Energy Efficiency Plan 3 Policy Related Items

Pertaining to Program Years 7-9; June 1, 2014 – May 31, 2017



To the Illinois Stakeholder Advisory Group April 30, 2013



Plan 3 Policy Related Items

- Key Policy Proposals
- Current Framework Issues
- Key Portfolio Administrative Assumptions
- On-Bill Financing
- IPA Incremental Savings
- Other Issues



Key Policy Proposals

Support Current SAG process

Portfolio Flexibility

- Move funds and adjust savings between programs as needed
- Providing notice to SAG when 20% change or greater

Maintain portfolio level positive TRC

Adjust electric budgets and savings goal annually

- Currently ComEd adjusts savings goal, AIC does not
- Now AIC is proposing to also adjust savings goal according to budget



Key Policy Proposals EMV Framework

"...a general goal of conducting one impact evaluation and one process evaluation for each program during each Plan cycle."

In addition to Staff's request:

"Ameren should have all program impact evaluations completed at least three months before filing its next energy efficiency plan"

Meaning that:

- 1) Every program has to be evaluated in the first year of the Plan cycle
- 2) Therefore subject to severe budget limitations
 - Can only use one year of EMV funds
 - NTG is the most expensive use of EMV funds



Key Policy Proposals

Same EMV Framework, continued

Additional Staff request:

"Process evaluations should be conducted as early as possible for programs that do not appear to be achieving the gross megawatt-hour savings as forecasted"

Which is in conflict with NTG EMV having to be performed in 1st year;

1st year budget limitations delays process EMV to 2nd year



Current Framework Issues

Prospective application of NTG and TRM values is required for certainty

Otherwise:

- Utility must be risk adverse
- Stifles pilot programs, emerging technology
- Increases elimination of programs mid-cycle
- Increases prudency risk
- Requires screening measures and programs at 1.2 TRC to accommodate risk
 - for filing and ongoing portfolio management
- Decreases EMV funds available for process evaluation

Utility preferred position:

Gross savings or 3-year deemed

Resolution:

- Stipulated agreement on annual prospective application of NTG and TRM values
- Annually adjust savings goal per prospective NTG and TRM adjustments

IPA Incremental Savings

IPA savings submitted July 15, approved by December 31

Prior to Plan 3 September 1 filing

2013 filed IPA programs will be discrete and separate

- As opposed to "expanded"
- Consequence of having to be filed and approved prior to Plan 3

Current framework issues puts programs in jeopardy

- Values not officially deemed
- Flexibility not expressly provided
- Assuming separate budget and EMV
- Will be submitted as a one year program due to uncertainty about values
 - Annual RFP is required



Key Portfolio Administrative Assumptions

Continue Prime Implementer Model

- SAIC: Business
- CSG: Residential

Renew current Evaluator Contract

Maintain "Act On Energy" brand



On-Bill Financing

Preference to continue On-Bill Financing

- \$1.5 million currently being financed by AIC
- Largely HVAC, some insulation
- Hoping it will be renewed
 - Subject to EMV and ICC approval after 3 years
 - cost-effectiveness requirement is unclear and may eliminate program
 - Proposed Order, 11-0689
 - "...any cost-effectiveness analysis should be a forward-looking assessment that focuses on whether the marginal administrative costs of doing new loans are cost-effective in terms of any additional efficiency benefits that will result from the OBF program."



Other Issues

Self Direct Customers

Per Section 8-104:

Available for largest gas customers to opt out of paying into and participating in gas energy efficiency

Limits gas savings and opportunities Reduces target savings

Plan 2: 14% of total throughput Plan 3: 18% of total throughput

Non-SDC:112,881,158SDC:24,144,663Total:137,025,821

SDCs

Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc Caterpillar, Inc. Flint Hills Resources, LP

Bunge

Saint-Gobain Containers, Inc.

Pactiv Corp.

Cargill, Inc.

Archer Daniels Midland Company

Marathon Petroleum Company LP

Tate & Lyle

Marquis Energy, LLC

Viscofan USA, Inc.

WRB Refining LLC

Fiberteq, LLC

James Hardie Building

Products, Inc.

Big River Resources Galva, LLC

Evonik Goldschmidt Corp.

Illinois Corn Processing, LLC



Other Issues

Excessive Litigation

- Numerous pending and <u>annual</u> dockets:
 - Savings
 - Reconciliation
 - TRM Technical
 - TRM Non-concensus
 - IPA submission
- Plus 3 year Plan filing
- Plus overlap of dockets; 10 pending this year alone
 - (Y2, 3, 4 Reconciliation; Y3, 4, 5 Savings; 2 TRM; IPA; 3-year Plan)

Resolution of prospective application of NTG and TRM values will minimize litigation

