

EE Stakeholder Advisory Group Meeting Agenda

Tuesday, November 18, 2008
9:00 – 5:00 pm

Location: NOTE LOCATION CHANGE

Chicago: Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (MEEA),
645 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 990, Chicago, IL

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
9:00 – 9:15	Welcome and Opening, Introductions	Annette Beitel
9:15 – 10:00	Evaluation Contractors Selection Process and Recommendation	Ameren Presentation Com Ed Update
10:00 – 11:30	Evaluation Contractors Selection Process and Recommendation Application of Net Savings Results	Ralph Prah
11:30 – 12:30	Lunch	Catered – Eat In
12:30 – 1:45	Approach to Deeming of Savings Parameters Precision and Accuracy	Gil Peach Ralph Prah
1:45 – 2:00	Break	
2:00 – 3:30	Principles Governing Allocation of	Gil Peach

	Resources Methods for Estimating Net Savings	Ralph Prah
3:30 – 4:00	Proposed Work Plan Template <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion and Feedback 	Gil Peach
4:00 – 4:30	Moving Forward on EM&V: Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Form of and Documentation for Evaluation Framework • Form of and Documentation for EM&V Savings and NTG Values 	Annette Beitel
4:30 – 5:00	Closing and Next Steps	Annette Beitel

Meeting Materials:

- Key Issues for IL Evaluation Framework (Peach/Prah)
- Key Evaluation Framework Issues: Recommendations from SAG Evaluation Consultants (Peach/Prah)
- Draft Work Plan Template (Peach)

MEETING NOTES

November 18, 2008 SAG

Attendees: In person: Annette Beitel (FutEE), David Baker (DCEO), Ralph Prah, Gil Peach, Karen Karensfield (Ameren), Anthony Star (CNT), Kristin Munsch (AG), Keith Martin (Ameren), Susan Hedman (AG), Val Jensen (ComEd), Mike Brandt (ComEd), Roger Baker (ComEd), Megan McNeill (ICC), Mike Borovich (ICC), Phil Mosenthal (Optimal Energy for AG), Rob Kelter (ELPC), Jay Wrobel (MEEA), Dana Kenney (City of Chicago), Heidi Merchant (Ameren), Kate Agassi (MMC), Dylan Sullivan (NRDC), Bryan McDaniel (CUB).

Attendees: Phone: Sharon Ruhland (Ameren), Brian Granahan (Environment Illinois), Ilze Rukis (Integritys), Richard Zuranski (ICC), Geoff Crandall, Tom Kennedy (ICC).

Evaluation Contractors Selection Process and Recommendation Ameren

Ameren gave an update on their selection process. During this process, contractors submitted separate bids for each portfolio rather than submitting one larger bid. Based on careful review of each bid, including several days of interviewing candidates. Ameren recommends that they choose Cadmus and Summit Blue as their evaluation contractors. SAG members had no opposition to Ameren's choice.

ComEd

After reviewing two strong bids on 28 RFP's, ComEd chose Summit Blue with ITRON as their evaluation contractor for ComEd's residential and commercial portfolios. Summit Blue was chosen based on the strength and cohesiveness of their team. Additionally, ComEd was very impressed by Summit Blue's project manager. Negotiations on the contract are underway.

Applications of Net Savings Results – Ralph Prah

Ralph stressed that retrospective and prospective approaches are not mutually exclusive. Ralph suggested an approach that would use the prospective application of results except for in the area of verification and other areas of the portfolio that are of very high impact.

With respect to order 07-0540, Ralph's interpretation is that the order allows deeming of net to gross ratios for the first year iif Midwestern values are used.

ACT: – Annette will write up the AG's recommendation on how to measure net to grow savings.

Precision and Accuracy

Gil explained that often standards for measurement error are overlooked because such standards are hard to put into practice. The main question is – are we measuring what we think? It is important to focus on measurement error rather than statistical precision. This is done by choosing good evaluation contractors.

Principles Governing Allocation of Resources

Ralph and Gil suggest that the majority of resources be allocated to the areas of most uncertainty. It was pointed out that methodologically, verification and impact evaluation can often be done using the same resources and tests. In addition, in terms of budget limitations, verification could be viewed as part of QA/QC instead of EM&V.

ACT: Annette will summarize evaluation consultant recommendations, as modified by SAG input. This document will contain high level principles. This will be done with the recognition that the ICC has the ultimate decision whether to accept or reject the document.

Methods for Estimating Net Savings

SAG members will review proposed values, then come to a decision.

Proposed Work plan Template

Peach/Prahl recommended development of a 3-year strategic evaluation work plan, that work plans be strategic, that plans lay out who, what and when year by year over three years. Greater detail can be developed later in individual program-specific work plans. The issues of jobs and carbon may be pulled out of work plans and viewed as a reporting function.

Contractors will report to the ICC and the utility. Contracts will be between the utilities and the contractors.

The next meeting is scheduled for December 9th, 12:30-4:30. Evaluation contractors will talk about reporting and other issues.

The January 6th meeting is cancelled.