Stakeholder Advisory Group EM&V Focus Meeting Agenda

Thursday, July 31, 2008 1:00 –5:00 pm

Locations:

Springfield: Illinois Building, Room 1214 [**NOTE** room change] **Chicago**: James R. Thompson Center, 100 Randolph, Suite 3-400 – Illinois Room

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
1:00 – 1:15	Welcome and Opening, Introductions	Annette Beitel
1:15 – 2:30	 ComEd and Ameren Evaluation, Measurement and Verification RFPs Comments from Prahl/Peach on RFPs Input from Stakeholder Group Members 	Ralph Prahl
2:30 - 3:00	EM&V Bid IssuesEnsuring consistencyBid strategy	Ralph Prahl
3:00 - 3:20	Break	
3:20 - 4:30	Presentation of Evaluation Framework	Gil Peach
4:30 - 4:40	ComEd as City of Chicago Contractor for EE funds	Annette Beitel
4:40 - 4:50	 Incentive levels Can prescriptive measures receive customized incentives? 	David Baker
4:50 - 5:00	Closing -Next Steps	Annette Beitel

Meeting Materials:

- Consultant comments on Ameren and ComEd EM&V RFPs
- Evaluation Framework Powerpoint

Meeting Notes July 31 SAG

Attendees: In-person: Ralph Prahl; Gil Peach; Annette Beitel; Tim Melloch and Mike Brandt (ComEd); Keith Martin (Ameren); David Baker (DCEO); Susan Hedman (AG); Anthony Star (CNT); Kate Agassie (MMC); Dylan Sullivan (NRDC); Rebecca Devens (CUB); Chuck Webber (CTA); Farah Abi-Akar (CTA); Jay Wrobel (MEEA)

Attendees: Phone: Rick Voytas (Ameren); Judd Morris (Ameren); Lynda Files (Ameren); Heidi Merchant (Ameren); Geoff Crandall (consultant to ELPC/Env. IL); Tom Lisle (Optimal for AG); Rich Zuraski (ICC); David Brightwell (ICC); Lance Escue (SAIC)

ComEd/Ameren EM&V RFPs for Evaluation Contractors

Evaluation consultants Prahl and Peach provided their comments on the Ameren and ComEd EM&V RFPs (see attached notes provided to the group). Other SAG member expressed interest in providing comments on Ameren and ComEd, but due to time constraints during meeting, written or redlined comments due directly to Brandt and Martin no later than Tuesday, August 5.

EM&V Bid Issues

- Consistency EE Consultants Prahl and Peach to help ensure consistency, where appropriate, between ComEd and Ameren EM&V contracts. Prahl indicated that given similarities between ComEd and Ameren portfolios, it would have been appropriate to consider a single statewide evaluation contractor.
- Coordination with Stakeholders The SAG members discussed that they would like to be consulted, at a minimum, at the following stages of the evaluation, and requested that the EM&V contracts include specific language indicating when SAG should be consulted during course of evaluation:
- Bid Strategy