## IL EE Stakeholder Advisory Group

Tuesday, April 26, 2016 10:30 am – 4:30 pm

Attendee List and Action Items Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (MEEA) 20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1301, Chicago

#### **Attendee List**

Celia Johnson, SAG Facilitation Roger Baker, ComEd John Madziarczyk, Nicor Gas Rob Neumann, Navigant Randy Gunn, Navigant Jim Jerozal, Nicor Gas Chris Vaughn, Nicor Gas Andy Braatz, Nexant Claire Cowan, SeventhWave Will Baker, MEEA Sue Nathan, AEG, on behalf of Peoples Gas – North Shore Gas ("PG-NSG") Paige Knutsen, Franklin Energy, on behalf of PG-NSG Ashley Harrington, 360 Energy Group Rose Jordan, MEEA Catie Krasner, MEEA John Paul Jewell, Environmental Law & Policy Center Peter Ludwig, Elevate Energy Karen Lusson, IL AG Laura Goldberg, Citizens Utility Board ("CUB") Carla Colamonici, CUB Anne McKibbin, Elevate Energy Suzanne Stelmasek, Elevate Energy Stefano Galiasso, Energy Resources Center, UIC Molly Lunn, IL Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity Mike Brandt, ComEd Koby Bailey, PG-NSG Stacie Young, Community Investment Corp. ("CIC") Noelle Gilbreath, CIC **Rick Bain, Embertec** Brian Bowen, FirstFuel Ann Campbell Lauren Casentini, Resource Innovations Hammad Chaudhry, Nicor Gas Andrew Cottrell, AEG

Deirdre Coughlin, IL Department of Commerce Melissa Culbertson, ADM David Diebel, ADM Wael El-Sharif, 360 Energy Group Jennifer Fagan, Itron Jim Fay, ComEd Paul Francisco, IL Department of Commerce Julia Friedman, MEEA Stacy Gloss, IL Department of Commerce Kevin Grabner, Navigant Mary Ellen Guest, Historic Chicago Bungalow Association Margaret Hansbrough, City of Chicago Jeff Harris, NEEA Wayne Hartel, IL Department of Commerce Sandra Henry, SeventhWave Arturo Hernandez, ComEd Ian Hoffman, LBNL John Lavallee, Leidos Byron Lloyd, IL Department of Commerce Joe Loper, Itron Karen Lusson, IL Attorney General's Office Cliff Majersik, IMT Pat Michalkiewicz, PG-NSG Cheryl Miller, Ameren IL Jennifer Morris, ICC Staff Phil Mosenthal, Optimal Energy, on behalf of IL AG Shraddha Mutyal, Energy Resources Center, UIC Rajiv Narielwala, IL Department of Commerce Jeremy Offenstein, ADM Andrea Reiff, IL Department of Commerce Zach Ross, Opinion Dynamics Lorna Rushforth, Resource Innovations Matthew Scallet, IMT Steve Schiller, LBNL Edward Schmidt, MCR Group Bruce Selway, IL Department of Commerce Anthony Star, Illinois Power Agency Erin Stitz, AEG Ted Weaver, First Tracks Consulting, on behalf of Nicor Gas Ken Woolcutt, Ameren IL Jim Zolnierek, ICC Staff Keith Goerss, Ameren IL Julie Hollensbe, ComEd Mike Myser, Energy Platforms

### Action Items

**The Future of Market Transformation Programs in Illinois** (Steven Schiller, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab; Cliff Majersik, Institute for Market Transformation; Jeff Harris, Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance; Molly Lunn, Illinois Department of Commerce & Economic Opportunity)

- Market transformation proposal for Plan 4:
  - Begin a trend for a more systematic approach. Complete a market baseline study for Plan 5. Decrease the budget for the next Plan (6.5% instead of 10% as is in the current Plan).
  - o Re-align programs.
  - Consider an RFP for emerging tech.
  - Do not count savings from these programs at this time.
  - Establish a Subcommittee to discuss market transformation in the next Plan; quarterly or twice yearly meetings.
  - The Department would like to create consensus market transformation goals. Initial proposed goals:
    - 1- Make EE standard practice in IL;
    - 2- Serve as a testbed for new EE approaches in IL
- Next steps: Discuss feedback during the Department's follow-up SAG call (anticipated for the week of May 9<sup>th</sup>).

## IL Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity Preliminary Portfolio of

Programs (Molly Lunn, IL Department of Commerce)

- Calculated with and without a 10% NEBs adder.
- Used the ComEd and Ameren IL discount rates.
- Savings are preliminary; updated savings are expected to be higher. There are some program changes that haven't been fully accounted for. The Department's Potential Study is still being finalized.
- TRC information the Department will review the RCx and New Construction TRCs again. Low Income TRCs are coming soon.
- Next steps: SAG will hold a 2-hour follow-up call within the next two weeks (anticipated for the week of May 9<sup>th</sup>) to address the questions below.
  - SAG Facilitation to provide an updated high level overview of program/measure idea proposals to the Department to complete and respond to during the followup call.
  - If stakeholders have questions for the Department based on the preliminary draft Portfolio Plan presentation, please send to <u>Celia.Johnson@FutEE.biz</u> by COB on Wednesday, May 4<sup>th</sup>.
  - Any remaining low income issues will be discussed during the Department's follow-up call. Remaining issues include:
    - 1. IL Department of Commerce responses to low income follow-up questions.
      - Interested stakeholders are requested to review the data linked below and provide questions/comments during the follow-up call.
      - Department Data:
        - Low Income Programs Spending and Savings, EPY7-GPY4
        - Low Income Installed Measures Evaluated in EPY7-GPY4

- Department Responses to March SAG Low Income Follow-up Questions
- TRC issue whether low income programs should be considered in the portfolio TRC.
  - Utility, IL Department of Commerce, and interested stakeholder attorneys are requested to review statutory language and provide responses during the follow-up call.

# General Questions for the Department – (excerpted from 'All Portfolio Administrator' questions)

- 1. TRCs by measure/program/track for all programs (not just at high-level)
- Identify any non-cost effective measures in plan, and provide business justification for inclusion of the measure. Provide basis for assumed participation levels for the non-cost effective measures. TRC results for the non-cost effective measures.
- 3. Customer Incentive/3-P Incentive/Non-Incentive Cost breakdowns by program/track for all programs (not just high-level)
- 4. TRC Inputs Measure Level and non-Measure-level
  - Measure-level
    - Savings, incremental measure cost (IMC), expected useful life (EUL), NTG, Participation, Units (how is participation measured number of people, number of bulbs, square footage, etc.)
    - Interactive Effects how are they applied?
    - Staff requests the preliminary TRC analysis files (with measure-level TRC information)
    - Measure Codes from the TRM
    - Any NEBs or additional adders applied only at the measure-level (e.g. O&M/Water)
      - Include source for the water savings
    - Identify High Impact Measures, and associated portfolio savings from each of these measures (the group of measures that produce greater than 1% of portfolio savings and/or collectively represent 80% of portfolio savings)
  - Non-Measure-level (include sources of values and methods used to derive values)
    - Gas and Electric avoided costs (avoided energy and avoided capacity)
    - Carbon Adder (dollar amount)
    - T&D Avoided costs
    - NEBs adder- anything else?
    - Line losses (Energy and Peak)
    - Nominal Discount Rate
    - Inflation Rate
      - Note any significant changes (+/- 50%) to values above compared to last plan and provide explanation for the changes. For values that have not changed, explain why they are not being updated based on current information.
- 5. Stakeholder concern that portfolios might be "spread too thin" i.e. that they may include low-volume measures and/or low-participation programs. Unless good justification for including the "low volume" measures and programs, would like to discuss removing and re-allocating to higher penetration measures/higher participation programs.

<u>Follow-Up Question</u>: Identify the following "low penetration measures/low participation programs" and provide justification for including:

- 100 units per program year
- 500 residential customers per program year
- 100 business customer per program year
- 6. Stakeholders would like to understand past measure penetration/past recorded expenditures and compare to forecasted measure penetration/forecasted budgets to ensure that portfolios are not being designed to have lower savings based on:
  - Forecasting a measure mix that has higher % of "low yield" measures (low savings/dollar spent) that has been realized in past year OR
  - Forecasting expenditures in general cost categories that are higher than actual expenditures from past year.

Follow-Up Question: Provide -

- Participation rates for each measure (can roll up to broader measure categories) for last full program year.
- Expenditures from last full program year in following general cost categories:
  - Portfolio Administrative
    - EM&V
    - Marketing
    - Admin
  - Program-Specific: R&D
- What is the source for incremental cost assumptions for each measure (in certain situations, this information is included in the IL-TRM – however, in some cases the II-TRM doesn't provide a default).
- 8. What are you considering using for data analytics for next EE Plan (e.g. cost, use of data analytics in portfolio processes)?
- Future Request Workpapers and supporting calculations used to arrive at the unit savings, incremental cost, incentives, and budget contained within the TRC files. In addition, any assumptions regarding customer copay amounts. (Due Day: June 1, 2016)

### Specific Department Questions:

- 1. During the presentation, it was mentioned that potential new measures have not been incorporated yet for example, laminar flow restrictors, smart t-stats, and advanced power strips.
  - a. Will the Department offer these measures in the next EE Plan?
- 2. During the presentation, it was mentioned that some program changes have not been fully accounted for yet in the preliminary Portfolio Plan. What program changes have not been accounted for?
- 3. How will the Department take what is coming into the IPA for Ameren IL and ComEd into account in planning?
- 4. For low income programs, what is the savings and budget breakdown between gas and electric for each utility?
- 5. Discuss proposed market transformation goals and stakeholder feedback.

### Peoples Gas – North Shore Gas Update on Low Income Programs (Pat Michalkiewicz,

Peoples Gas – North Shore Gas; Sue Nathan, AEG, on behalf of PG-NSG)

• No action items.

### **Upcoming SAG Meetings**

- May 16-17 SAG meetings: ComEd and Nicor Gas will present updated Portfolio Plans. Ameren IL will present the initial preliminary Portfolio Plan.
- June 27-28 SAG meetings: PG-NSG, Ameren IL and the Department will present updated Portfolio Plans.