Meeting Notes EE Stakeholder Advisory Group Meeting Agenda

Tuesday, October 27, 2009 12:30 – 4:30 pm

Location: Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (to be confirmed)

645 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 990 Chicago, IL 60611

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
12:30 – 12:40	Opening and Introductions	Annette Beitel
12:40 – 1:40	Ameren Business EM&V Results	Bill Norton - ODC
1:40 – 2:40	Ameren Market Assessment Results	Scott Demetrosky
		Cadmus Group
2:40 – 3:00	Break	
3:00 – 3:30	Electric-Gas Program Coordination Stakeholder feedback on additional ways to coordinate Electric/Gas programs?	George Malek - ComEd
3:30 – 4:00	Selected Year 2 Building Training and Education Programs for Year 2 EEPs • Report out	David Baker - DCEO
4:00 – 4:15	DRAFT Program Planning Template for 2011 – 2014 Planning Process • For Stakeholder Review/Comment • What should be added or deleted from template?	Mike Brandt
4:15 – 4:30	Closing	Annette Beitel

Meeting Materials:

- Ameren Year 1 EM&V Results (forthcoming)
- Ameren Market Assessment Results
- Coordination Presentation
- Year 2 Building Training and Education Grants (to be circulated at meeting)
- Program Planning Template plus example

<u>Attendees</u>

In person:

- Rob Kelter ELPC
- Pat Michalkiewicz Integrys
- Kate Agassie MMC
- Carol Kulek DCEO
- George Malek ComEd
- Mollie Nye NRDC
- Dylan Sullivan NRDC
- Mike Brandt ComEd
- Tim Melloch ComEd
- Keith Martin Ameren
- Ken Woolcutt Ameren
- Brian Granahan Environment IL
- Anthony Star CNT
- Kristin Munsch AG
- Dana Kenney City DOE
- Steve Frenkel Env IL

Via Phone:

- Bill Norton ODC
- Dave Costenaro Ameren
- David Brightwell ICC Staff
- Judd Moritz Ameren
- Karen Warnke Ameren
- Lance Escue SAIC
- Mary Sutter ODC

- Michael Borovik AG
- Nick Lovier Ameren
- Phil Mosenthal Optimal representing AG
- Rick Zuraski ICC Staff
- Rick Voytas Ameren
- Tom Kennedy ICC Staff
- Megan McNeill ICC counsel

Action Items/Notes

- Ameren Potential Study
 - SAG participants had questions about methodology and inputs.
 - ACT: SAG teleconference needs to be set up to respond to SAG member questions (ELPC, AG) after potential study released
- Electric-Gas Program coordination

SAG Participant comments

- Electric-gas program coordination issues should be addressed through SAG planning process when coordination will reduce costs/increase program effectiveness
- Utilities should have common planning assumptions unless can justify differences based on differences in service territories
- BITE (Building Training and Education Grants)
 - \$600,000 available for grants, over \$7.5 million dollars in requests received in 60 applications.
 - Bid evaluation criteria considered: Work Plans, Likelihood to succeed, jobs creation, capability, previous experience, references
- Program Planning Templates
 - No SAG-recommended changes to template
 - SAG participants to use templates to propose program ideas.
 However, SAG may first "tee up" idea to SAG and get input before completing template. Utilities and DCEO will do economic analysis of SAG program ideas using the planning tool "DSMoore".