

IL EE Stakeholder Advisory Group: CHP Subcommittee Meeting #7

Tuesday, January 13th, 2015

12:00 – 1:00 pm

**Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (MEEA)
20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1301**

Call in: 760-569-6000; Access Code 844452#

Attendee List

Annette Beitel, SAG Facilitator

Celia Johnson, SAG Senior Policy Analyst

Roger Baker, ComEd

Chris Vaughn, Nicor Gas

Keith Goerss, Ameren IL

Cheryl Miller, Ameren IL

Pat M, Peoples Gas-North Shore Gas

Koby Bailey, Peoples Gas-North Shore Gas

Cliff Haefke, ERC/UIC

Stefano Galiasso, ERC/UIC

Mike Brandt, ComEd

Pat Sharkey, Environmental Law Counsel / MCA

Jim Jerozal, Nicor Gas

Steve Baab, ComEd

Tim McAvoy, Verde Solutions

Ted Weaver, First Tracks Consulting, on behalf of Nicor Gas

Hammad Chaudhry, Nicor Gas

Jim Fay, ComEd

James Gowen, ADM Energy

Shraddha Raikar, ERC/UIC

Phil Mosenthal, Optimal Energy, on behalf of IL AG

Travis Hinck, GDS Associates

Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group, on behalf of NRDC

Doug Dougherty, GEO Exchange

George Roemer, Franklin Energy

Jennifer Morris, ICC Staff

Steve Baab, ComEd

Charley Budd, Navigant

Rob Neumann, Navigant

John Cuttica, ERC/UIC

Cheryl Jenkins, VEIC

Jim Zolnierak, ICC Staff

Paul Pierre-Louis, DCEO

Jim Jerozal, Nicor Gas

Ali Al-Jabir, Brubaker & Associates, on behalf of IIEC

Meeting Notes

Action Items / Follow-up highlighted in yellow.

CHP Savings Presentation – Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group; Stefano Galiasso, ERC/UIC

- Keith Goerss, Ameren IL: Ameren IL will have an issue with a project of this size without having savings deemed up-front, if a CHP measure is offered. What kind of NTG will this get?
 - Steve Baab, ComEd: May use an upfront reservation system.
 - Ted Weaver: Related to NTG, does it make sense to discuss this later?
- How are the savings examples defined?
 - EEPS participants / utilities only. Chris Neme will update the draft CHP TRM Workpaper and re-send. Celia will circulate for review/comment (participants will have 10 Business Days to review).
 - Evaluators will review/comment in writing on the proposed draft.
- Will CHP be a custom or deemed measure?
 - Savings calculations are partially deemed.
- Pat Sharkey, Environmental Law Counsel/MCA: Can someone provide an example of what the incentives would look like under the ComEd template and Nicor Gas template?
 - Jim Jerozal, Nicor Gas: The next step should involve 1) program design and 2) NTG.
 - There is concern over the size/length of CHP projects related to NTG. What are the specific questions on NTG that evaluators need to answer?
 - 1) Will the NTG for CHP be custom or specific?
 - 2) Could the NTG ratio be locked down earlier, regardless of whether NTG changes over time?
 - 3) Would evaluators be willing to commit to a NTG value at the beginning of a project?
 - Is that acceptable to the Commission?
 - This question will be addressed at the upcoming NTG meetings.
- Participants discussed the issue of assigning savings when only one of the two eligible utilities (one gas and one electric) participate in a CHP project.

Draft “Large User” Language for CHP Workpaper and TRM

- Draft “Large User” Language for CHP Workpaper: Participants did not raise concerns about the text of this language, following the discussion at CHP Subcommittee Meeting #6.
- Draft “Large User” Language in main TRM – Participants did not come to consensus on the question of whether this language should apply to all TRM measures. Participants are also concerned about how these two provisions interact. This issue will be discussed again at the Jan. 27th Large Group SAG meeting.