C&I Energy Savings Low Touch Continuous Monitoring



Presented to Illinois Stakeholder Advisory Group March 2013



Traditional Approach to Building Energy Management

• Buildings treated as "static systems"



- Even with building automation:
 - Initial set-up for conditions that likely changed long ago
 - Short-term "bypasses" and other re-programming increase energy consumption
- Limited or no information to make decisions



- Conventional program approaches reaching limits
- Higher standards make it more difficult to find and increase cost-effective savings
 - Most C&I efficiency programs focus on equipment
- Evaluation uncertainty increases risk of reducing claimed savings
 - Deemed savings, prescriptive algorithms, and even custom analysis assume fixed conditions that will eventually change



The Components of Consumption



Must know the state of loads and occupants and let information drive the decisions for savings



- More sophisticated analysis
 - Algorithms now capable of disaggregating consumption data with high degrees of precision
- Inexpensive, low touch wireless data collection
 - Allows real-time monitoring of key end uses, occupancy, and building conditions
- Software-as-a-Service (SaaS)
 - Provides effective, continuous feedback for taking action



Building Dynamic Data Collection and Uses: Three Steps

BENCHMARK AND REMOTE AUDIT



CONSUMPTION PROFILING



AUTOMATED SAVINGS



Interval Data Analysis* Identify Opportunities Track Progress Measurement and Verification Wireless Sub-metering Occ. , Temp. & Humidity Sensing Hours of Operation Detailed Load Analysis Savings Recommendations

Simple Controls to realize savings Intelligent Thermostats Custom Controllers Interface to existing EMS

Identify and implement operational savings at high cost-effectiveness



*Can achieve meaningful results with historical billing data

How Does It Work?



🔊 Applied Energy Group

Sensors monitor building conditions and occupancy

System identifies wasted and unoptimized energy usage

System drives BMS controls (or provides real-time feedback to facility managers)

System tracks actions and quantifies savings

Generates reports and alerts

Continuous Commissioning and Evaluation Now Possible

- Continuously monitor the building and make changes as you go
- Identify the changes related to occupant behavior
 - Where is the redundant usage?
 - Are the schedules and zones properly defined?
- Monitor consumption per end-use class
 - HVAC, lights, occupant-loads, water-heaters, etc.
- Use intensity metrics at the sub-load level to refine the operation
- EMS system interaction read the sensors, pass control decisions
- Regular evaluation reports against benchmarks
 - Can see effects of behavioral and equipment changes nearly in real time



What Does This Look Like in Practice?

Office Building Case Study



Case Study: Office Building

	Customer: Address: City: State: Building Size:	New London County Insurance Co. 101 High Street Norwich CT 46,612 Square Feet Electricity Use - 2011 & 2012 % Yr to Yr Dollar Variance
Energy Efficiency Measures	71.112	30%
	/1,112	20%
Electricity Cost - \$ / kWh	\$0.16	
Energy Efficiency Target	15%	
Target Reduction - kWh Annual	128,002	0%
Target Reduction - \$ Annual	\$20,480	
		-20%

Building analytics data collection began in July 2011 and resulted in a reduction of 69,848kWh over the same period in 2012

 HVAC optimizations, light schedule optimizations extracted from occupancy analysis



Baseline: Behavior De-Coupled from Consumption



Coupling occupant behavior to consumption to provide accountability of expenditure

1. Data Collection Sensing Infrastructure Scalable Sensing Network

2. Behavior AlgorithmCouple Energy ConsumptionBehavior Savings via BehaviorChange

3. Reporting Monitor Time, Occupancy & Energy Control Future Loads



Savings projection from pilot deployment

Relationship Between Consumption & Behavior



Total Consumption 1855 KWH **Total Consumption Cost** \$296.94 Address Achieved Savings (selected time window) 418 KWH 2012-10-10 00:00:00 Start Date: Start Time: NLC Building Achieved Savings (selected time window) \$66.94 101 High Street 2012-10-17 23:59:59 End Date: End Time: Norwich, CT 06360 56077 KWH Projected Annual Savings Regenerate Report Area: 46612 Sq. Ft. Projected Annual Savings \$8972.47 14128 KWH Savings to Date Savings to Date \$2260.53



Using Data for Multiple Functionalities



Detailed Load Analysis





Automated Schedule Discovery

Snapshot from automated schedule extraction based on occupancy



Optimal HVAC Schedule Selection Based on Occupancy for Mondays

Applied Energy Group

Achieved Savings

- Further HVAC optimization
- Integration of occupancy models in decisions
- Interior light controls and exterior light replacements
- Chiller optimization





Identified But Not Realized



- Shut-off the HVAC loads when occupants leave the building
- Measured over 1 month, savings extrapolated to 32,000kWh over summer season
- To be implemented summer of 2013



User's View – Consumption Summary

User Portal





User's View – Load Disaggregation

User Portal



AEG Applied Energy Group

- Traditional approaches are running out of potential (especially with low avoided costs)
- Changes in technology now allow much better understanding of building operations and consumption
- Continuous monitoring and commissioning now possible
- Opportunities for new types of energy efficiency programs and services based on dynamic data collection

