

IL EE Stakeholder Advisory Group

Tuesday, February 25th, 2014

10:30am-4:30pm Teleconference

Follow-up on NTG Proposed Values for Ameren IL and ComEd

Call-in number: 760-569-6000

Passcode: 844452#

Agenda:

- Discussion of Ameren IL NTG Evaluator Proposals for PY7
- Discussion of ComEd NTG Evaluator Proposals for PY7

Webinar participants:

Annette Beitel (SAG Independent Facilitator)
Celia Johnson (SAG Senior Policy Analyst)
Jeff Erickson (Navigant)
Cheryl Miller (Ameren)
Tim Melloch (ComEd)
Pat Michalkiewicz (Peoples Gas-North Shore Gas)
Keith Martin (Ameren)
Wael El Sharif (360 Energy Group)
John Paul Jewell (ELPC)
Ian Adams (MEEA)
Hannah Arnold (Navigant)
Daniel Snyder (ComEd)
Jonathon Jackson (Ameren)
Jane Colby (Cadmus)
Agnes Mrozowski (DCEO)
Blake Baron (Giordano & Associates, Ltd.)
Hammad Chaudhry (Nicor Gas)
Norma Elizondo (ShowerStart LLC)
John Knuth (APT)
David Nichols (ComEd)
Stefano Galiasso (UIC)
Josh Arnold (Navigant)
Roger Baker (ComEd)
Rob Neumann (Navigant)
Jim Jerozal (Nicor Gas)
Stanley Mertz (APT)
Amy Buege (Itron)
Shraddha Raikar (UIC)
Mike Brandt (ComEd)
Bev Hall (Ameren)
Jim Poynton (APT)
Phil Mosenthal (Optimal Energy)
Julia Friedman (MEEA)

Jennifer Fagan (Itron)
Randy Gunn (Navigant)
Malcolm Quick (Nicor Gas)
Geoff Crandall (MSB Energy Associates)
Audrey Gribovich (UIC)
Ken Woolcutt (Ameren)
Jennifer Hinman (ICC Staff)
Tom Kennedy (ICC Staff)
David Brightwell (ICC Staff)
Wade Morehead (CSG)
Mary Sutter (Opinion Dynamics)
Larry Kotewa (Elevate Energy)
Will Baker (MEEA)

This teleconference represented the final opportunity to discuss PY7 NTG values for Ameren IL and ComEd. Differences in Commission conclusions in each Final Order are highlighted below.

- **Ameren IL Final Order:** “In order to provide additional certainty, which all parties advocate, prior to March 1 of each year, the independent evaluator will present its proposed NTG values for each program to the SAG. The purpose of this meeting will be for the independent evaluator to present its rationale for each value and provide the SAG, in their advisory role, with an opportunity to question, challenge and suggest modifications to the independent evaluator’s values. The independent evaluator will then review this feedback and make the final determination of values to be used for the upcoming year” (p121-123).
- **ComEd Final Order:** “...SAG will review the evaluator’s proposed NTG values and if consensus is reached, the SAG value will be used prospectively beginning June 1. Otherwise the evaluator’s NTG value as of March 1 will be used going forward beginning June 1” (p118-119).

Ameren NTG Recommendations (For the updated recommendations circulated on 2/27)

Hannah Arnold (ODC) noted two changes in the Ameren NTG values circulated on 2/27:

- **C&I Non-Participant Spillover:** Based on additional information that we were able to gather on the largest contributing customer in the analysis, we determined that they should be removed from the analysis. As such, our recommended value is now 1%.
- **Multifamily In-Unit:** Based on internal discussions, as well as those with Navigant regarding the ComEd program design and their recommendation, we have decided to recommend use of the EPY3 NTGRs based on tenant surveys.

ComEd NTG (For the updated recommendations circulated on 2/27)

Please note the following changes from the evaluator documents circulated for the 2/25 call:

- **Yellow Highlight:** this indicates what Annette understood to be an agreed-upon change from the original Navigant-recommended value.
- **Red Highlight:** this indicates non-consensus items. In comment boxes attached to the red-highlighted boxes, Annette summarized why the values are in dispute.
- “Action Items” are in text boxes at the bottom.

Follow-Up Items

1. Ameren – Tom Kennedy – requested that the “large customer” that arguably distorted the non-participant spill-over number for the Business Standard not be included in next year’s spill-over sample.
2. TAC Discussion Action Item:
 - a. ODC to send NY protocol for assessing non-participant spill-over, including “standard” and “enhanced.” (“Enhanced” includes trade ally input. As Tom made clear on the 2/25 call, he does not think trade ally surveys are good source of non-participant spill-over from methodological perspective).
 - b. Follow-up TAC discussion of methodology for assessing non-participant spill-over.
3. Schedule NTG “process improvement” discussion to see if the NTG discussions can be further improved.
 - a. ODC recommends discussion about how to ensure a more balanced review of data going forward. In particular, ODC want to discuss potential approaches to ensuring that customers with both a large and small impact on NTG values (including spillover) are reviewed and discussed. At present, ODC states we have spent much more time looking at cases on the high side (ex. the C&I NPSO case).