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## 1. Introduction

This report presents the results of the impact evaluation of the Joint Utility CY2018 Affordable Housing New Construction (AHNC) Program. It presents a summary of the program structure as well as both program total and measure-level energy and demand impacts. The appendix presents the impact analysis methodology. CY2018 covers January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.

## 2. Program Description

The AHNC Program provides incentives for energy efficient construction and major renovation of affordable housing. The program offers technical assistance and incentive funding and serves both single-family and multi-family housing. The program targets income eligible customers in ComEd, Peoples Gas (PGL), North Shore Gas (NSG) and Nicor Gas service territories with incomes at or below $80 \%$ of the Area Median Income (AMI). An additional goal of the program is to educate housing developers on cost-effective energy efficient building practices. Slipstream (formerly known as Seventhwave) implemented the program and husARchitecture began serving as a technical subcontractor to Slipstream in May 2018. Slipstream is responsible for overseeing day-to-day operations and providing technical assistance to participants while husARchitecture provides additional support by conducting site visits and developing incentive calculations for projects.

The AHNC Program had nine participants in CY2018 and distributed measures to 689 income eligible residential units as shown in the following table and graph. The program has three participation levels: major renovation, new multi-family, and new single-family.

Table 2-1. CY2018 Volumetric Findings Detail

| Participation | Quantity Units |
| :--- | :---: |
| Participants (ComEd) | 9 Projects |
| Participants (Nicor Gas) | 3 Projects |
| Participants (Peoples Gas) | 6 Projects |
| Participants (North Shore Gas) | 0 Projects |
| Number of AMI Units | 689 Residential units |
| Number of Total Units | 706 Residential units |
| Shell - Windows | 107,168 Sq. Ft |
| Shell - Reduced Infiltration | 389,597 CFM |
| Shell - Reduced Thermal Bridging | 442,207 Sq. Ft* |
| HVAC | 1,258 HVAC systems |
| Lighting | 9,367 Lamps |
| Appliances | 1,002 Appliances $\dagger$ |
| Hot Water | 94 |
| * Includes combination of wall area and attic area |  |
| t Includes combination of measures (Dishwashers, clothes washers and retrigerators) <br> Source: <br> anams. |  |

Figure 2-1. AHNC Distribution of Measures Installed by Verified Electric Savings


Source: Navigant Analysis
Figure 2-2. AHNC Distribution of Measures Installed by Verified Gas Savings


Source: Navigant Analysis

## 3. Program Savings Detail

Table 3-1 summarizes the incremental energy and demand savings the AHNC Program achieved in CY2018.

Table 3-1. CY2018 Total Annual Incremental Electric Savings

| Savings Category | Energy Savings (kWh) | Demand Savings (kW) | Summer Peak Demand <br> Savings (kW) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Electricity |  |  |  |  |
| Ex Ante Gross Savings | $2,054,612$ | 2,365 | 385 |  |
| Program Gross Realization Rate | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.82 |  |
| Verified Gross Savings | $1,935,089$ | 2,182 | 318 |  |
| Program Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTGR) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Verified Net Savings | $1,935,089$ | 2,182 | 318 |  |
| Converted from Gas* |  |  | NA | NA |
| Ex Ante Gross Savings | NA | NA | NA |  |
| Program Gross Realization Rate | NA | NA | NA |  |
| Verified Gross Savings | NA | NA | NA |  |
| Program Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTGR) | NA | NA |  |  |
| Verified Net Savings | $2,054,612$ | 2,365 | 385 |  |
| Total Electric Plus Gas | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.82 |  |
| Ex Ante Gross Savings | $1,935,089$ | 2,182 | 318 |  |
| Program Gross Realization Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Verified Gross Savings | $1,935,089$ | 2,182 | 318 |  |
| Program Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTGR) |  |  |  |  |
| Verified Net Savings |  |  |  |  |

NA = Not applicable

* Gas savings converted to kWh by multiplying therms * 29.31 (which is based on 100,000 Btu/therm and 3,412 Btu/kWh).

Note: Demand is defined as the difference in kW in the baseline and energy efficient period for the measures installed in CY2018. The coincident Summer Peak period is defined as 1:00-5:00 PM Central Prevailing Time on non-holiday weekdays, June through August. Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

Table 3-2 summarizes the incremental gas savings the AHNC Program achieved in CY2018.
Table 3-2. CY2018 Total Annual Incremental Therm Savings

| Savings Category | Nicor Gas <br> (therms) | Peoples Gas <br> (Therms) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Natural Gas | 26,033 | 48,252 |
| Ex Ante Gross Savings | 1.56 | 1.16 |
| Program Gross Realization Rate | 40,517 | 55,776 |
| Verified Gross Savings* | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Program Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTG) | 40,517 | 55,776 |
| Verified Net Savings* |  |  |

* Natural gas savings with electric interactive effects removed.

Source: ComEd, Nicor Gas, and Peoples Gas tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

## 4. Cumulative Persisting Annual Savings

The measure-specific and total ex ante gross savings for the AHNC Program and the cumulative persisting annual savings (CPAS) for the measures installed in CY2018 are shown in the following tables and figure. The total CPAS across all measures is $1,935,089 \mathrm{kWh}$. There are no CPAS equivalent of gas savings converted to electricity for this program that may be counted towards ComEd's goal.

## NAVIGANT Joint Utility AHNC Impact Evaluation Report

Table 4-1. Cumulative Persisting Annual Savings (CPAS) - Electric

|  |  |  |  |  |  | ied Net | avings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Use Type | Research Category | EULº | CY2018 <br> Verified <br> Gross <br> Savings | NTG* | Lifetime Net Savingst | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 25.0 | 25,661 | 1.00 | 641,530 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | 15.0 | 137,837 | 1.00 | 2,067,555 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 25.0 | 18,468 | 1.00 | 461,692 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Residential) | 18.0 | 4,250 | 1.00 | 76,497 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Commercial) | 15.0 | 136,954 | 1.00 | 2,054,314 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 |
| HVAC | Furnace | 20.0 | 207,888 | 1.00 | 3,430,152 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 |
| HVAC | Central Air Conditioning | 18.0 | 22,178 | 1.00 | 399,196 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 |
| HVAC | Chiller | 20.0 | 49,084 | 1.00 | 981,677 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 |
| HVAC | High Performance Fans | 19.0 | 20,371 | 1.00 | 387,050 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | 9.1 | 1,035,346 | 1.00 | 8,031,215 | 1,035,346 | 1,035,346 | 1,035,346 | 807,406 | 807,406 | 807,406 | 807,406 | 807,406 | 807,406 |
| Lighting | High Performance Exterior Lighting | 10.2 | 237,143 | 1.00 | 2,418,862 | 237,143 | 237,143 | 237,143 | 237,143 | 237,143 | 237,143 | 237,143 | 237,143 | 237,143 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer | 14.0 | 6,918 | 1.00 | 96,852 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Dishwasher | 13.0 | 3,549 | $1.00{ }^{\circ}$ | 46,138 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Refrigerator | 12.0 | 29,442 | 1.00 | 353,301 | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 |
| CY2018 Program Total Electric CPAS |  |  | 1,935,089 |  | 21,446,030 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,707,148 | 1,707,148 | 1,707,148 | 1,707,148 | 1,707,148 | 1,707,148 |
| CY2018 Program Expiring Electric Savings $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 227,941 | 227,941 | 227,941 | 227,941 | 227,941 | 227,941 |


| End Use Type | Research Category | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 | 2038 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 | 137,837 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Residential) | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 | 4,250 |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Commercial) | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 | 136,954 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Furnace | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 | 207,888 |  |
| HVAC | Central Air Conditioning | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 | 22,178 |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Chiller | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 | 49,084 |  |
| HVAC | High Performance Fans | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 |  |  |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | 80,741 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting | High Performance Exterior Lighting | 237,143 | 47,429 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 | 6,918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Dishwasher | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 | 3,549 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Refrigerator | 29,442 | 29,442 | 29,442 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CY2018 Program Total Electric CPAS |  | 980,483 | 710,028 | 662,599 | 633,157 | 629,608 | 622,690 | 347,899 | 347,899 | 347,899 | 321,472 | 301,101 | 44,129 |
| CY2018 Program Expiring Electric Savings $\ddagger$ |  | 954,606 | 1,225,061 | 1,272,490 | 1,301,932 | 1,305,481 | 1,312,399 | 1,587,190 | 1,587,190 | 1,587,190 | 1,613,617 | 1,633,988 | 1,890,960 |


| End Use Type | Research Category | 2039 | 2040 | 2041 | 2042 | 2043 | 2044 | 2045 | 2046 | 2047 | 2048 | 2049 | 2050 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 | 25,661 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 | 18,468 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Resid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Co |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Furnace |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Central Air Conditioning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC | Chiller |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC | High Performance Fans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting | High Performance Exterior Li |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Clothes Was |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Dishwasher |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Refrigerator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CY2018 Program Total Electric CPAS |  | 44,129 | 44,129 | 44,129 | 44,129 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - |
| CY2018 Program Expiring Electric Savings $\ddagger$ |  | 1,890,960 | 1,890,960 | 1,890,960 | 1,890,960 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 | 1,935,089 |

Note: The green highlighted cell shows program total first year electric savings.
${ }^{\circ}$ All EUL assumptions from IL TRM V6.0 for respective end use. Window EUL source is the shell end use in IL TRM V6.0, verified by a similar EUL listed in DEER 2014 for windows.

* A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY10_Recommendations_2017-03-01.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.
$\dagger$ Lifetime savings are the sum of CPAS savings through the EUL.
$\ddagger$ Expiring savings are equal to CPAS Yn-1 - CPAS Yn + Expiring Savings Yn-1.
Source: Navigant analysis

Figure 4-1. Cumulative Persisting Annual Savings

$\ddagger$ Expiring savings are equal to CPAS Yn-1 - CPAS Yn + Expiring Savings Yn-1.
Source: Navigant analysis

## 5. Program Savings by Measure

The AHNC Program provides incentives for a variety of measures such as lighting, HVAC, water heating, shell, and appliances, as shown in the following tables. Lighting and HVAC measures contribute $87 \%$ of the total program ex ante gross electric savings.

Table 5-1. CY2018 Energy Savings by Measure - Electric

| End Use <br> Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross <br> Savings (kWh) | Verified Gross Realization Rate | Verified Gross <br> Savings (kWh) | NTG * | Verified Net Savings (kWh) | Effective Useful Life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | 1,036,311 | 1.00 | 1,035,346 | 1.00 | 1,035,346 | 9.1 |
| HVAC | High Performance HVAC Equipment | 518,116 | 0.81 | 420,354 | 1.00 | 420,354 | 18.0 |
| Lighting | High Performance Exterior Lighting | 237,692 | 1.00 | 237,143 | 1.00 | 237,143 | 10.2 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | 139,936 | 0.99 | 137,837 | 1.00 | 137,837 | 15.0 |
| Appliance | Efficient Aplliances | 52,665 | 0.76 | 39,909 | 1.00 | 39,909 | 12.0 |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 25,907 | 0.99 | 25,661 | 1.00 | 25,661 | 25.0 |
| HVAC | High Performance Fans | 25,215 | 0.81 | 20,371 | 1.00 | 20,371 | 19.0 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 18,770 | 0.98 | 18,468 | 1.00 | 18,468 | 25.0 |
|  | Total | 2,054,612 | 0.94 | 1,935,089 | 1.00 | 1,935,089 |  |

[^0]Table 5-2. CY2018 Demand Savings by Measure

| End Use Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross <br> Demand <br> Reduction (kW) | Verified Gross Realization Rate | Verified Gross Demand Reduction (kW) | NTG * | Verified Net Demand Reduction (kW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | 1,630 | 1.00 | 1,628 | 1.00 | 1,628 |
| HVAC | High Performance HVAC Equipment | 413 | 0.69 | 284 | 1.00 | 284 |
| Appliance | Efficient Appliances | 193 | 0.78 | 150 | 1.00 | 150 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | 102 | 0.96 | 98 | 1.00 | 98 |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 9 | 0.96 | 9 | 1.00 | 9 |
| HVAC | High Performance Fans | 9 | 0.63 | 6 | 1.00 | 6 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 8 | 0.93 | 7 | 1.00 | 7 |
|  | Total | 2,365 | 0.92 | 2,182 | 1.00 | 2,182 |

* A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY10_Recommendations_2017-03-01.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.
Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.
Table 5-3. CY2018 Summer Peak Demand Savings by Measure

| End Use Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross <br> Peak Demand <br> Reduction (kW) | Verified Gross Realization Rate* | Verified Gross <br> Peak Demand <br> Reduction (kW) | NTG* | Verified Net Peak Demand Reduction (kW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HVAC | High Performance HVAC Equipment | 192 | 0.69 | 132 | 1.00 | 132 |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | 121 | 1.00 | 120 | 1.00 | 120 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | 48 | 0.96 | 46 | 1.00 | 46 |
| HVAC | High Performance Fans | 9 | 0.63 | 6 | 1.00 | 6 |
| Appliance | Efficient Appliances | 7 | 0.78 | 6 | 1.00 | 6 |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 4 | 0.96 | 4 | 1.00 | 4 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 4 | 0.93 | 3 | 1.00 | 3 |
|  | Total | 385 | 0.82 | 318 | 1.00 | 318 |

* A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY10_Recommendations_2017-03-01.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.
Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.
Table 5-4. CY2018 Natural Gas Energy Savings by Measure - Nicor Gas

| End Use <br> Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross <br> Savings <br> (Therms) | Verified Gross <br> Realization Rate | Verified Gross <br> Savings <br> (Therms) | NTG* | Verified Net <br> Savings <br> (Therms) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HVAC | Furnace | 23,480 | 1.04 | 24,471 | 1.00 | 24,471 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | 10,194 | 1.00 | 10,194 | 1.00 | 10,194 |
| Hot Water | High Performance Hot Water Heatin | 4,470 | 1.00 | 4,470 | 1.00 | 4,470 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 645 | 1.00 | 645 | 1.00 | 645 |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 442 | 1.00 | 442 | 1.00 | 442 |
| Appliance | Efficient Aplliances | 640 | 0.46 | 296 | 1.00 | 296 |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | $-13,837$ | 0.00 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 |
|  | Total $\dagger$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 , 5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 , 5 1 7}$ |

[^1]Table 5-5. CY2018 Natural Gas Energy Savings by Measure - Peoples Gas

| End Use <br> Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross <br> Savings <br> (Therms) | Verified Gross <br> Realization Rate | Verified Gross <br> Savings <br> (Therms) | NTG* | Verified Net <br> Savings <br> (Therms) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HVAC | Furnace | 18,077 | 1.03 | 18,708 | 1.00 | 18,708 |
| HVAC | Boiler | 13,097 | 1.03 | 13,554 | 1.00 | 13,554 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | 11,237 | 1.00 | 11,237 | 1.00 | 11,237 |
| Hot Water | High Performance Hot Water Heatin | 10,003 | 0.95 | 9,463 | 1.00 | 9,463 |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | 1,382 | 1.00 | 1,382 | 1.00 | 1,382 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | 1,212 | 1.00 | 1,212 | 1.00 | 1,212 |
| Appliance | Efficient Apliances | 285 | 0.77 | 220 | 1.00 | 220 |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | $-7,042$ | NA | 0 | 1.00 | 0 |
|  | Total $\dagger$ | 48,252 | 1.16 | 55,776 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 , 7 7 6}$ |

* A deemed value. Source: PGL_NSG_GPY7_NTG_Values_2017-03-01_Final.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.
$\dagger$ The total excludes the electric interactive effects on the total therms.
Source: Peoples Gas tracking data and Navigant team analysis.


## 6. Impact Analysis Findings and Recommendations

### 6.1 Impact Parameter Estimates

The implementer provided project savings calculations and documentation for the evaluation team to review. Project documentation included program forms and applications; architectural, landscape, mechanical, and plumbing drawings; and appliance, lighting, HVAC, and window specifications. The implementer also provided photos and reports from site visits and testing results. The evaluation team analyzed all documentation and verified that savings and measure counts reported in the project calculators aligned with the provided project documentation and program tracking data.

The evaluation team applied algorithms outlined in the Illinois Technical Reference Manual (IL TRM), version 6.0 and errata to calculate verified gross savings for the AHNC Program. The evaluation team verified that these algorithms and appropriate deemed input parameters were applied correctly and validated any custom parameters through project documentation and actual equipment specifications. The evaluation team calculated verified net savings by multiplying the verified gross savings by a deemed net-to-gross (NTG). The NTG for the AHNC Program was approved through a consensus process managed through the Illinois Stakeholder Advisory Group (IL SAG).

Table 6-1. Savings Parameters

| Gross Savings Input Parameters | Value | Units | Deemed * or <br> Evaluated? | Source |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Measure Quantity | Varies | \# Measures | Evaluated | Tracking Database |
| NTG | 100 | $\%$ | Deemed | IL SAG Consensus $\dagger$ |
| Gross Savings per Unit, Deemed Measures | Varies | kWh | Deemed | IL TRM v6.0 |
| Gross Savings per Unit, Non-Deemed Measures | Varies | kWh | Evaluated | Project Documentation |
| Effective Useful Life (EUL) | Varies | Years | Deemed | IL TRM v6.0 |
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### 6.2 Other Impact Findings and Recommendations

The evaluation team has developed several recommendations based on findings from the CY2018 evaluation, as follows:

### 6.2.1 Verified Gross Impacts and Realization Rate

The CY2018 AHNC Program achieved 1,935,089 kWh of verified gross energy savings, 2,182 kW of verified gross demand reduction, 318 kW of verified gross peak demand reduction, and 96,294 therms of verified gross gas savings. The overall verified gross program realization rate was $94 \%$ for electric energy savings, $92 \%$ for demand savings, $82 \%$ for peak demand savings, and $130 \%$ for gas savings.

Recommendation 1. The ex ante demand and peak demand savings are included in the individual project workbooks, but not provided in the ComEd tracking database. The evaluation team recommends ComEd track gross demand and peak demand reduction.

### 6.2.2 High-Performance Interior Lighting

### 6.2.2.1 Electric

High-performance interior lighting has energy and demand realization rates of 100\%.

### 6.2.2.2 Gas

The ex ante calculations include interactive effects for gas space heating penalties resulting from installing energy efficient lighting.

Recommendation 1. Exclude gas heating penalties when calculating gas savings. This is consistent with how heating penalties are managed in other areas within the state. Since heating penalties account for $28 \%$ of the total ex ante therm savings, including them has a significant impact on the overall program realization rate. Had ex ante savings excluded heating penalties, the gas realization rate would be closer to $102 \%$.

### 6.2.3 High-Performance HVAC Equipment

### 6.2.3.1 Electric

High-performance HVAC equipment has energy and demand realization rates of $81 \%$ and $69 \%$ respectively. These realization rates are primarily due to an error in ex ante assumptions for two large projects (AH0O10 and AH0034-55 unit). For project AHOO10, ex ante cooling calculations included both a chiller and 180 individual split air conditioning systems. The evaluation team removed the 180 split system units as the project specifications only include a chiller system. It is likely these calculations were initially used as a placeholder, with the intent to remove once final chiller calculations were added. For project AH0034, the evaluation team updated baseline EER and COP values according to IECC 2015 baselines in the IL TRM v6.0 for the nine commercial heat pump units.

Recommendation 2. The evaluation team does not recommend revising savings in retrospect for project specific savings discrepancies, but instead recommends all savings calculations undergo additional QC checks to reduce calculation errors and to assess whether the magnitude of savings is reasonable.

Project level realization rates are also driven by other factors including equipment efficiencies, baseline efficiencies, full load hours (EFLH), and system capacities.

Recommendation 3. For all residential grade ${ }^{1}$ HVAC equipment serving multi-family dwelling units, the evaluation team recommends using the multi-family full load hours, as specified in the TRM for all multi-family projects, regardless of whether the building is permitted under the residential or commercial energy code.

Recommendation 4. For commercial grade ${ }^{1}$ HVAC equipment, the evaluation team recommends using the multi-family mid-rise or high-rise full load hours depending on the number of stories in the building, as specified in the TRM.

Recommendation 5. The evaluation team recommends applying the appropriate unit of efficiency given its application (i.e., varies based on HVAC type, building type, and calculation type) as specified in Sections 4.4 and 5.3 of the IL TRM, including:

- SEER
o Residential grade central air conditioning cooling savings
o Commercial grade central air conditioning ( $<65 \mathrm{kBtuh}$ ) cooling savings
o Residential grade heat pump kWh cooling savings
o Commercial grade heat pump (<65 kBtuh) cooling savings
- HSPF
o Residential grade heat pump kWh heating savings
o Commercial grade heat pump kWh heating savings
- CEER
o Residential grade room air conditioner
- EER
o Commercial grade room air conditioners
o Commercial grade heat pumps ( $\geq 65 \mathrm{kBtuh}$ )
o Packaged terminal air conditioner (PTAC) kWh cooling savings
o Packaged terminal heat pump (PTHP) kWh cooling savings
o Calculate demand savings
- IEER
o Commercial grade air conditioners ( $\geq 65 \mathrm{kBtuh}$ )
- COP
o PTHP kWh heating savings
- IPLV (kW/ton)
o Chillers


### 6.2.3.2 Gas

High-performance HVAC equipment has a gas realization rate of 104\% and 103\% for PGL and Nicor Gas, respectively. These realization rates are due to the combination of algorithmic errors for boilers and

[^3]full load hours. For projects with commercial grade boilers, the ex ante savings calculation formula divides by the efficiency of the installed boiler instead of the baseline efficiency.

Recommendation 6. In the savings calculation for commercial grade boilers, update savings calculations for commercial grade boilers by dividing by the baseline efficiency, instead of by the installed efficiency, as specified in the energy savings algorithm in section 4.4 .10 in the TRM.

Recommendation 7. For residential grade ${ }^{1}$ HVAC equipment serving multi-family dwelling units, the evaluation team recommends using the multi-family full load hours, as specified in the TRM for all multi-family projects, regardless of whether the building is permitted under the residential or commercial energy code.

Recommendation 8. For commercial grade ${ }^{1}$ HVAC equipment, the evaluation team recommends using the multi-family mid-rise or high-rise full load hours depending on the number of stories in the building, as specified in the TRM.

### 6.2.4 High-Performance Exterior Lighting

High-performance exterior lighting has an energy realization rate of 100\%.

### 6.2.5 Reduced Infiltration

Reduced infiltration has energy, demand, and therm realization rates close to 100\%. No discrepancies merit mention.

### 6.2.6 High-Performance Water Heating Equipment

High-performance water heating equipment has a gas realization rate of $95 \%$ for $\mathrm{PGL}^{2}$. This realization rate is driven by differences in water heater input ratings, rated volume, and the number of people per household.

For residential grade water heaters, the baseline energy factor is based on the water heater tank volume, where ex ante calculations use a default value of 40 gallons.

Recommendation 9. For residential grade water heaters, the evaluation team recommends using the actual water heater rated volume to determine the baseline energy factor.

Ex ante calculations apply single-family assumptions if the building is residential (three stories or less) and multi-family assumptions if the building is commercial from the IL TRM for the number of people per household variable.

Recommendation 10. The evaluation team recommends applying the multi-family assumption of 2.1 people per household for all multi-family projects, regardless of whether the building is permitted under the residential or commercial energy code.
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### 6.2.7 Efficient Appliances

### 6.2.7.1 Electric

Efficient appliances have an energy and demand realization rate of $76 \%$ and $77 \%$, respectively. These realization rates are primarily due to an error in ex ante assumptions for two large projects (AH0005 and AH0006). For project AH0005, the evaluation team updated clothes washer configurations to front loading and used the actual washer and refrigerator capacities from the project specifications and site verification documents leading to a project level realization rate of 53\%. For project AH0006, ex ante savings calculations multiplying by the number of apartments ( $n=53$ ) instead of the number of installed clothes washers ( $n=6$ ) resulting in an overestimate in electric savings. Ex ante savings also assumed all clothes washers were top loading instead of front loading, resulting in an additional overestimate of savings and ultimately a project level realization rate of $32 \%$.

Recommendation 11. The evaluation team recommends using actual appliance specifications when known. When actual appliance specifications are unavailable, the evaluation team recommends relying on IL TRM default assumptions.

### 6.2.7.2 Gas

Efficient appliances have gas realization rates of $77 \%$ and $46 \%$ for PGL and Nicor Gas, respectively. The PGL and Nicor Gas realization rates are driven by differences in clothes washer capacities and loading configurations (i.e., front loading, top loading). The evaluation team updated the clothes washer capacities and adjusted the loading configurations from top loading to front loading to align with the appliance characteristics specified in the project documentation for PGL projects AH0008 and AH0047 and Nicor Gas projects AH0005 and AH0006. Additionally, the evaluation team identified that ex ante savings calculations multiplied by the number of apartments ( $n=53$ ) instead of the number of installed clothes washers ( $n=6$ ) for Nicor Gas project AH0006, resulting in an overestimate of ex ante savings..

Recommendation 12. The evaluation team recommends using actual appliance specifications for capacity and loading configuration, when known. When actual appliance specifications are unavailable, the evaluation team recommends relying on IL TRM default values.

### 6.2.8 High Performance Fans

### 6.2.8.1 Electric

High performance fans have an electric realization rate of 81\%. The realization rate is driven by differences in savings calculation inputs compared to project documentation for projects AH 0005 , AH0006, AH0034 (12 unit), and AH0047 (building one and two) as well as the misapplication of fan characteristics based on installed location (i.e., bathroom, kitchen). Below we identify the parameters that differ between ex ante and what is provided in project documentation, as well as other discrepancies in savings for each project:

- AH0005: Fan capacity (cubic feet per minute) differs from project documentation
- AH0006: Bathroom fan inputs (i.e., fan capacity, efficiency, runtime hours) used to calculate kitchen fan savings; Kitchen fan inputs (i.e., fan capacity, efficiency, runtime hours) used to calculate bathroom fan savings
- AH0034 (12 unit): Measure quantity double counted (i.e., total quantity of 24 applied to both kitchen and bathroom fans when 12 of each type were installed); Fan capacity, efficiency, and bathroom runtime hours differ from project documentation
- AH0047 (Building one and two): Measure quantity differs from project documentation

Recommendation 13. The evaluation team recommends using actual fan specifications when known. When actual fan specifications are unavailable, the evaluation team recommends relying on IL TRM default assumptions.
Recommendation 14. The evaluation team recommends calculating savings specific to the fan type and installed location (i.e., kitchen exhaust fan, bathroom ventilation fan) per project documentation.
Recommendation 15. The evaluation team does not recommend revising savings in retrospect for project specific savings discrepancies, but instead recommends all savings calculations undergo additional QC checks to reduce calculation errors and to assess whether the magnitude of savings is reasonable.

### 6.2.9 Reduced Thermal Bridging

### 6.2.9.1 Electric

Reduced thermal bridging has an energy realization rate of $98 \%$. Reduced thermal bridging calculations are dependent on HVAC specifications. Therefore, the ComEd energy realization rate is driven by HVAC updates made to project AHOO1O as described above in Section 6.2.3. ${ }^{3}$

### 6.2.9.2 Gas

Reduced thermal bridging has a gas realization rate of $100 \%$. Reduced thermal bridging has therm realization rates of $100 \%$.

### 6.2.10 Program Participation

The program had nine ComEd participants, three Nicor Gas participants, six Peoples Gas, and zero NSG participants, servicing over 689 income eligible housing units.

## 7. Appendix 1. Impact Analysis Methodology

The evaluation team calculated gross verified savings for the AHNC Program by applying savings algorithms from the IL TRM v6.0. The team prioritized project specific documentation ${ }^{4}$ to inform savings calculations where the IL TRM advises to use actual values. For variables where project documentation did not provide this information, the evaluation team relied on defaults from the IL TRM v6.0.

The evaluation team calculated verified net energy and demand savings by multiplying the verified gross savings estimates by a deemed NTG of 1.0. In CY2018, the NTG estimates used to calculate the verified net savings were based on past evaluation research and approved through a consensus process managed through the IL SAG.
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## 8. Appendix 2. Total Resource Cost Detail

Table 8-1, Table 8-2, and Table 8-3 below show the Total Resource Cost (TRC) tables. It includes only the cost-effectiveness analysis inputs available at the time of finalizing this impact evaluation report. Additional required cost data (e.g., measure costs, program level incentive and non-incentive costs) are not included in this table and will be provided to evaluation later.

## NAVIGANT Joint Utility AHNC Impact Evaluation Report

Table 8-1. Total Resource Cost Savings Summary for ComEd

| End Use Type | Research Category | Units | Quantity | Effective Useful Life | Verified Gross Savings (kWh) | Verified Gross Peak Demand Reduction (kW) | Gross <br> Heating Penalty (kWh) | Gross <br> Heating <br> Penalty <br> (Therms) | Verified Net Savings (kWh) | Verified Net Peak Demand Reduction (kW) | Net <br> Heating Penalty (kWh) | Net <br> Heating Penalty (Therms) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | Sq. Ft | 107,168 | 25.0 | 25,661 | 4.22 | 0 | 0 | 25,661 | 4.22 | 0 | 0 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | CFM | 389,597 | 15.0 | 137,837 | 45.80 | 0 | 0 | 137,837 | 45.80 | 0 | 0 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | Sq. Ft | 442,207 | 25.0 | 18,468 | 3.36 | 0 | 0 | 18,468 | 3.36 | 0 | 0 |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Residential) | Each | 6 | 18.0 | 4,250 | 0.56 | 0 | 0 | 4,250 | 0.56 | 0 | 0 |
| HVAC | Air Source Heat Pump (Commercial) | Each | 19 | 15.0 | 136,954 | 35.41 | 0 | 0 | 136,954 | 35.41 | 0 | 0 |
| HVAC | Furnace | Each | 284 | 20.0 | 207,888 | 68.34 | 0 | 0 | 207,888 | 68.34 | 0 | 0 |
| HVAC | Central Air Conditioning | Each | 284 | 18.0 | 22,178 | 19.97 | 0 | 0 | 22,178 | 19.97 | 0 | 0 |
| HVAC | Chiller | Each | 1 | 20.0 | 49,084 | 7.94 | 0 | 0 | 49,084 | 7.94 | 0 | 0 |
| HVAC | High Performance Fans | Each | 772 | 19.0 | 20,371 | 5.77 | 0 | 0 | 20,371 | 5.77 | 0 | 0 |
| Lighting | High Performance Interior Lighting | Each | 8,725 | 9.1 | 1,035,346 | 120.49 | -23,328 | -20,029 | 1,035,346 | 120.49 | -23,328 | -20,029 |
| Lighting | High Performance Exterior Lighting | Each | 642 | 10.2 | 237,143 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 237,143 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer | Each | 77 | 14.0 | 6,918 | 0.89 | 0 | 0 | 6,918 | 0.89 | 0 | 0 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Dishwasher | Each | 218 | 13.0 | 3,549 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 3,549 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Refrigerator | Each | 707 | 12.0 | 29,442 | 4.44 | 0 | 0 | 29,442 | 4.44 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total |  | N/A | N/A | 1,935,089 | 318 | -23,328 | -20,029 | 1,935,089 | 318 | -23,328 | -20,029 |

Note: The EULs for high performance interior lighting measures vary over time. See the CPAS tables Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

Table 8-2. Total Resource Cost Savings Summary for Nicor Gas

| End Use Type | Research Category | Units | Quantity | Effective Useful Life | Verified Gross Savings (therms) | NTG Ratio (Therms) | Verified Net Savings (therms) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | Sq. Ft | 12,469 | 25.0 | 442 | 1.00 | 442 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | CFM | 139,007 | 15.0 | 10,194 | 1.00 | 10,194 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | Sq. Ft | 148,363 | 25.0 | 645 | 1.00 | 645 |
| HVAC | Furnace | Each | 182 | 20.0 | 24,471 | 1.00 | 24,471 |
| Hot Water | High Performance Hot Water Heating | Each | 18 | 16.0 | 4,470 | 1.00 | 4,470 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer | Each | 56 | 14.0 | 204 | 1.00 | 204 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Dishwasher | Each | 103 | 13.0 | 92 | 1.00 | 92 |

Source: Navigant analysis of tracking data.
Table 8-3. Total Resource Cost Savings Summary for Peoples Gas

| End Use Type Research Category | Units | Quantity | Effective <br> Useful Life | Verified Gross <br> Savings <br> (therms) | NTG Ratio <br> (Therms) | Verified Net <br> Savings <br> (therms) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shell | High Performance Windows | Sq. Ft | 94,699 | 25.0 | 1,382 | 1.00 | 1,382 |
| Shell | Reduced Infiltration | CFM | 250,591 | 15.0 | 11,237 | 1.00 | 11,237 |
| Shell | Reduced Thermal Bridging | Sq. Ft | 293,844 | 25.0 | 1,212 | 1.00 | 1,212 |
| HVAC | Furnace | Each | 102 | 20.0 | 18,708 | 1.00 | 18,708 |
| HVAC | Boiler | Each | 2 | 20.0 | 13,554 | 1.00 | 13,554 |
| Hot Water | High Performance Hot Water Heating | Each | 76 | 16.0 | 9,463 | 1.00 | 9,463 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer | Each | 21 | 14.0 | 118 | 1.00 | 118 |
| Appliance | ENERGY STAR Dishwasher | Each | 115 | 13.0 | 102 | 1.00 | 102 |
| Sol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Navigant analysis of tracking data.


[^0]:    * A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY10_Recommendations_2017-03-01.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://lisag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.
    Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

[^1]:    *A deemed value. Source: Nicor_Gas_GPY7_NTG_Values_2017-03-01_Final.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.
    $\dagger$ The total excludes the electric interactive effects on the total therms.
    Source: Nicor Gas tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

[^2]:    * State of Illinois Technical Reference Manual version 6.0 from http://www.ilsag.info/technical-reference-manual.html.
    $\dagger$ A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY10_Recommendations_2017-03-01.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Residential grade HVAC equipment are those installed in and services individual apartment units where commercial grade HVAC equipment services common areas or a block of apartment units. Additionally, equipment capacities are indicative of whether equipment is residential or commercial grade.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Nicor Gas has $100 \%$ realization rate water heating measures and therefore no savings discrepancies to report.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ Ex ante cooling calculations for AHOO1O included both a chiller and 180 individual split air conditioning systems. The evaluation team removed the 180 split system units as the project specifications only include a chiller system. These calculations were initially used as a placeholder, with the intent to remove once final chiller calculations were added which had implications on thermal bridging savings in addition to HVAC.
    ${ }^{4}$ Project documentation included program forms and applications; architectural, landscape, mechanical, and plumbing drawings; equipment specifications; and site visit photos and testing results

