

# IL EE Stakeholder Advisory Group

Tuesday, January 27<sup>th</sup> 2015

10:30 am – 4:30pm

**Environmental Law & Policy Center (ELPC)**

**35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600, Chicago**

Call-In Number: 760-569-6000; access code 844452#

Webinar registration: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/8593977860206139906>

## **Monthly SAG Meeting**

<b>Time</b>	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Discussion Leader</b>
10:30 – 10:45	<b>Opening and Introductions</b>	Annette Beitel, EE SAG Facilitator
10:45 – 11:15	<b>Uniform Methods Project</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Overview of UMP.</li></ul> <p><b>Purpose:</b> To educate SAG. The NTG appendix on methods refers to UMP as a reference.</p>	Rob Neumann, Navigant
11:15 – 12:15	<b>NTG Methodologies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• ICC directive language.</li><li>• Evaluator update on EM&amp;V harmonization.</li></ul> <p><b>Purpose:</b> Report-out to SAG; determine whether there is consensus; determine next steps.</p>	Mary Sutter, Opinion Dynamics
12:15 – 12:45	<b>Lunch</b>	
12:45 – 1:15	<b>Subcommittee Update</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CHP Subcommittee status.</li><li>• IIEC “large user” language (circulated with the TRM TAC draft released 1/23); proposed ICC Staff edited language.</li><li>• Issue: Can CHP be treated differently from Ground Source Heat Pumps (GSHP)?</li><li>• Policy Manual Subcommittee status.</li></ul> <p><b>Purpose:</b> To update SAG on CHP Subcommittee status and Policy</p>	Annette Beitel

	Manual Subcommittee status. To reach consensus on IIEC “large user” language.	
1:15 – 2:00	<p><b>Update:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SAG Schedule (2015 Q1/Q2)</li> <li>• SAG Subcommittee Plans <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Policy Manual</li> <li>○ “Capturing Successes”</li> <li>○ Process Efficiency</li> <li>○ DRIPE?</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Purpose:</b> Discuss; SAG questions and feedback.</p>	
2:00 – 4:00	<p><b>IPA Final Order Mandates to SAG – TRC Calculation; Discussion of Issues</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ICC directives and issues (15 minutes) – Annette Beitel</li> <li>• DRIPE presentation on behalf of NRDC (45 minutes) – Paul Chernick</li> <li>• DRIPE presentation on behalf of ComEd (45 minutes) – ScottFisher, NorthBridge Group</li> <li>• SAG Discussion: Questions, Pros/Cons (30 minutes)</li> </ul> <p><b>Purpose:</b> Educate SAG on DRIPE, identify issues with and pros/cons of DRIPE.</p> <p><b>*NOTE:</b> We will seek to take a break some time during DRIPE discussion.</p>	Annette Beitel; Paul Chernick, Resource Insight, on behalf of NRDC; Scott Fisher, NorthBridge Group, on behalf of ComEd
4:00 – 4:25	<p><b>IPA Final Order Mandates to SAG – TRC Calculation; Discussion of Issues (Continued)</b></p> <p><i>Non-Energy Benefits:</i> Overview of Proposal and Issues– Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group</p> <p><i>Marginal Line Losses</i> – Ameren IL to explain use of average vs. marginal line losses (ComEd uses marginal) – Keith Goerss, Ameren IL</p> <p><i>Administrative Costs:</i> How will admin</p>	Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group on behalf of NRDC  Keith Goerss, Ameren IL

	<p>costs be tracked going forward, by program? – Ameren IL and ComEd</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Additional question:</i> How should administrative costs be determined when there is no data on a previous program? (Chris Neme, Energy Futures Group on behalf of NRDC)</li> </ul> <p><b>Purpose:</b> Brief discussion of issues; discuss process/timing of next steps. Should DRIPE and associated issues be moved to a subcommittee?</p>	
4:20 – 4:30	<b>Closing</b>	Annette Beitel

### Meeting Materials

- UMP Presentation (Rob Neumann, Navigant)
- NTG Methodologies (Mary Sutter, Opinion Dynamics; on behalf of evaluators)
- Subcommittee Update:
  - CHP
    - Draft language on large users for TRM.
    - ICC Staff comments on draft large users language.
  - Policy Manual
    - Draft Policy Manual Subcommittee Plan
- Updated draft 2015 Schedule
- IPA Final Order Mandates to SAG – TRC Calculation; Discussion of Issues
  - ICC directive language; 5 issues
    1. Should IPA perform its own TRC?
    2. Should DRIPE be included in TRC?
    3. Should NEBs be included in TRC?
    4. Should Ameren IL use marginal line losses instead of average line losses?
    5. How “administrative costs” are calculated by Ameren IL and ComEd
  - DRIPE Update presentation (Paul Chernick, Resource Insight, on behalf of NRDC)
  - DRIPE presentation (Scott Fisher, NorthBridge Group, on behalf of ComEd)