

**EE Stakeholder Advisory Group:
Technical Advisory Subcommittee
Meeting Agenda**

Tuesday, January 26, 2010

9:00 – 12:00 pm

Location: Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance

645 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 990

Chicago, IL 60611

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
9:00 – 9:10	Opening and Introductions	Annette Beitel
9:10 – 10:30	Ameren Potential Study	Cadmus
10:30 – 10:45	Break	
10:45 – 12:00	DCEO Year 1 Impact Assessment	Jeff Erickson – Navigant Consulting (formerly Summitt Blue)

- Powerpoint – Ameren Potential Study
- Powerpoint – DCEO Year 1 Impact Assessment

Attendees (in person)

- Dan Rourke – Nicor
- Jim Jerozal – Nicor
- Jeff Erickson – Navigant
- Randy Gunn – Navigant
- Geoff Crandall – MSB Consulting for ELPC
- Erin Daughten – Shaw Environmental
- David Baker – DCEO
- Kate Agassie – Metropolitan Mayor’s Caucus
- Mike Brandt – ComEd
- Ken Wolcutt – Ameren
- Phil Mosenthal – Optimal Energy for AG’s Office
- Keith Martin – Ameren

Attendees (phone)

- Bob Willen
- Eli Morris
- Cheryl Miller
- Heidi Merchant
- Judd Moritz
- Kyle Schoff
- Nick Lovier
- Rick Voytas
- Scott Dimetrosky
- David Brightwell – ICC staff
- Steve Frenkel
- Karen Kansfield
- Hussein from Cadmus (Potential Study presenter)
- Dave Castaneno

Action Items

- Add Agenda Item: Discussion re: Application of Findings from Potential Study to Ameren Planning Process for 2011 – 2014 Portfolio
- Teleconference on Ameren Potential Study for additional questions re: methodology and input assumptions: Week of January 31
- DCEO Impact Assessment: Follow-up questions:
 - Need summary slides, as follows:
 - Results compared to plan, by program, by utility
 - Expenditures by program, by utility
 - Basis for 6% savings on Programmable Thermostats – is this consistent with recent DOE/EPA findings? Annette to send memo that George Malek circulated
 - Tech Potential for Industrials – can this be calculated in subsequent phase of potential study?
 - Is realization rate for Lights for Learning reasonable given findings in retail lighting program? Should results be downward adjusted given that people may purchase CLFs because it is fundraiser for worthy cause, but are not really interested in them?