EE Stakeholder Advisory Group

Tuesday, February 5, 2012
11:00 – 4:30

Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance
20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1301 (Lyric Opera Building)

Call-In Number: 760-569-6000
Passcode: 844452#

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
11:00 – 11:20	Opening Agenda and Meeting Objectives Next Meeting Topics Planning Schedule	Annette Beitel

11:20 – 12:00	**TRM Update**
	• Process to date; remaining schedule
	• Resolved HIM (High Impact Measures) (list)
	• Unresolved HIMs – Non-Consensus Items
	    o CFL Baseline
	    o CFL Carry-Over
	• Process for filing TRM Update and addressing consensus/non-consensus items
	• List of Medium and Low-Priority Measures (list)
	• **Stakeholder Question**: What should be timing/process for addressing and resolving Medium and Low Impact Measures?
		Erin Carroll – VEIC
		
		ICC Staff on Filing Process

12:00 – 12:30	**Lunch**	
12:30 – 2:30	**Policy Objectives in EE Portfolio Design** • Maximize savings or broad-based portfolio? • When should non-cost-effective measures/programs be allowable in portfolio? • Percent of portfolio that can be	Chris Neme – Energy Futures Group (on behalf of NRDC) Phil Mosenthal – Optimal Energy (on behalf of AG)

	“non-resource” (not produce savings) • Besides achieving savings, what should be other policy objectives (such as serving “hard-to-reach”) • Considering lifetime goals in portfolio design • **Goal:** Formulate general policy principals to help guide utilities in portfolio design given that statutory budgets not sufficient to achieve statutory goals	Geoff Crandall – MSG Consulting (on behalf of ELPC)
2:30 – 2:45	**Break**	
2:45 – 3:30	**8-103 and IPA Coordination** • Presentation on 8-103-IPA Coordination Issues • **Goal:** Education about issues and Identification of issues for future discussion/input	Karen Kansfield – Ameren IL

3:30 – 4:25	**NTG Framework Issues**
	• NTG Values to be Used for:
	  ○ PY4
	  ○ PY5
	  ○ PY6
	  ○ Planning Values for next 3-year cycle
	• Have evaluators applied framework consistently?
	• When should decision be made about when values should be used for a particular year?
	• Who decides what values will be used for a particular year?
	• Should the NTG Framework be modified or clarified?
	• Should EM&V reports contain multiple NTG values?
		Mary Sutter – ODC
		
		Jeff Erickson - Navigant

4:25 – 4:30	**Close**	Annette Beitel

Agenda Items: New Program Ideas to Expand Efficiency (February 26 Meeting)

- Presentations: New Program Ideas and Program Expansions
  - CHPs and Fuel Switching
    - John Cuttica, UIC
  - Codes and Standards

        - MEEA
    - Emerging Technologies
        - Ryan Kerr, GTI
    - On-Bill Financing/Financing: Ways to Improve
        - Anne McKibbon, CNT Energy
    - Program Approaches to Addressing “Wasted Energy”
        - TBD
    - Moving Incentives Upstream – LED and HVAC Case Study
        - Optimal Energy
    - C&I Behavior Program
        - Agentis, O-Power (?)
        - Should res and C&I behavior programs be expanded?
    - Conservation Voltage Reduction
        - Ameren IL
    - Other?
- Final EM&V Results (Should this be delayed to future meeting?)
    - What worked/what didn’t in EY4/GY1
    - Improving realization rates in IL
    - Adding program design changes for programs with low NTG ratios
    - Recommendations for programs
    - New program ideas/markets?

Meeting Materials

- TRM Update - VEIC
- Illinois Electric and Gas 3 Year Planning: Policy Issues and Perspectives
- Coordination Between IPA and 8-103 Programs
- NTG Framework Presentation