

Boston | Headquarters

617 492 1400 tel 617 497 7944 fax 800 966 1254 toll free

1000 Winter St Waltham, MA 02451



Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity Plan 3 Summary Impact Evaluation Report for Ameren Illinois Company

Final February 7, 2019



Table of Contents

Intro	duction	. 1
1.1	Overview/Background	. 1
Desc	ription of Programs Evaluated	. 1
Evalu	ation Objectives and Activities	. 3
3.1	Evaluation Objectives	. 3
3.2	Evaluation Activities	. 3
Sumr	nary of Data Received	. 5
Sumr	mary of Plan 3 Results	. 7
5.1	EPY9/GPY6 Result Summary	. 7
5.2	EPY8/GPY5 Result Summary	10
5.3	EPY7/GPY4 Result Summary	12
	1.1 Desc Evalu 3.1 3.2 Sumi Sumi 5.1 5.2	Introduction 1.1 Overview/Background Description of Programs Evaluated Evaluation Objectives and Activities 3.1 Evaluation Objectives 3.2 Evaluation Activities Summary of Data Received Summary of Plan 3 Results 5.1 EPY9/GPY6 Result Summary 5.2 EPY8/GPY5 Result Summary 5.3 EPY7/GPY4 Result Summary



Table of Tables

Table 1. Description of DCEO Energy Efficiency Programs	2
Table 2. Description of Program Tracking Data Received	5
Table 3. Summary of Plan 3 DCEO Portfolio Energy Savings	7
Table 4. Summary of Plan 3 DCEO Portfolio Spending	7
Table 5. DCEO EPY9 Portfolio Savings	7
Table 6. DCEO GPY6 Portfolio Savings	8
Table 7. DCEO EPY9/GPY6 Portfolio Expenditures and Budget	9
Table 8. DCEO EPY8 Portfolio Savings	10
Table 9. DCEO GPY5 Savings	10
Table 10. DCEO EPY8/GPY5 Portfolio Expenditures and Budget	11
Table 11. DCEO EPY7 Portfolio Savings	
Table 12. DCEO GPY4 Savings	13
Table 13. DCEO EPY7/GPY4 Portfolio Expenditures and Budget	14

1. Introduction

This report summarizes the impact evaluation of the energy efficiency programs administered by the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (the DCEO) in Ameren Illinois Company's (AIC's) service territory during Electric Program Year (EPY) 9 and Gas Program Year (GPY) 6 - the period between June 1, 2016 and May 31, 2017.¹ This report also summarizes results from previous program evaluations conducted by the firm ADM Associates Inc. (ADM) for EPY7/GPY4 and EPY8/GPY5, or the period June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2016, and provides a summary of the three-year program achievements. In total, the period between June 1, 2014 and May 31, 2017 is known as "Plan 3."

1.1 Overview/Background

Beginning in June 2011 (EPY4/GPY1) through May 31, 2017 (EPY9/GPY6), the DCEO administered energy efficiency programs for public sector and low-income customers within the state of Illinois. However, in December 2016, the state of Illinois passed the Future Energy Jobs Act (FEJA), which restructured energy efficiency program delivery in Illinois. This restructuring encompassed a number of significant changes, including the discontinuation of the energy efficiency programs offered through the DCEO and the expansion of Illinois investor-owned utility companies' energy efficiency programs. As part of this expansion, beginning on June 1, 2017, AIC began offering energy efficiency programs to public sector nonresidential customers (e.g., schools, government buildings) and public housing facilities that were previously ineligible for AIC energy efficiency programs.

Sections 8-103 and 8-104 of the Illinois Public Utilities Act require annual independent evaluations of Energy Efficiency Plan programs, as well as final review of the three-year plan results. ADM performed independent evaluations of the DCEO's EPY7/GPY4 and EPY8/GPY5 programs.² However, ADM did not evaluate or prepare a report for EPY9/GPY6 due to the lack of funding appropriation. As such, in Docket No. 17-0212, the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) ordered that independent evaluator(s) selected by AIC perform any remaining evaluation work related to DCEO's programs for the period of June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2017 with full cooperation of DCEO.

AIC retained Opinion Dynamics to conduct these evaluation activities. As detailed in the scope of work for this evaluation, AIC and ICC Staff agreed that this evaluation should take the form of an abridged summarization of key metrics by program year for Plan 3. These findings, and the evaluation results for DCEO programs operated in Commonwealth Edison, Nicor Gas, Peoples Gas, and North Shore Gas service territories, developed separately,³ will be presented in a forthcoming statewide report.

2. Description of Programs Evaluated

Table 1 describes the DCEO programs offered during Plan 3 and included in this evaluation.

¹ Please note that AIC does not use the "EPY" and "GPY" terminology and simply refers to "Program Years" (PYs). However, we use the "EPY" and "GPY terminology in this memo to ensure consistent statewide reporting.

² Please note that while ADM performed evaluation work in EPY8/GPY5, reporting for this period was never finalized. We treat ADM's draft results for this period as if they were final.

³ Remaining evaluation activities for the DCEO programs operated in other Illinois utility territories were conducted by Navigant, the independent evaluator for those utilities.

Sector	Program	Program Description				
	Standard	Offers incentives on a prescriptive basis for qualifying equipment purchased and installed by the participant				
	Custom	Generates electric and gas savings by helping public sector customers identify and implement energy saving projects and provides incentives on a per-kWh or per-therm basis				
	Energy Efficiency Aggregation Standard (EE Agg. Standard)	Funded by the Energy Efficiency Trust Fund, the programs allow public sector customers to combine energy efficiency projects in an effort to				
	Energy Efficiency Aggregation Custom (EE Agg. Custom)	simplify the application for and implementation of projects that may be submitted as standalone projects				
	Free Lights	Serves as a one-stop street lighting resource for municipalities in Illinois by offering LED upgrades to street, protective, area, and decorative lighting				
Public Sector	New Construction	Provides incentives to public sector customers to improve the efficiency of newly constructed public buildings				
	Clean Water Custom	Assists public sector in implementation of water and wastewater energy efficiency upgrades by leveraging and coordinating with other energy efficiency programs offered by AIC, the Illinois EPA, and water and wastewater trade associations				
	Combined Heat and Power (CHP)	Encourages investment in Conventional or Topping Cycle CHP systems as well as Waste Heat-to-Power or Bottoming Cycle CHP systems				
	Retro-Commissioning (RCx)	Provides application, planning, investigation, and recommendation phase services to eligible clients for new start projects				
	Savings Through Efficient Products Program (STEP)	Offers free, cost effective and easy-to-install energy efficient measures to over 1,000 qualifying public facilities in Illinois; the program includes an energy assessment/audit to assess direct install equipment needs and identify future opportunities for larger retrofits				
	Boiler Tune-Up	Aims to increase efficiency of existing natural gas boilers in the public sector and addresses mechanical pipe insulation, steam trap repair or replacement (including steam trap audits), boiler reset controls, parallel positioning controls, shut-off air dampers, and removable insulation				
	Affordable Housing New Construction (AHNC)	Provides grants to non-profit and for-profit affordable housing developers to help offset additional costs for energy efficient building practices in residential new construction and gut rehabilitation projects				
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	Provides grants to program partners to fund direct install energy efficiency measures in low income customer homes; provides cost effective energy efficient weatherization measures, which include ENERGY STAR rated refrigerators, water heaters, attic insulation, air sealing, and blower door, among others				
	Public Housing	Provides education, outreach, and incentives to eligible PHAs and upgrades old, inefficient measures in public housing residential units and common areas				
Market Transformation	K-12 Energy Efficiency Program (K-12)	Raises funds for K-12 educators through the sale of ENERGY STAR qualified CFLs and LEDs and other energy efficient products; funds are used to create engaging lessons for K-12 students that increase their awareness of energy efficiency				

Table 1. Description of DCEO Energy Efficiency Programs

Sector	Program	Program Description
	Building Operator Certification (BOC)	Provides training and education for building operators
	Energy Assessments administered by Smart Energy Design Center (SEDAC)	Provides participants with no-cost design assistance report detailing energy cost reduction measures deemed appropriate for their facility; Recommendations bundle cost-effective measures resulting from analyzing buildings as a whole
	Building Energy Code Compliance (Codes)	Provides a full day training course for building professionals and technical assistance on interpreting the building energy code; the program was developed to ensure that the State of Illinois achieves a 90% compliance rate with the energy code by 2017

3. Evaluation Objectives and Activities

3.1 Evaluation Objectives

The evaluation objectives for the abridged summarization of DCEO's Plan 3 programs were as follows:

- Summarize the following metrics for EPY9/GPY6:
 - Actual expenditures as compared to budgets
 - Actual energy savings (kWh/therms) as compared to goals
 - Specific expenditures, as available
- Summarize the following metrics for Plan 3:
 - Actual expenditures as compared to budgets
 - Actual energy savings (kWh/therms) as compared to goals
 - Specific expenditures, as available

3.2 Evaluation Activities

In fulfillment of these evaluation objectives, we performed a number of evaluation activities, described below.

Data Acquisition

In a coordinated effort with Navigant, the independent evaluator for ComEd, Nicor Gas, Peoples Gas, and North Shore Gas, Opinion Dynamics worked with the DCEO and the various DCEO program implementers (e.g. the Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (MEEA), the University of Illinois, and Elevate Energy) to acquire relevant data for EPY9/GPY6 or the period June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2017. The evaluation team developed and submitted to DCEO and its implementers written requests for relevant data. We performed additional follow-up outreach via phone and email as necessary. Our data requests covered participant and/or measure and utility level data that included electric and gas savings and program costs or expenditures, as well as documentation that would provide information regarding program implementation and/or program design such as reports. The Opinion Dynamics evaluation team also reviewed the DCEO 2014 – 2017 ICC Compliance filing filed on February 27, 2014 and the EPY7/GPY4 and EPY8/GPY5 EM&V reports completed by ADM to learn about the DCEO programs, and to serve as a source for EM&V results for EPY7/GPY4 and EPY8/GPY5.

Collaboration with Navigant

The Opinion Dynamics and Navigant evaluation teams collaborated and coordinated on several key elements of the abridged EM&V review process including the data request, data acquisition and review, analytic approach and reporting to ensure statewide consistency.

Limited Gross Impact Evaluation

As part of this evaluation, we conducted an abridged impact evaluation for EPY9/GPY6 based on review of program tracking data acquired from DCEO and the various program implementers.

We did not individually verify savings for each EPY9/GPY6 measure and/or project. The EM&V review primarily consisted of the following steps:

- Reviewed available program tracking datasets in their entirety for reasonableness and to identify any obvious or significant errors.
- Conducted limited review of measure savings assumptions and algorithms when detailed measure level tracking data was available.
- Identified the most appropriate data fields for analysis of savings and spending, reaching out to the data source for clarification if needed.
- Identified "completed" and "paid" projects within the available tracking data and implemented within Ameren Illinois' service territory during EPY9 and GPY6.
- Summarized savings and cost information for eligible projects.
- Where available, reviewed reports or program summaries and documentation for major projects to verify savings, measures installed, projects completed, and participation.
- In addition, we reviewed, revised as necessary, and summarized results from past DCEO evaluation reports completed by ADM for all programs offered during EPY7/GPY4 and EPY8/GPY5. We include this summary of results from ADM's program evaluations in this report.

Net Impact Evaluation

We used the most recent net-to-gross ratios (NTGRs) originally calculated by ADM and available on the Illinois Energy Efficiency Stakeholder Advisory Group (SAG) website to calculate net savings for the EPY9/GPY6 DCE0 programs when available.⁴

Cost-Effectiveness Evaluation

An assessment of cost-effectiveness for the Plan 3 DCEO programs will be presented in the forthcoming statewide report.

⁴ We primarily sourced this information from Navigant memos provided for use during the Transition Period and 2018 program years, and as such, these NTGRs exclude the "plans score" per Navigant's explanation in these memos.

Source: Navigant. Bridge Period Public Sector Programs' Net-to-Gross Recommendations Summary, March 30, 2018. http://ilsagfiles.org/SAG files/NTG/2018 NTG Meetings/ComEd DCEO Public Sector NTGR Research Memo 2018-03-30.pdf (Accessed September 26, 2018).

Reporting

We provide this report, which summarizes DCEO program activities for the period of June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2017 in table format with brief descriptions of results from the evaluation of EPY9/GPY6. Where data is available, we report on electric and gas savings and actual expenditures.

4. Summary of Data Received

The Opinion Dynamics and Navigant evaluation teams were successful in acquiring some data for all but one of the DCEO energy efficiency programs. However, there are various limitations to the program tracking data we received. We describe the data received and any limitations thereof for each program in Table 2.

Program	EPY9/GPY6 Data Received	EPY9/GPY6 Data Received Date	Description of Data/Materials Received for EPY9/GPY6				
Public Sector I	Public Sector Programs						
Standard	Standard 🗸 October 12, 2		Received project and measure level data by utility, program, and subprogram that includes electric and gas savings and project level incentive payments				
Custom	✓	October 12, 2018	 Received project and measure level data by utility, program, and subprogram that includes electric and gas savings and project level incentive payments 				
EE Agg. Standard	1	October 12, 2018	 Received project and measure level data by utility, program, and subprogram that includes electric and gas savings and project level incentive payments 				
EE Agg. Custom	~	October 12, 2018	 Received project and measure level data by utility, program, and subprogram that includes electric and gas savings and project level incentive payments 				
Free Lights	~	August 16, 2018 and October 12, 2018	 Received project level data by utility from two implementers Data received includes electric savings and project level cost/incentive payments 				
New Construction	~	August 21, 2018	• Received project level data by utility that includes electric and gas savings and project level cost				
Clean Water Custom	~	October 12, 2018	 Received project and measure level data by utility, program, and subprogram that includes electric and gas savings and project level incentive payments 				
СНР	Not applicable	Not applicable	Determined that program was not offered for AIC in EPY9/GPY6				
RCx	~	August 21, 2018	Received property/project level data by utility that includes electric and gas savings and property/project level cost				
STEP	~	August 27, 2018 and October 5, 2018	 Received measure level data by utility that includes electric and gas savings Received program level cost 				
Boiler Tune- Up	~	October 2, 2018	 Received limited measure level data that includes gas savings and incentives paid by utility 				

Table 2. Description of Program Tracking Data Received

Program	EPY9/GPY6 Data Received	EPY9/GPY6 Data Received Date	Description of Data/Materials Received for EPY9/GPY6
Low Income Pr	rograms		
AHNC 🗸 S		September 6, 2018	 Received property level data that includes electric and gas savings by utility Also received additional materials such as application forms, measure spec sheets, checklists, photos/images, by property Did not receive program or property level cost data
Residential Retrofit	V	October 3, October 12, and November 2, 2018	 Received project and measure level data by utility, program, and subprogram that includes electric and gas savings and project level incentive payments for grants subcomponent Received project and measure level data by utility that includes electric and gas savings and project level cost for Energy Savers subcomponent Received measure level data as well as data summarizing electric and gas savings by utility and measure type (e.g., LED, furnace, attic insulation, air sealing, etc.) as well as program cost by utility for Weatherization subcomponent
Public Housing	~	November 5, 2018	• Received high level summary of EPY9/GPY6 results in terms of number of participating PHAs, properties, measures, electric and gas savings, and grant amounts; also received measure level data
Market Transfo	ormation Program	ns	
K-12	~	October 4, 2018	 Received quarter level data that includes electric savings and NTGRs Received program level cost/spend data, participant list, and final Narrative Report
BOC	~	August 23, 2018	 Received participant data, including corresponding utility as well as program level spend by utility Received Narrative Report, which includes information on participants, trainings conducted/attended, including training start and end dates, and number of training hours
SEDAC	~	August 21, 2018	• Received Narrative Report and spreadsheet tracking data with measure level data by utility that includes electric and gas savings
Codes	×	Not applicable	 No participation nor program cost data received This is a compliance training program that produces no direct energy savings

Source: Opinion Dynamics and Navigant

5. Summary of Plan 3 Results

Table 3 presents energy savings achieved during EPY7-9/GPY4-6 from DCEO programs in AIC territory and compares them to portfolio goals. Overall, DCEO programs fell short of their electric savings goals, but exceeded gas savings goals.

Program Year	Ex Post Net kWh	Portfolio Goal	% Achieved	Ex Post Net Therms	Portfolio Goal	% Achieved
EPY7/GPY4	33,496,606	38,350,983	87%	824,815	872,983	94%
EPY8/GPY5	18,944,618	39,485,849	48%	651,005	912,209	71%
EPY9/GPY6	40,705,535	41,481,630	98%	1,667,500	962,759	173%
AIC Total	93,146,759	119,318,462	78%	3,143,320	2,747,951	114%

Source: Opinion Dynamics analysis of DCEO tracking data and materials

Table 4 presents spending during EPY7-9/GPY4-6 on DCEO programs in AIC territory and compares it to portfolio budgets.

Table 4. Summary	of Plan 3 DCEC	Portfolio Spending
------------------	----------------	--------------------

Program Year	Total Expenditures	Approved Budget	% Budget
EPY7/GPY4	\$14,584,748	\$18,782,274	78%
EPY8/GPY5	\$7,423,129	\$19,053,418	39%
EPY9/GPY6ª	\$21,793,454	\$19,143,384	114%
AIC Total	\$43,801,331	\$56,979,076	77%
Courses Oninian Dunamics analysis of DOEO tree	المتسمح مساميتها محماد الأستار	_	

Source: Opinion Dynamics analysis of DCEO tracking data and materials

^a Please note that EPY9/GPY6 expenditures exceed the total approved EPY9/GPY6 budget. In accordance with the Order on Reopening filed on September 28, 2016, the ICC gave DCEO permission to roll over unspent funds from EPY8/GPY5 to EPY9/GPY6. Actual EPY9/GPY6 expenditures took advantage of this order to fund programs and therefore exceed the original approved budget.

5.1 EPY9/GPY6 Result Summary

Table 5 presents DCEO portfolio electric savings in AIC territory for EPY9.

		Electric Savings (kWh)					
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross Realization Rate (RR)	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net	
	Standard	11,160,655	100%	11,148,567	65%	7,246,569	
	Custom	9,716,667	100%	9,716,667	83%	8,064,834	
	EE Agg. Standard	39,045	2741%	1,070,137	65%	695,589	
	EE Agg. Custom	8,257,733	100%	8,257,733	83%	6,853,919	
Public Sector	Free Lights	6,701,817	95%	6,383,149	96%	6,127,823	
	New Construction	2,456,542	100%	2,456,542	53%	1,301,967	
	Clean Water Custom	2,024,420	100%	2,024,420	83%	1,680,269	
	СНР	Program was not operated					
	RCx	3,030,567	83%	2,519,287	98%	2,468,901	

Table 5. DCEO EPY9 Portfolio Savings

		Electric Savings (kWh)				
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross Realization Rate (RR)	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net
	STEP	987,205	101%	993,211	96%	953,483
	Boiler Tune-Up		Gas-only	program		
	AHNC	456,662	100%	456,662	100%	456,662
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	2,552,335	100%	2,549,901	100%	2,549,901
	Public Housing	1,090,247	100%	1,090,247	100%	1,090,247
	K-12	134,517	74%	99,966	84%	83,972
Market	BOC	657,472	161%	1,057,863	N/A ^a	1,057,863
Transformation	SEDAC	200,000	37%	73,537	N/A ^a	73,537
	Codes		Program does not p	roduce energy	savings	
Total		49,465,884	101%	49,897,890	82%	40,705,535
	Goal					41,481,630
	% of Goal Achieved					98%

Source: Opinion Dynamics analysis of DCEO tracking data and materials

^a In these cases, evaluation of the program produces what should be considered as net savings. Therefore, we list "N/A" as the NTGR, but in practice, ex post net savings equal what is reported as "ex post gross" savings.

Table 6 presents DCEO portfolio gas savings in AIC territory for GPY6.

			Gas	Savings (ther	ms)			
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net		
	Standard	129,942	100%	129,942	46%	59,773		
	Custom	652,152	100%	652,152	74%	482,593		
	EE Agg. Standard		None reported					
	EE Agg. Custom		None reported					
	Free Lights		Elec	ctric-only progr	am			
Public Sector	New Construction	76,334	100%	76,334	65%	49,617		
	Clean Water Custom	Electric-only program						
	CHP	Program was not operated						
	RCx	78,915	69%	54,225	94%	50,972		
	STEP	17,687	101%	17,883	90%	16,095		
	Boiler Tune-Up	750,278	100%	750,278	87%	652,742		
	AHNC	18,600	100%	18,600	100%	18,600		
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	292,247	99%	290,433	100%	290,433		
	Public Housing	118,291	39%	45,665	100%	45,665		
	K-12		Elec	ctric-only progr	am			
Market	BOC	11,140	9%	1,011	N/A ^a	1,011		
-	SEDAC			None reported				
	Codes	F	Program does	not produce e	nergy saving	ţs		

Table 6. DCEO GPY6 Portfolio Savings

		Gas Savings (therms)					
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net	
	Total	2,145,586	95%	2,036,523	82%	1,667,500	
	Goal					962,759	
	% of Goal Achieved					173%	

Source: Opinion Dynamics analysis of DCEO tracking data and materials

^a In this case, evaluation of the program produces what should be considered as net savings. Therefore, we list "N/A" as the NTGR, but in practice, ex post net savings equal what is reported as "ex post gross" savings.

Table 7 presents DCEO portfolio expenditures in AIC territory at the program level for EPY9/GPY6.

Sector	Program	Electric Expenditures	Gas Expenditures	Total Expenditures
	Standard	\$2,297,874	\$344,784	\$2,642,658
	Custom	\$1,233,958	\$1,678,899	\$2,912,857
	EE Agg. Standard	\$431,315	\$0	\$431,315
	EE Agg. Custom	\$1,190,928	\$0	\$1,190,928
	Free Lights	\$3,734,336	\$0	\$3,734,336
Public Sector	New Construction	\$735,986	\$503,643	\$1,239,629
	Clean Water Custom	\$728,791	\$0	\$728,791
	СНР	Pr	ogram not operated	
	RCx	Not avai	lable	\$65,281
	STEP	\$376,314	\$42,789	\$419,103
	Boiler Tune-Up	\$0 \$319,737		\$319,737
	AHNC	\$602,200	\$245,268	\$847,468
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	\$2,648,927	\$1,439,547	\$4,088,473
	Public Housing	\$576,780	\$365,147	\$941,927
	К-12	\$139,097	\$0	\$139,097
	BOC	\$68,983	\$15,182	\$84,165
Market	SEDAC	\$117,024	\$0	\$117,024
Transformation	Codes	\$99,150	\$21,097	\$120,248
	Other MT (DOMUS, Future Energy Enterprises, BITE, ERC, Codes, IL HP, Leidos)	\$404,661	\$85,059	\$489,720
Portfolio Non-Program Costs		\$1,024,545	\$256,153	\$1,280,697
Total		N/Aª	N/Aª	\$21,793,454
Budget		\$15,219,781	\$3,923,603	\$19,143,384
% of Budget	% of Budget		N/Aª	114%

Table 7. DCEO EPY9/GPY6 Portfolio Expenditures and Budget

Source: Opinion Dynamics analysis of DCEO tracking data and materials

^a Because fuel-level spending was not available in all cases, we do not compute total electric and gas spending.

5.2 EPY8/GPY5 Result Summary

Table 8 presents DCEO portfolio electric savings in AIC territory for EPY8.

			Ele	ectric Savings (kW	h)			
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net		
	Standard	1,116,293	108%	1,201,074	73%	880,806		
	Custom	7,137,552	118%	8,425,929	97%	8,159,464		
	EE Agg. Standard			None reported				
	EE Agg. Custom		None reported					
	Free Lights		Prog	ram was not opera	ated			
Public Sector	New Construction			None reported				
	Clean Water Custom	Not available	N/A	Not available	N/A	3,042,000		
	СНР	Program was not operated						
	RCx	None reported						
	STEP	114,320	112%	128,352	97%	123,929		
	Boiler Tune-Up		G	as-only program				
	AHNC	778,610	61%	474,552	100%	474,552		
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	Not available	N/A	2,121,875	100%	2,121,875		
	Public Housing	Not available	N/A	4,126,195	100%	4,126,195		
	K-12			None reported				
Market	BOC	Not available	N/A	Not available	N/A ^a	15,797		
Transformation	SEDAC			None reported				
	Codes		Program doe	es not produce ene	ergy saving	(S		
	Total ^b					18,944,618		
	ICC Goal					39,485,849		
%	6 of ICC Goal Achieved					48%		

Table 8. DCEO EPY8 Portfolio Savings

Source: Draft EPY8 ADM program evaluations reviewed and revised by Opinion Dynamics.

^a In this case, evaluation of the program produces what should be considered as net savings. Therefore, we list "N/A" as the NTGR, but in practice, ex post net savings equal what is reported as "ex post gross" savings.

^b We do not provide totals for ex ante gross savings, gross realization rates, ex post gross savings, or NTGRs for EPY8/GPY5 and EPY7/GPY4. Ex ante and ex post gross savings are inconsistently available prior to EPY9/GPY6 and therefore totals would be erroneous.

Table 9 presents DCEO portfolio gas savings in AIC territory for GPY5.

Table 9. DCEO GPY5 Savings

		Gas Savings (therms)					
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net	
	Standard	None reported					
Public Sector	Custom	564,641	89%	504,331	94%	474,071	
	EE Agg. Standard		[None reported	1		

			Gas	Savings (ther	ms)	
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	NTGR	Ex Post Net	
	EE Agg. Custom		I	None reported		
	Free Lights		Program was not operated			
	New Construction		I	None reported		
	Clean Water Custom		Elec	tric-only progr	am	
	CHP		Progra	m was not ope	erated	
	RCx		None reported			
	STEP	745	80%	594	88%	520
	Boiler Tune-Up		l	None reported		
	AHNC	11,034	106%	11,703	100%	11,703
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	Not available	N/A	79,383	100%	79,383
	Public Housing	Not available	N/A	85,328	100%	85,328
	K-12		I	None reported		
Market	BOC		I	None reported		
Transformation	SEDAC		I	None reported		
	Codes	Program does not produce energy savings				gs
	Total					651,005
	Goal					912,209
	% of Goal Achieved					71%

Source: Draft EPY8 ADM program evaluations reviewed and revised by Opinion Dynamics.

Table 10 presents DCEO portfolio expenditures in AIC territory at the program level for EPY8/GPY5.

Table 10. DCEO EPY8/GPY5 Portfolio Expenditures and Budget

Sector	Program	Electric Expenditures	Gas Expenditures	Total Expenditures		
	Standard	\$938,673	\$825,075	\$1,763,748		
	Custom	\$936,673	\$825,075	φ1,703,740		
	EE Agg. Standard	\$7,040	\$0	\$7,040		
	EE Agg. Custom	φ7,040	φ 0	\$7,040		
	Free Lights	Prog	gram was not operate	ed		
Public Sector	New Construction	None reported				
	Clean Water Custom	\$787,500	\$0	\$787,500		
	СНР	CHP Program was not operated				
	RCx		None reported			
	STEP	\$17,884	\$3,948	\$21,832		
	Boiler Tune-Up	\$0	\$31,180	\$31,180		
	AHNC	\$222,107	\$37,582	\$259,689		
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	\$1,260,801	\$374,314	\$1,635,115		
	Public Housing	\$1,033,501	\$582,113	\$1,615,614		

Sector	Program	Electric Expenditures	Gas Expenditures	Total Expenditures
	K-12	\$5,151	\$0	\$5,151
	BOC	\$28,091	\$6,199	\$34,290
Market Transformation SEDAC			None reported	
Tansionnation	Codes	\$40,399	\$8,870	\$49,270
	Other MT	\$43,177	\$9,558	\$52,735
Portfolio Non-Pro	ogram Costs	\$927,785	\$232,180	\$1,159,965
Total		\$5,312,109	\$2,111,019	\$7,423,129
Budget		\$15,137,763	\$3,915,655	\$19,053,418
% of Budget		35%	54%	39%

Source: Opinion Dynamics analysis of DCEO materials and program tracking data

5.3 EPY7/GPY4 Result Summary

Table 11 presents DCEO portfolio electric savings in AIC territory for EPY7.

			Ele	Electric Savings (kWh)				
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net		
	Standard	11,446,308	129%	14,810,451	92%	13,618,320		
	Custom	13,485,862	13,485,862 82% 11,073,223 84% 9,252,437					
	EE Agg. Standard		Program was not operated					
	EE Agg. Custom		Program was not operated					
	Free Lights		Prog	ram was not opera	ated			
Public Sector	New Construction	4,944,843	100%	4,944,843	59%	2,898,452		
	Clean Water Custom	Program was not operated						
-	CHP	Program was not operated						
	RCx	1,313,602	87%	1,144,282	102%	1,171,070		
	STEP	1,042,030	77%	806,436	97%	778,644		
	Boiler Tune-Up		G	as-only program				
	AHNC	1,811,900	52%	950,161	100%	950,161		
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	Not available	N/A	3,439,050	100%	3,439,050		
	Public Housing	575,900	97%	559,223	100%	559,223		
	K-12	51,359	84%	43,117	84%	36,032		
Market	BOC	Not available	N/A	Not available	N/A ^a	521,991		
Transformation	SEDAC	Not available	N/A	Not available	N/A ^a	271,225		
	Codes	P	rogram doe	es not produce en	ergy saving	6		

Table 11. DCEO EPY7 Portfolio Savings

	Sector Program	Electric Savings (kWh)					
Sector		Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net	
	Total					33,496,606	
	ICC Goal					38,350,983	
%	6 of ICC Goal Achieved					87%	

Source: Final EPY7 ADM program evaluations.

^a In this case, evaluation of the program produces what should be considered as net savings. Therefore, we list "N/A" as the NTGR, but in practice, ex post net savings equal what is reported as "ex post gross" savings.

Table 12 presents DCEO portfolio gas savings in AIC territory for GPY4.

			Ga	as Savings (therm	s)			
Sector	Program	Ex Ante Gross	Gross RR	Ex Post Gross	NTGR	Ex Post Net		
	Standard	30,455	101%	30,810	64%	19,787		
	Custom	239,768	239,768 75% 180,621 84% 151,78					
	EE Agg. Standard		Prog	ram was not opera	ated			
	EE Agg. Custom		Prog	ram was not opera	ated			
	Free Lights		Prog	ram was not opera	ated			
Public Sector	New Construction	27,252	115%	31,328	19%	5,803		
	Clean Water Custom		Program was not operated					
	СНР	Program was not operated						
	RCx	97,678	104%	101,973	97%	99,109		
	STEP	44,169	86%	38,070	87%	33,301		
	Boiler Tune-Up	272,348	93%	253,782	87%	221,279		
	AHNC	7,176	55%	3,982	100%	3,982		
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	Not available	N/A	250,297	100%	250,297		
	Public Housing	36,062	101%	36,282	100%	36,282		
	K-12		El	ectric-only program	n			
Market	BOC			None reported				
Transformation	SEDAC	Not available	N/A	Not available	N/A ^a	3,186		
	Codes	Program does not produce energy savings						
	Total					824,815		
	ICC Goal					872,983		
%	6 of ICC Goal Achieved					94%		

Table 12. DCEO GPY4 Savings

Source: Final EPY7 ADM program evaluations.

Table 13 presents DCEO portfolio expenditures in AIC territory at the program level for EPY7/GPY4.

Sector	Program	Electric Expenditures	Gas Expenditures	Total Expenditures	
	Standard	\$2,498,376	\$178,167	\$2,676,543	
	Custom	\$1,901,767	\$603,441	\$2,505,208	
	EE Agg. Standard	Prog	gram was not operate	ed	
	EE Agg. Custom	Prog	gram was not operate	ed	
	Free Lights	Prog	gram was not operate	ed	
Public Sector	New Construction	\$651,703	\$100,823	\$752,526	
	Clean Water Custom	Prog	gram was not operate	ed	
	СНР	Prog	gram was not operate	ed	
	RCx	\$584,815	\$163,604	\$748,419	
	STEP	\$270,379	\$24,398	\$294,777	
	Boiler Tune-Up	\$0	\$329,653	\$329,653	
	AHNC	\$683,891	\$105,001	\$788,892	
Low Income	Residential Retrofit	\$1,856,659	\$663,175	\$2,519,834	
	Public Housing	\$759,202	\$286,190	\$1,045,392	
	K-12	\$105,852	N/A	\$105,852	
Market	BOC	\$61,674	\$13,531	\$75,205	
Transformation	SEDAC	\$580,384	\$138,466	\$718,850	
Codes			Unavailable		
Portfolio Non-Pr	ogram Costs	\$1,557,705	\$465,892	\$2,023,597	
Total		\$11,512,406 \$3,072,339 \$14,584,74			
Budget		\$14,896,734	\$3,885,540	\$18,782,274	
% of Budget 77% 79%					

Table 13. DCEO EPY7/GPY4 Portfolio Expenditures and Budget

Source: All information except budget sourced from EPY7/GPY4 Cost Effectiveness Summary Report. Prepared by ADM. http://ilsagfiles.org/SAG_files/Evaluation_Documents/TRC_Reports/DCE0/Department_of_Commerce_Cost_Effectiveness_ Report_EPY7-GPY4_Final_Report.pdf

Budget sourced from DCEO EEPS Plan 2014-17 Ex 1.2: https://www.icc.illinois.gov/downloads/public/edocket/370615.pdf

For more information, please contact:

Zach Ross Principal Consultant

617 492 1400 tel 617 497 7944 fax zross@opiniondynamics.com

1000 Winter Street Waltham, MA 02451



Boston | Headquarters

617 492 1400 tel 617 497 7944 fax 800 966 1254 toll free

1000 Winter St Waltham, MA 02451 San Francisco Bay

510 444 5050 tel 510 444 5222 fax

1 Kaiser Plaza Suite 445 Oakland, CA 94612 858 270 5010 tel 858 270 5011 fax

San Diego

7590 Fay Avenue Suite 406 La Jolla, CA 92037