

EE Stakeholder Advisory Group Meeting Agenda

Tuesday, October 21, 2008
1:00 –5:00 pm

Location:

Chicago: James R. Thompson Center, 100 Randolph, Suite 3-400 – Illinois Room

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
1:00 – 1:15	Welcome and Opening, Introductions	Annette Beitel
1:15 – 2:15	Evaluation Issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRAFT Evaluation Framework <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Stakeholder comments • Evaluation Planning Process and DRAFT Evaluation Work Plan Template <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Phased process for developing Work Plan • Proposed Fall '08 EM&V Activities – proposed schedule and deliverables 	Gil Peach
2:15 – 2:45	Break	
2:45 – 3:00	Update on ComEd Energy Efficiency Community Partnership Program	Michael Brandt, ComEd
3:00 – 3:15	Ameren Program Updates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Portfolio Adjustment – Streetlights • Retail Lighting Program – Regionalization Issues 	Keith Martin, Ameren

3:15 – 3:45	ComEd Portfolio Update: First Quarter Report-Out	
3:45 – 4:15	Ameren Portfolio Update: First Quarter Report-out	Keith Martin, Ameren
4:15 – 4:45	DCEO Portfolio Update: First Quarter Report-Out	David Baker, DCEO
4:45 – 5:00	Closing	Annette Beitel

Meeting Materials:

- DRAFT Evaluation Work Plan Template
- Proposed Fall '08 EM&V activities
- ComEd Community Partnership update
- ComEd First Quarter Report
- Ameren First Quarter Report
- DCEO First Quarter Report

MEETING NOTES

October 21 SAG

Attendees: In person: Annette Beitel (FutEE), David Baker (DCEO), Gil Peach (EM&V Consultant), Karen Karensfield (Ameren), Anthony Star (CNT), Kristin Munsch (AG) Keith Martin (Ameren), Susan Hedman (AG), Val Jansen (ComEd), Mike Brandt (ComEd), Tim Melloch (ComEd), Kate Agassie (MMC), Dylan Sullivan (NRDC), Bryan McDaniel (CUB), Susan Wobbekind (FutEE – Contractor).

Attendees: Phone: Bob King (CSG), Carmen Fosco (ICC), Cheryl Miller (Ameren), Heidi Merchant (Ameren), Judd Moritz (Ameren), Lance Escue (SAIC), Megan McNeill (ICC-Counsel), Nick Lovier (Ameren), Ralph Prah, Richard Zuranski (ICC), Geoff Crandall, John Nicol

Welcome and Opening, Introductions

SAG members shared their comments and impressions of the October staff led workshops. Consensus was that the workshops were very well run and informative.

The November workshops will focus on financing and topics for rules.

Evaluation issues – Gil Peach

1.) DRAFT Evaluation Framework

The framework will be formed through a discussion of topics from which the SAG will abstract some paragraphs. Due to the large number of agencies interested in participating in this discussion, it should take place in person rather than via telephone.

Gil recommends that Illinois not strive to create a large manual like California but rather to create a document that includes key points and guidelines. Some rules should be included, but the framework should be more of a guidance document.

In response to a question regarding how much of the document should be fixed, Gil stated that the framework will mainly be set, but that it will always be a document that will be able to be changed if needed. He suggested that only issues that are stable should be put into the framework. It is expected the document will be 10-30 pages.

Chuck Sedano and Rich Goldman offered to look at the framework and give their input.

- 2.) Proposed Fall '08 EM&V Activities – proposed schedule and deliverables
 - 1.) Identify areas most important and the areas most prone to uncertainty in order to determine where it is most important to spend EM&V. This discussion should take place prior to any net to gross discussion.
 - 2.) Layout what the commission ruled with regard to net to gross and deemed values.

ACT: Identify someone to draft a document regarding the Commission's ruling. Annette to copy and circulate document at next meeting.

ACT: Decide the best way to document SAG agreement or disagreement on pertinent framework issues.

- 3.) The December 9 meeting will feature a short summary contractor bids received

Fall Goals: Reach an agreement on principles and address concerns. Try to capture all documents in a database with a consistent reporting format.

Ameren Program Updates – Keith Martin

Ameren submitted to the group their need to adjust their portfolio and suspend their streetlights program. The need to suspend the program is due to too many programs being launched in year one, other programs needing more funds, and economic and TRC risks and issues. In addition, the makeup of the streetlights was different than Ameren originally thought, with the average wattage being lower than expected.

The streetlight budget will be split between other C&I programs.

There were no objections from the SAG members regarding the change.

Ameren Portfolio Update – Karen Kansfield

Ameren presented their first quarter report-out and suggested reporting format. Ameren's numbers reflected a net to gross of .8, while DECO and ComEd's report included strictly gross numbers. It was suggested that reports include a summary page featuring a graph showing goals and numbers achieved.

ComEd Portfolio Update – Tim Mellick

ComEd began presentation of their first quarter report-out. However, time ran out and the presentation will be continued at the next SAG meeting.