

# **ComEd Matrix Demand Based Ventilation** Fan Controller IPA Program Impact Evaluation Report

Energy Efficiency / Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 9 (PY9)

Presented to ComEd

DRAFT

May 7, 2018

### Prepared by:

Jamie Falk Navigant Consulting, Inc. Nishant Mehta Navigant Consulting, Inc.

www.navigant.com



#### Submitted to:

ComEd Three Lincoln Centre Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

#### Submitted by:

Navigant Consulting, Inc. 150 N. Riverside, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606

#### Contact:

Randy Gunn, Managing Director 312.583.5714 Randy.Gunn@Navigant.com Jeff Erickson, Director 608.497.2322 Jeff.Erickson@Navigant.com Patricia Plympton, Associate Director 202.253.9356 Patricia.Plympton@Navigant.com

Disclaimer: This report was prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. ("Navigant") for ComEd based upon information provided by ComEd and from other sources. Use of this report by any other party for whatever purpose should not, and does not, absolve such party from using due diligence in verifying the report's contents. Neither Navigant nor any of its subsidiaries or affiliates assumes any liability or duty of care to such parties, and hereby disclaims any such liability.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Program Description	1
3. Program Savings	1
4. Program Savings by Measure	2
5. Impact Analysis Findings and Recommendations	2
5.1 Impact Parameter Estimates	2
5.2 Other Impact Findings and Recommendations	3
6. Appendix 1. Impact Analysis Methodology	3
7. Appendix 2. Impact Analysis Detail	4
8. Appendix 3. Total Resource Cost Detail	4

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. PY9 Volumetric Findings Detail	1
Table 3-1. PY9 Total Annual Incremental Savings	2
Table 4-1: PY9 Energy Savings by Measure	
Table 5-1. Verified Gross Savings Parameters	
Table 7-1: Energy Savings Detail by Business Type	
Table 8-1: Total Resource Cost Savings Summary	
5 ,	



### **1. INTRODUCTION**

This report presents the results of the impact evaluation of ComEd's PY9Matrix Demand Based Ventilation Fan Controller IPA (DBVFC) Program. It presents a summary of the energy and demand impacts for the total program and broken out by relevant measure and program structure details. Section 6 presents the impact analysis methodology. PY9 covers June 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017.

## **2. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

The PY9 Matrix DBVFC Program optimizes the operating time of an HVAC supply air fan which provides conditioned outside and return air to the building space. The supply air fan can be controlled to provide sufficient outside air and air circulation while saving energy with the DBVFC device. This device saves energy in two ways: 1) by turning the fan motor off when it is not needed and 2) by reducing the energy needed by heating and cooling only the necessary amounts of the outside air brought into the building. The Matrix DBVFC Program is designed for all restaurants and fitness center customers with demand less than 100 kW.

The program had 85 participants in PY9 and installed 177 measures shown in the following table and graph.

Participation	Channel A
Participants	85
Total Measures	177
Number of Measures/Project	2.1
Installed Projects	85

### Table 2-1. PY9 Volumetric Findings Detail

Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

## **3. PROGRAM SAVINGS**

Table 3-1 summarizes the incremental energy and demand savings the Matrix DBVFC Program achieved in PY9. The PY9 net energy savings target for the Matrix DBVFC Program was 5,517,395 kWh. The Matrix DBVFC Program achieved net verified energy savings of 737,171 kWh and zero demand savings, since the reduced ventilation occured during the off-peak period. The DBVFC measure saved electricity by turning off a fan motor for a short period of time, limited to less than an hour. Since peak energy is measured in hourly increments, there was no demand savings associated with this measure. This DBVFC measure did not reduce demand over an entire peak period, but rather reduced the demand for short time windows throughout the period.



#### Table 3-1. PY9 Total Annual Incremental Savings

Savings Category	Energy Savings (kWh)	Demand Savings* (kW)	Peak Demand Savings (kW)
Ex Ante Gross Savings	828,282	NR	NA
Program Gross Realization Rate	100%	NR	NA
Verified Gross Savings	828,282	NR	NA
Program Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTGR)	0.89	NR	NA
Verified Net Savings	737,171	NR	NA

\*NR = Not Reported

Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

# 4. PROGRAM SAVINGS BY MEASURE

Since there is only one measure, this section details only the DBVFC Units, and the associated measure life. Because these are newly-installed units, the Effective Useful Life (EUL) is equivalent to the measure life.

#### Table 4-1: PY9 Energy Savings by Measure

Enduse Type	Research Category	Ex Ante Gross Savings (kWh)	Verified Gross Realization Rate	Verified Gross Savings (kWh)	NTGR *	Verified Net Savings (kWh)	Technical Measure Life	Persistence	Effective Useful Life (EUL)†
HVAC	DBVFC Unit	828,282	100%	828,282	0.89	737,171	10	NA	10
	Total	828,282	100%	828,282	0.89	737,171	10	NA	10

\* A deemed value. Source: ComEd\_NTG\_History\_and\_PY9\_Recommendations\_2016-02-26\_Final.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.

† EUL is a combination of technical measure life and persistence

Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis

## 5. IMPACT ANALYSIS FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### **5.1 Impact Parameter Estimates**

Energy savings are estimated using the following formula as specified in the workpaper<sup>1</sup> provided by Matrix and verified by Navigant in PY9:

Deemed Savings (kWh/ton) \*Total Tons Controlled = kWh Savings

Where:

Deemed Savings (24-hour facility) = 801.6 kWh/ton Deemed Savings (18-hour facility) = 703.4 kWh/ton Total Tons Controlled = total tonnage controlled by DBVFC unit.

The lifetime energy and demand savings are estimated by multiplying the verified savings by the technical measure life for each measure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Supply Fan Cycling for Small Packaged HVAC" March 31, 2017



The EM&V team conducted research to validate the parameters that were not specified in the TRM. The results are shown in the following table. Quantity is the total number of tons controlled, by building schedule type (18 hours per day or 24 hours per day).

Table 5-1	Verified	Gross	<b>Savings</b>	Parameters
-----------	----------	-------	----------------	------------

Gross Savings Input Parameters	Value	Deemed* or Evaluated?
Quantity (tons controlled, 18-hour)	120.5	Evaluated
Quantity (tons controlled, 24-hour)	927.5	Evaluated
Gross Savings per Unit, Deemed Measures (kWh/ton, 18-hour)	703.4	Deemed*
Gross Savings per Unit, Deemed Measures (kWh/ton, 24-hour)	801.6	Deemed*
Net Savings per Unit, Deemed Measures (kWh/ton, 18-hour)	626.0	Deemed*
Net Savings per Unit, Deemed Measures (kWh/ton, 24-hour)	713.4	Deemed*
Verified Realization Rate on Ex-Ante Gross Savings (Non-Lighting)	100%	Evaluated

Source: Supply Fan Cycling for Small Packaged HVAC" March 31, 2017

# 5.2 Other Impact Findings and Recommendations

- Finding 1. The PY9 Net Energy Savings goal for Matrix DBVFC Program is 5,517,395 kWh. The program met 13 percent of its target net energy savings goal with verified net energy savings value of 737,171 kWh
- Recommendation 1. Navigant recommends further expanding marketing activities and market presence in the ComEd service area by targeting businesses that have period of highest occupant density for short periods of the day relative to overall operating hours, but are otherwise substantially less populated, like fitness centers and restaurants.
- Finding 2. Program participation increased from two participants in PY8 to 85 participants in PY9, indicating that Matrix expanded their marketing activities, as recommended in the PY8 report.
- **Recommendation 2.** Navigant recommends further expanding marketing activities and market presence in the ComEd service area.

### 6. APPENDIX 1. IMPACT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Navigant performed a thorough review of the workpaper<sup>2</sup> submitted by Matrix to verify and validate the deemed savings for the DBVFC measure.

The total energy savings from this measure come from two sources: fan energy savings from stopping the fan during periods of low occupancy and cooling energy savings from reducing the amount of outside air brought into the space.

The fan energy savings is calculated by determining the amount of time the fan can be turned off and multiplying by the input demand of the fan's electric motor. Using hourly typical meteorological year (TMY) weather data, the available time for the fan to be turned off can be calculated by determining the fraction of each hour required for space conditioning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Supply Fan Cycling for Small Packaged HVAC" March 31, 2017



For fan savings during the cooling season, hourly fractions were calculated for outside temperatures above 72°F. For fan energy savings during the heating season, hourly fractions were calculated for outside temperatures below 60°F and for shoulder seasons, hourly fractions were calculated for outside temperatures between 60°F and 72°F. For each hour, the available time to turn the fan off is determined by an occupancy profile in which the average set-point to deactivate the fan is compared against normalized  $CO_2$  threshold values for hours which the outside temperature falls between the two temperature criteria. The sum of the seasonal fan energy savings gives the total annual fan energy savings. The calculations for the annual savings are modeled for a 5 ton DBVFC unit.

# 7. APPENDIX 2. IMPACT ANALYSIS DETAIL

The table below separates the savings by building type (18 or 24 hour) for the Matrix DBVFC Program.

Facility Type	Number of Projects	Ex Ante Gross Savings (kWh)	Verified Gross Realization Rate	Verified Gross Savings (kWh)	NTGR	Verified Net Savings (kWh)
18 Hour	11	84,762	100%	84,762	0.89	75,438
24 Hour	74	743,520	100%	743,520	0.89	661,733
Total	85	828,282	100%	828,282	0.89	737,171

### Table 7-1: Energy Savings Detail by Business Type

# 8. APPENDIX 3. TOTAL RESOURCE COST DETAIL

The Total Resource Cost (TRC) variable table below only includes cost-effectiveness analysis inputs available at the time of finalizing this PY9 DBVFC program impact evaluation report. Additional required cost data (e.g., measure costs, program level incentive and non-incentive costs) are not included in this table and will be provided to evaluation at a later date. EULs are subject to change and are not final.

End Use Type	Research Category	Units	Quantity	Effective Useful Life	Ex Ante Gross Savings (kWh)	Ex Ante Gross Peak Demand Reduction (kW)	Savings	Verified Gross Peak Demand Reduction (kW)
HVAC	DBVFC Unit	Units	177	10	828,282	NR	828,282	NR

### Table 8-1: Total Resource Cost Savings Summary