify differences and rational for differences; and • Explore ways to narrow or eliminate differences. <p>SAG ACT: If helpful, prepare a Comparison Exhibit for each issue.</p>	<p>behalf of NRDC</p> <p>Keith Goerss, Ameren IL</p>
4:20 – 4:30	Closing	Annette Beitel

Meeting Materials

- IPA TRC Subcommittee Plan
- DRIPE Comparison Exhibit
- DRIPE Update presentation (Paul Chernick, Resource Insight, on behalf of NRDC) – from January 27th SAG meeting
- DRIPE presentation (Scott Fisher, NorthBridge Group, on behalf of ComEd) – from January 27th SAG meeting
- DRIPE presentation (David Brightwell, ICC Staff)
- DRIPE Adoption/Rejection by Other Jurisdictions (Roger Baker, ComEd)

- NEBs presentation – Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group, on behalf of NRDC
- Administrative Costs presentation – Keith Goerss, Ameren IL