

Boston | Headquarters

617 492 1400 tel 617 497 7944 fax 800 966 1254 toll free

1000 Winter St Waltham, MA 02451



Plan 2 Summary Report for Ameren Illinois Company's ActOnEnergy Program Electric Program Years 4-6 and Gas Program Years 1-3

Final

April 12, 2016



NÁVIGANT





Contributors

Hannah Arnold Managing Director, Opinion Dynamics

Zach Ross Senior Analyst, Opinion Dynamics

Table of Contents

Tab	able of Contents1									
Tab	able of Tables2									
Tab	able of Figures									
1.	. Legislative Mandate for Independent Evaluation1									
2.	Executive Summary2									
3.	3. Overarching Evaluation Approach									
4.	High-l	Level In	npact Findings	6						
	4.1	Portfo	lio Performance	6						
	4.2	C&I Pr	ogram Performance	8						
		4.2.1	C&I Standard	9						
		4.2.2	C&I Custom	12						
		4.2.3	C&I Retro-Commissioning	12						
	4.3	Reside	ential Program Performance	13						
		4.3.1	Lighting	15						
		4.3.2	HVAC	16						
		4.3.3	Behavioral Modification	17						
		4.3.4	Home Performance with ENERGY STAR®	17						
		4.3.5	Appliance Recycling	18						
		4.3.6	Multifamily	19						
		4.3.7	Moderate Income	20						
		4.3.8	Efficient Products	21						
		4.3.9	ENERGY STAR® New Homes	22						
		4.3.10	School Energy Efficiency Kits	22						
A.	Арреі	ndix – [Detailed Ex Post Savings Results	24						
В.	Appendix - NTG Research for Future Application									
C.	Арреі	ndix - P	Y4 Integrated Report	29						
D.	Appendix - PY5 Integrated Report									
E.	Appendix - PY6 Integrated Report									



Table of Tables

Table 1. ActOnEnergy Portfolio Savings Goals and Achieved Net Impacts by Program Year	2
Table 2. Summary of Impact Approach by Program and Year	4
Table 3. Summary of Process Evaluation Activities by Program and Year	5
Table 4. Portfolio Electric Savings Goals and Impacts by Program Year	7
Table 5. Portfolio Gas Savings Goals and Impacts by Program Year	7
Table 6. Standard Program Electric Impacts by Year	10
Table 7. Standard Program Gas Impacts by Year	11
Table 8. Custom Program Electric Impacts by Year	12
Table 9. Custom Program Gas Impacts by Year	12
Table 10. Retro-Commissioning Program Electric Impacts by Year	13
Table 11. Retro-Commissioning Program Gas Impacts by Program Year	13
Table 12. Lighting Program Electric Savings by Program Year	15
Table 13. HVAC Program Electric Savings by Program Year	16
Table 14. HVAC Program Gas Savings by Program Year	16
Table 15. Behavioral Modification Program Electric Savings by Program Year	17
Table 16. Behavioral Modification Program Gas Savings by Program Year	17
Table 17. HPwES Program Electric Savings by Program Year	18
Table 18. HPwES Program Gas Savings by Program Year	18
Table 19. Appliance Recycling Program Electric Savings by Program Year	19
Table 20. Multifamily Program Electric Savings by Program Year	19
Table 21. Multifamily Program Gas Savings by Program Year	20
Table 22. Moderate Income Program Electric Savings by Program Year	20
Table 23. Moderate Income Program Gas Savings by Program Year	
Table 24. Efficient Products Program Electric Savings by Program Year	
Table 25. Efficient Products Program Gas Savings by Program Year	
Table 26. ENERGY STAR New Homes Program Electric Savings by Program Year	22
Table 27. ENERGY STAR New Homes Program Gas Savings by Program Year	22
Table 28. Energy Efficiency Kits Program Gas Savings	
Table 29. Plan 2 (PY4-PY6) Detailed Ex Post Savings Results	
Table 30. PY6 Detailed Ex Post Savings Results	25
Table 31. PY5 Detailed Ex Post Savings Results	
Table 32. PY4 Detailed Ex Post Savings Results	27
Table 33. NTG Research by Program and Year	28



Table of Figures

Figure 1. First Year Ex Post Net Electric Savings by Sector and Program Year	6
Figure 2. First Year Ex Post Net Gas Savings by Sector and Program Year	7
Figure 3. Electric Savings by Business Program and Program Year	8
Figure 4. Gas Savings by Business Program and Program Year	8
Figure 5. Electric Savings by Residential Program and Program Year	14
Figure 6. Gas Savings by Residential Program and Program Year	15

1. Legislative Mandate for Independent Evaluation

The Illinois Public Utilities Act (Act), Section 8-103 (f) (7) and Section 8-104 (f) (8) mandates that AIC:

"Provide for an annual independent evaluation of the performance of the cost-effectiveness of the utility's portfolio of measures and the Department's portfolio of measures, as well as a full review of the 3-year results of the broader net program impacts and, to the extent practical, for adjustment of the measures on a going-forward basis as a result of the evaluations. The resources dedicated to evaluation shall not exceed 3% of portfolio resources in any given year."

In providing this report, AIC has met the Act's requirement for a review of 3-year results.

2. Executive Summary

This report documents the performance of Ameren Illinois Company's (AIC) ActOnEnergy portfolio of energy efficiency programs for residential and commercial and industrial (C&I) customers. The results presented within focus on portfolio energy impacts for the second plan period (Plan 2), which ran from June 1, 2011 through May 31, 2014. This period corresponds to electric program year four through six (EPY4-EPY6) and gas program year one through three (GPY1-GPY3). Appendices to this report contain annual integrated results including process findings and recommendations.

The suite of energy efficiency programs offered by AIC has remained consistent across the Plan 2 period. The C&I portfolio consists of three main programs—Standard, Custom and Retro Commissioning—all of which continued from Plan 1. Within the residential portfolio, AIC offered nine programs for the majority of Plan 2. These programs included Lighting, HVAC, Behavioral Modification, Appliance Recycling, Multifamily, Home Performance with ENERGY STAR (HPwES), Moderate Income, ENERGY STAR New Homes, and Energy Efficient Products.¹ Table 1 presents the annual first year savings for the energy efficiency portfolio of programs.

Brogram Voar	Ex Post Gro	oss Impacts ^a	Ex Post Net Impacts ^a						
Flogrann Tear	MWh	Therms	MWh	Therms					
EPY4/GPY1	437,383	6,442,499	353,664	5,771,819					
EPY5/GPY2	442,640	7,152,274	366,726	6,914,780					
EPY6/GPY3	469,046	7,237,298	304,625	6,296,042					
^a Note that the impacts presented within this report are first year									

Table 1. ActOnEnergy Portfolio Achieved Impacts by Program Year

^a Note that the impacts presented within this report are first year savings.

A number of key programs have played a particularly important role in ensuring the achievement of energy savings goals. In particular, both the C&I Standard and Custom programs have provided a steady and significant stream of savings to the portfolio. Further, the Lighting and Behavioral Modification programs have made important contributions to electric savings goals, while the HVAC Program helped AIC to garner gas savings. The evaluation team provides detailed findings for each program in the subsequent sections of this report.

¹ AIC provided 8-104 funding for a small number of gas saving measures through the Illinois Power Agency (IPA) Energy Efficiency Kits Program in PY6.

3. Overarching Evaluation Approach

Over the second program cycle (Plan 2), the evaluation of AIC's energy efficiency portfolio involved a wide range of evaluation activities including onsite Measurement and Verification (M&V), as well as participant and nonparticipant research among AIC's residential and commercial customer base.

In terms of determining program impacts, the team implemented a combination of approaches including:

- Application of the Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual (IL-TRM) and engineering analysis for prescriptive measures
- Onsite measurement and verification (M&V) for custom measures, as well as metering of installed standard equipment
- Analysis of customer energy bills also referred to as billing analysis

Table 2 summarizes where we performed each type of activity and in which years. Note that in some cases, the evaluation team used these methodologies to provide inputs for the IL-TRM update process as opposed to annual program impacts for goal attainment.

	Program	Impact Methodology									
Sector		Eng	gineering Anal	ysis		Billing Analysis					
		EPY4/ GPY1	EPY5/ GPY2	EPY6/ GPY3	EPY4/ GPY1	EPY5/ GPY2	EPY6/ GPY3	EPY4/ GPY1	EPY5/ GPY2	EPY6/ GPY3	
	Lighting	٠	•	•	•	•					
	HVAC	٠	•	•	♦						
	Behavioral Mod							•	•	•	
	HPwES	٠	•	•				٥	٥		
	Appliance Recycling	٠	•	•							
Residential	Multifamily	٠	•	•		•					
	Moderate Income	٠	•	•							
	Efficient Products	٠	•	•							
	ENERGY STAR New Homes	•	•	•							
	Efficiency Kits	N/A	N/A	•							
	Standard	•	•	•	•	•	•				
Business	Custom	•	•	•	•	•	•				
	Retro-Commissioning	٠	•	•			•				

Table 2. Summary of impact Approach by Frogram and rec	Table 2. Summar	f Impact Approach by Program and	Year
--	-----------------	----------------------------------	------

•	Gross impact calculation activity
♦	TRM update activity

Overarching Evaluation Approach

In addition to determining program impacts, the evaluation team gathered process and attribution-related information through participant, non-participant and trade ally interviews as summarized in Table 3.

Ida	Je S. Sullinary (535 EV	aiuduoi		ies by	FIUg	i airi a						
					F	Reside	ntial					Bu	siness	
Evaluation Activity	Program Year	Lighting	HVAC	Behavioral Mod.	HPWES	Appliance Recycling	Multifamily	Moderate Income	Efficient Products	ENERGY STAR New Homes	Energy Efficiency Kits	Standard	Custom	Retro- Commissioning
Program Material Review	All				Co	onduct	ed for	all pro	gram	s each y	/ear			
Program Manager and Implementer Interviews	All	Conducted for all programs each year												
	EPY4/GPY1				•	•		٠				٠	•	٠
Contractor/Program Ally Interviews	EPY5/GPY2		•											
	EPY6/GPY3		•									٠	•	•
	EPY4/GPY1		•		•	•		•	•			٠	●b	•
Participant Survey	EPY5/GPY2	●a	•				•					•	•	-
	EPY6/GPY3	●a	•	•	•		•			•		•	•	•
	EPY4/GPY1													
Nonparticipant Survey	EPY5/GPY2											0 As	verall (sessm	C&I ent
	EPY6/GPY3													

^a For the Lighting Program, this included in-store intercepts.

^b The evaluation team conducted interviews with staffing grant participants only.

4. High-Level Impact Findings

4.1 Portfolio Performance

As shown in Figure 1, net electric savings increased significantly in the first two years of Plan 2 compared to the Plan 1 period, which included EPY1-EPY3. Further, the contribution of the residential sector grew notably while the commercial sector continued to achieve electric savings consistent with what was seen in EPY3.



Figure 1. First Year Ex Post Net Electric Savings by Sector and Program Year

Since AIC began offering gas programs in EPY4—also referred to as gas program year 1 (GPY1) savings have been relatively consistent around six million therms, with the exception of an increase in gas savings within the commercial sector during GPY2 (see Figure 2).



Figure 2. First Year Ex Post Net Gas Savings by Sector and Program Year

The AIC energy efficiency portfolio has consistently exceeded filed goals for both electric and gas savings. As shown in Table 4, performance has ranged from 105% to 149% of the electric goals.

			/ /	, 0	
Program Year	Electric Energy Savings Goals (MWh) ª	% Increase in Goal over Prior Year	Ex-Post Net Electric Impacts (MWh)	% Increase in Savings over Prior Year	Percent of Electric Savings Goal ^b
EPY1	62,399	N/A	89,955	N/A	144%
EPY2	123,483	98%	129,748	44%	105%
EPY3	179,013	45%	262,568	102%	147%
EPY4	273,534	53%	353,664	35%	129%
EPY5	245,871	-10%	366,726	4%	149%
EPY6	216,495	-12%	304,625	-17%	141%

Table 4. Portfolio Electric Savings Goals and Impacts by Program Year

^a Source: AIC Plan 1 Residential and Business Summary Reports; PY4, PY5 and PY6 Integrated Reports.

^b Percent of goal=ex post net impacts ÷ goal.

In terms of gas savings, Table 5 shows the achievement of savings equal to between 128% and 159% of savings goals.

Table 5. Portfolio Gas Savings Goals and Impacts by Program Year

Program Year	Gas Energy Savings Goals (Therms) ª	% Increase in Goal over Prior Year	Ex-Post Net Gas Impacts (Therms)	% Increase in Savings over Prior Year	Percent of Gas Savings Goal ^b
GPY1	3,703,119	-	5,771,819	-	156%
GPY2	4,355,658	15%	6,914,780	17%	159%
GPY3	4,906,659	11%	6,296,042	-10%	128%

^a Source: PY4, PY5 and PY6 Integrated Reports.

^b Percent of goal=ex post net impacts ÷ goal.

4.2 C&I Program Performance

Both the Standard and Custom programs have grown significantly since the first program year, and have both played the role of largest contributor to electric savings (Standard from EPY2 through EPY5 and Custom in EPY6). As shown in Figure 3, the Retro-Commissioning Program has also grown over the past two program cycles despite a decline in PY6.





Each of the C&I programs make similar relative contributions to gas savings. While Standard and Custom have switched positions as the largest generator of gas savings, the Retro-Commissioning Program has remained a relatively small contributor as shown below.





The following sections provide detailed information on program performance across program years.

4.2.1 C&I Standard

The following tables summarize Standard Program gross and net energy impacts by program year. In general, while not shown in the table below, lighting has remained the dominant end use for the Standard Program throughout Plan 1 and Plan 2.

High-Level Impact Findings

Table 6. Standard Program Electric Impacts by Year

Program Year	Program Component	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross RR	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net RR
PY1	Program Total	18,706	22,033	1.18	1.00	0.62	18,706	13,677	0.73
	Standard Core	50,288	47,599	0.95	0.76	0.76	38,219	36,132	0.95
DV0	Online Store	2,979	2,979	1.00	0.80	0.80	2,383	2,383	1.00
PT2	Small Bus HVAC	3,092	3,092	1.00	1.00	1.00	3,092	3,092	1.00
	Program Total	56,359	53,669	0.95	0.78	0.78	43,694	41,607	0.95
	Standard Core	84,062	91,695	1.09	0.76	0.77	61,668	70,127	1.14
	Online Store	32,620	32,620	1.00	0.80	0.64	26,096	20,866	0.80
PY3	Direct Install - Faucet Aerators	10	10	1.00	0.76	0.76	8	8	1.00
	Program Total	116,693	124,326	1.07	0.73	0.75	87,772	91,002	1.04
	Standard Core	70,621	70,307	1.00	0.72	0.73	50,847	51,454	1.00
	Online Store	61,555	46,317	0.90	0.80	0.80	49,244	37,053	0.75
PY4	Green Nozzle	5,087	4,629	0.91	0.82	0.82	4,171	3,796	0.91
	Direct Install –Multiple Measures	635	635	1.00	0.80	0.80	508	508	1.00
	Program Total	137,898	121,888	0.88	0.76	0.76	104,770	92,811	0.89
	Standard Core	98,744	98,574	1.00	0.76	0.76	75,261	75,130	1.00
	Online Store	27,115	24,309	0.90	0.64	0.69	18,710	16,773	0.90
PY5	SBDI Pilot	604	604	1.00	0.80	0.80	483	483	1.00
	Green Nozzle	134	134	1.00	0.82	0.82	110	110	1.00
	Program Total	126,597	123,621	0.98	0.75	0.75	94,564	92,496	0.98

High-Level Impact Findings

Program Year	Program Component	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross RR	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net RR
	Standard Core	64,725	94,768	1.00	0.65	0.65	41,860	41,737	1.00
DVG	Online Store	16,579	16,584	1.00	0.83	0.83	13,761	13,764	1.00
P10	Green Nozzle	26	26	1.00	0.92	0.92	24	24	1.01
	Program Total	81,330	111,378	1.00	0.69	0.69	55,645	55,525	1.00

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

Table 7 provides a summary of gas savings from the C&I Standard Program. As shown in the table, gas savings increased greatly between GPY1 and GPY2, but declined significantly in GPY3.

Table 7. Standard Program Gas Impacts by Year

Program Year	Program Component	Ex Ante Gross Therm	Ex Post Gross Therm	Gross RR	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therm	Ex Post Net Therm	Net RR
	Standard Core	507,492	572,906	1.13	0.72	0.73	405,994	458,325	1.13
	Online Store	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A
GPY1	Direct Install	363,731	363,731	1.00	0.80	0.80	290,985	290,985	1.00
	Green Nozzle	1,097,600	988,971	0.90	0.82	0.82	900,032	810,956	0.90
	Program Total	1,461,331	1,352,702	0.93	0.76	0.76	1,597,011	1,560,266	0.98
	Standard Core	2,040,058	2,040,058	1.00	1.00	1.00	2,040,058	2,040,058	1.00
	Online Store	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A
GPY2	SBDI Pilot	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A
	Green Nozzle	22,923	22,923	1.00	1.00	1.00	22,923	22,923	1.00
	Program Total	2,062,981	2,062,981	1.00	1.00	1.00	2,062,981	2,062,981	1.00
	Standard Core	972,806	971,133	0.998	0.98	0.98	953,350	954,210	1.00
GPY3 -	Online Store	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A
	Green Nozzle	9,424	9,424	1.00	0.89	0.89	8,387	8,387	1.00
	Program Total	982,230	980,557	1.00	0.98	0.98	961,737	962,597	1.00

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.2.2 C&I Custom

Table 8 below presents the program level gross and net energy impacts attributable to the Custom Program over the Plan 1 and Plan 2 periods. After an initial dip in PY2, energy savings from the Custom program have grown steadily. The net realization rate also follows an overall trend upward from PY2 to PY6.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	51,687	51,111	0.99	1.00	0.77	51,687	38,596	0.75
EPY2	33,392	28,652	0.86	0.77	0.69	25,712	19,770	0.77
EPY3	50,032	40,455	0.81	0.69	0.75	34,522	30,341	0.88
EPY4	69,049	60,941	0.88	0.69	0.78	46,644	47,837	1.00
EPY5	74,376	63,465	0.85	0.75	0.81ª	55,782	51,674	0.93
EPY6	99,277	104,507	1.05	0.75	0.75	73,943	78,380	1.06

Table 8. Custom Program Electric Impacts by Year

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

^a The NTGR presented here differs from the 0.75 EPY3 Custom NTGR as a result of integrating results from the Staffing Grant participants. However, in general, the team did apply the EPY3 NTG for this program as planned.

Overall, gas savings from the Custom Program have also trended upward since the gas program started in EPY4/GPY1. Both NTGRs and net realization rates have remained high each program year.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	677,297	707,954	1.05	0.69	0.78 ^b	541,838	561,784	1.04
GPY2	926,702	898,627	0.97	0.81	0.81	750,629	729,439	0.97
GPY3	1,836,207	1,674,147	0.91	0.75	0.75	1,377,155	1,255,610	0.91

Table 9. Custom Program Gas Impacts by Year

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

^b The NTGR presented here differs from the 0.69 EPY2 Custom NTGR as a result of integrating results from the staffing grant participants. However, in general, the team did apply the EPY2 NTG for this program as planned.

4.2.3 C&I Retro-Commissioning

We present program level gross and net energy impacts attributable to the Retro-Commissioning Program in Table 10 below. The Retro-Commissioning Program has generally grown over the past two program cycles despite a decline in PY6.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	1,022	1,022	1.00	1.00	1.00	1,022	1,022	1.00
EPY2	12,640	10,890	0.86	0.80	0.80	10,112	8,712	0.86
EPY3	29,819	29,819	1.00	0.80	0.58	23,885	17,295	0.69
EPY4	20,219	17,993	0.89	0.95	0.95	16,175	17,052	1.05
EPY5	29,257	27,324	0.93	0.95	0.95	16,969	25,958	1.53
EPY6	12,091	10,892	0.90	0.95	0.95	11,487	10,347	0.90

Table 10. Retro-Commissioning Program Electric Impacts by Year

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

Gas savings from the Retro-Commissioning Program increased dramatically in PY5 as the program expanded its program offerings to target specific types of customers (i.e., healthcare and commercial buildings). Gross realization rates have also improved over the first three years of the gas program offering.

Table 11. Retro-Commissioning Program Gas Impacts by Program Year

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	450,866	383,236	0.85	0.80	0.95	360,693	361,966	1.00
GPY2	577,834	512,116	0.89	0.58	0.95	335,144	486,510	1.45
GPY3	248,851	248,851	1.00	0.95	0.95	236,408	236,408	1.00

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3 **Residential Program Performance**

Some residential programs have grown significantly since the first electric program year. As shown in Figure 5, the Lighting and Behavior Modification programs have grown the most in terms of their electric savings since EPY1, while Behavior Modification, HVAC, and Home Performance with ENERGY STAR (formerly Home Energy Performance) have grown the most in gas savings (Figure 6).²

² The evaluation team does not present savings from the Direct Load Control Program because it was not offered during the Plan 2 period. AIC implemented this program only in EPY2 and EPY3.



Figure 5. Electric Savings by Residential Program and Program Year

* From EPY1 through EPY3, this program included both lighting and appliances and was referred to as the Lighting and Appliances Program.

** Moderate income customers were included in the HVAC Program in EPY3.

*** AIC offered the HEP Program from EPY1 through EPY5 after which it transitioned to HPwES. Between EPY2 - EPY5 period, the HEP Program included the Electric Space Heat Pilot (ESHP).



Figure 6. Gas Savings by Residential Program and Program Year

* AIC offered the HEP Program in GPY1 and GPY2, but it transitioned to HPwES in GPY3.

The following sections provide detailed information on residential program performance across program years.

4.3.1 Lighting

The Lighting Program has grown significantly since EPY1. Electric savings peaked in EPY4, but remain high, and lighting continues to be the dominant electric program within AIC's residential portfolio.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1*	N/A	32,631	N/A	1.00	1.00	N/A	32,631	N/A
EPY2*	N/A	40,350	N/A	0.83	0.83	N/A	36,124	N/A
EPY3*	N/A	115,586	N/A	0.83	0.83	N/A	95,695	N/A
EPY4	170,736	175,587	1.03	0.83	0.83	141,892	145,737	1.03
EPY5	130,392	105,806	1.20	0.83	0.83	108,226	129,839	1.20
EPY6	187,776	194,665	1.04	0.44	0.47	82,621	91,493	1.11

Table 12. Lighting Program Electric Savings by Program Year

Note: For EPY1 - EPY3, N/A signifies cases where ex ante savings and realization rates were not available.

* During the Plan 1 period, the program contained both lighting and appliances.

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

Over the course of the Plan 2 period, the NTGR for the Lighting Program changed dramatically. The updated NTGR developed in EPY5 and applied to EPY6 was based on in-store intercepts with AIC customers, whereas prior values leveraged different methodologies.

4.3.2 HVAC

Energy savings from the HVAC Program have remained relatively consistent since EPY1, rising slightly in EPY6. While the HVAC program is not a major contributor to electricity savings, it has consistently represented a major contribution to gas savings.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	N/A - Not of	fered						
EPY2	N/A	10,490	N/A	0.63	0.63	N/A	6,608	N/A
EPY3	N/A	17,783	N/A	0.59	0.59	N/A	10,492	N/A
EPY4	8,504	8,504	1.00	0.59	0.59	5,017	5,268	1.05
EPY5	8,101	9,106	1.12	0.66	0.59	5,381	5,372	1.00
EPY6	12,180	12,373	1.01	0.59	0.59	7,187	7,299	1.02

Table 13. HVAC Program Electric Savings by Program Year

Note: For EPY1 - EPY3, N/A signifies cases where ex ante savings and realization rates were not available. Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

The HVAC Program has consistently contributed a significant portion of the residential portfolio's gas savings (between 25% and 30%) over the Plan 2 period. In addition, net and gross realization rates have held steady at one (Table 14 below).

			<u> </u>		0,	<u> </u>		
Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms*	Ex Post Net Therms*	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	950,721	950,721	1.00	1.02	1.02	969,563	969,563	1.00
GPY2	950,319	945,617	1.00	1.02	1.02	969,127	964,664	1.00
GPY3	1,538,728	1,540,896	1.00	0.77	0.77	1,185,560	1,186,946	1.00

Table 14. HVAC Program Gas Savings by Program Year

* Totals may not match ex ante values multiplied by NTGRs due to rounding.

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.3 Behavioral Modification

Given that the Behavioral Modification Program is evaluated using billing analysis, which yields estimates of net savings, the team does not provide gross energy savings in the tables below. In addition, the program does not have NTGRs associated with it.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh				
EPY1	N/A – No	V/A – No program offered									
EPY2	N/A – No program offered										
EPY3	N/A – Impact analysis determines net savings										
EPY4	N/A – Imp	act analysis	determines r	net savings			22,412				
EPY5	N/A – Imp	31,618									
EPY6	N/A – Impact analysis determines net savings 41,05										

Table 15. Behavioral Modification Program Electric Savings by Program Year

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

Since the start of the gas component of the Behavioral Modification Program in EPY4/GPY1, the program has contributed between 36% and 47% of AIC's residential gas savings. As a result, the program has been critical to the overall performance of AIC's energy efficiency portfolio.

Table	16 Behav	vioral Mod	ification Pr	ogram Gas	Savings by	/ Program	Year
Table	TO: Dena		meauonin	ogram das	Savings by	riugiann	rcar

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms
GPY1	N/A – Impac	1,199,510					
GPY2	N/A – Impac		1,576,341				
GPY3	N/A – Impac	xt analysis	determines n	et savings		1,809,293	

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.4 Home Performance with ENERGY STAR®

Electricity savings from the Home Energy Performance (HEP) and subsequently the Home Performance with ENERGY STAR (HPwES) Program have grown significantly since EPY1.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	N/A	265	N/A	0.76	0.76	N/A	201	N/A
EPY2	N/A	1,142	N/A	0.78	0.78	N/A	885	N/A
EPY3	N/A	1,014	N/A	0.83	0.83	N/A	841	N/A
EPY4	1,922	1,905	0.99	0.80*/0.89**	0.92	1,491	1,753	1.18*/1.00**
EPY5	4,459	4,319	0.97	0.93	0.94	4,163	4,042	0.97
EPY6	4,537	4,261	0.94	0.91	0.91	4,108	3,883	0.95

Table 17. HPwES Program Electric Savings by Program Year

Note: For EPY1 - EPY3, N/A signifies cases where ex ante savings and realization rates were not available.

* These values are for the HEP Program.

** These values are for ESHP.

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	734,950	734,310	1.00	0.80*/0.89**	0.92	625,749	596,680	0.95*/0.86* *
GPY2	713,735	714,698	1.00	1.00	0.97	714,454	690,883	0.97
GPY3	463,638	503,875	1.09	0.82	0.82	380,259	411,594	1.08

Table 18. HPwES Program Gas Savings by Program Year

* These values are for the HEP Program.

** These values are for ESHP.

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.5 Appliance Recycling

An electric-only program, savings from the Appliance Recycling Program have fluctuated over the Plan 1 and Plan 2 periods reaching a high in EPY4 (see Table 19 below). AIC anticipated the EPY5 decline in participation, which is typically seen in appliance recycling programs elsewhere as the pool of unused or unnecessary secondary refrigerators and freezers is taken out of the market.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	N/A	5,555	N/A	0.54	0.54	N/A	3,011	N/A
EPY2	N/A	15,968	N/A	0.80	0.80	N/A	12,757	N/A
EPY3	N/A	10,188	N/A	0.80	0.80	N/A	8,121	N/A
EPY4	20,406	18,196	0.89	0.80	0.54	16,264	9,779	0.60
EPY5	7,729	9,461	1.22	0.79	0.80	6,071	7,542	1.24
EPY6	7,696	8,466	1.10	0.63	0.63	4,274	5,326	1.25

Table 19. Appliance Recycling Program	n Electric Savings by Program Year
---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Note: Ex ante savings and realization rates were not available for EPY1 - EPY3. Papilization rate = ax part savings $\Rightarrow ax$ ante savings

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.6 Multifamily

Electric savings from the Multifamily Program have increased consistently since EPY1 with a significant increase in EPY5. Further, both gross and net realization rates have stayed close to one. This means that there have been few corrections made to ex ante savings estimates.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	N/A	1,073	N/A	0.76	0.76	N/A	817	N/A
EPY2	N/A	2,806	N/A	0.98	0.98	N/A	2,742	N/A
EPY3	N/A	4,139	N/A	0.98	0.98	N/A	4,062	N/A
EPY4	6,975	7,609	1.09	0.94	0.94	6,548	7,126	1.09
EPY5	15,754	16,754	1.06	0.99	0.97	15,622	16,219	1.04
EPY6	9,284	9,286	1.00	1.00	0.98	9,268	9,075	0.98

Table 20. Multifamily Program Electric Savings by Program Year

Note: Ex ante savings and realization rates were not available for PY1-PY3. Population rate = or post savings = or ante savings

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

Overall, the gas component of the Multifamily Program performed well during Plan 2, as shown in Table 21. Gross realization rates have always been close to one; further, despite a slight dip in GPY2, net realization rates have also been consistently around one.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	294,333	299,191	1.02	0.92	0.92	271,492	275,958	1.02
GPY2	205,110	207,806	1.01	1.01	0.88	208,099	183,061	0.88
GPY3	103,314	100,815	0.98	1.00	0.99	103,235	100,143	0.97

Table 21, Multianing Frequencies by Frequencies

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.7 Moderate Income

After officially launching as a stand-alone program in EPY4, electric savings from the Moderate Income Program grew consistently through EPY6. Further, gross and net realization rates were consistently close to one. Note that through an agreement between AIC and the ICC, the NTGR for this program is deemed at 1.00.

Table 22. Moderate income Frogram Electric Savings by Frogram real
--

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate	
EPY1	N/A – Not offered as a distinct program								
EPY2	N/A – Not offered as a distinct program								
EPY3	N/A – Not offered as a distinct program								
EPY4	288	285	0.99	1.00	1.00	288	286	0.99	
EPY5	568	541	0.95	1.00	1.00	568	541	0.95	
EPY6	652	617	0.95	1.00	1.00	652	617	0.95	

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

The evaluation team has seen a similar pattern on the gas side of the program with growing savings and general consistency between ex ante and ex post estimates of savings as reflected by the net realization rates close to 1.00.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	110,434	110,908	1.00	1.00	1.00	110,434	110,908	1.00
GPY2	134,700	116,653	0.87	1.00	1.00	134,700	116,653	0.87
GPY3	162,026	173,380	1.07	1.00	1.00	162,026	173,380	1.07

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.8 Efficient Products

AIC launched the Efficient Products Program as a stand-alone program in EPY4 after running it during the Plan 1 period as an integrated component of the Residential Lighting Program. Over the course of the Plan 2 period, the program achieved consistent levels of savings, but was not a significant contributor to the overall portfolio in terms of electric savings.

Table 24.	Efficient Product	s Program I	Electric Savings	by Prog	ram Year
		0 I I 08 0 0 I I I		~,	, ann i oan

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Ex E Realization Ante Po Rate NTGR NT		Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	N/A – Im	plemente	d as part of the	Lighting	; Progran	า		
EPY2	N/A – Im	plemente	d as part of the	Lighting	; Progran	า		
EPY3	N/A – Im	plemente	d as part of the	Lighting	; Progran	า		
EPY4	2,113	1,560	0.74	0.81	0.82	1,701	1,275	0.77
EPY5	1,442	1,354	0.94	0.81	0.82	1,164	1,120	0.96
EPY6	1,714	1,545	0.9	0.83	0.82	1,421	1,274	0.90

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

In contrast, the program made a more significant contribution to savings on the gas side of the portfolio. As shown in Table 25 below, the level of gas savings remained somewhat consistent throughout Plan 2. However, the program's realization rates varied over time.

Table 25. Efficient Products Program Gas Savings by Program Year

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	212,384	115,584	0.54	0.86	0.90	182,911	104,440	0.57
GPY2	124,750	102,990	0.83	0.86	0.90	105,841	92,691	0.88
GPY3	139,323	109,111	0.78	0.90	0.90	125,391	98,200	0.78

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.9 ENERGY STAR[®] New Homes

Both the electric and gas savings from the ENERGY STAR New Homes Program have increased slowly since the program's first year in EPY3. The dramatic decline in the gross and net realization rates in EPY6 are the result of more robust impact analysis approaches that took code adoption within AIC territory into account. In particular, an area not enforcing an energy code had a lower baseline than an area enforcing International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) 2012.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross MWh	Ex Post Gross MWh	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net MWh	Ex Post Net MWh	Net Realization Rate
EPY1	N/A – No	t offered						
EPY2	N/A – No	t offered						
EPY3	N/A	127	N/A	0.80	0.80	N/A	101	N/A
EPY4	236	236	1.00	0.80	0.80	189	189	1.00
EPY5	379	379	1.00	0.80	0.80	303	303	1.00
EPY6	777	443	0.57	0.80	0.80	622	354	0.57

Table 26. ENERGY STAR New Homes Program Electric Savings by Program Year

Note: Ex ante savings and realization rates were not available for PY3.

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	16,000	16,000	1.00	0.80	0.80	12,800	12,800	1.00
GPY2	14,586	14,446	0.99	0.80	0.80	11,669	11,557	0.99
GPY3	33,826	23,193	0.69	0.80	0.80	27061	18,554	0.69

Table 27. ENERGY STAR New Homes Program Gas Savings by Program Year

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

4.3.10 School Energy Efficiency Kits

During EPY6/GPY3, AIC used funds from the 8-104 gas portfolio to provide gas saving measures through the Illinois Power Agency's Energy Efficiency Kits Program. As shown in the table below, the savings generated from these efforts were a relatively small contribution to the portfolio's GPY3 gas savings.

Program Year	Ex Ante Gross Therms	Ex Post Gross Therms	Gross Realization Rate	Ex Ante NTGR	Ex Post NTGR	Ex Ante Net Therms	Ex Post Net Therms	Net Realization Rate
GPY1	N/A – Not of	fered						
GPY2	N/A – Not of	fered						
GPY3	104,683	62,574	0.60	57%	57%	96,197	33,832	35%

Table 28. Energy Efficience	y Kits Program	Gas Savings
-----------------------------	----------------	--------------------

Realization rate = ex post savings ÷ ex ante savings.

A. Appendix – Detailed Ex Post Savings Results

The following tables provide detailed ex post savings results by program and year. We also provide Excel version following the tables.

	Realization Rate	Veri	fied Ex Post G	ross	Deemed/Used	Verified Ex Post Net					Actual	Evaluation Estimate (Where Available)	Parti	cipation	Weighted Average Measure Life
AIC Plan 2 Programs	Energy Savings (Ex Ante Gross/Ex Post Gross)	First Year Annual Energy Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	Net-to-Gross Ratio	First Year Annual Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	First Year Cost per First Year Annual Savings	First Year Cost per Lifetime Savings	Program Costs	Net-to-Gross Ratio	# Units	Units Definition	Years
	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$	%			
Residential Programs		•		•			•	•			•			•	
Residential Lighting (Electric)	108%	526,684	64	2,599,481	70%	367,069	41	1,773,411	\$ 59.33	\$ 12.28	\$ 21,779,603.62	N/A	11,851,528	Bulbs	4.9
Behavior Modification (Electric)	N/A	. N/A	. N/A	N/A	N/A	95,081	-	95,081	\$ 31.34	\$ 31.34	\$ 2,979,580.34	N/A	650,494	Customers treated	1.0
Behavior Modification (Gas)	N/A	. N/A	. N/A	N/A	N/A	4,585,144	-	4,585,144	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.65	\$ 2,979,580.33	N/A	690,958	Customers treated	1.0
HVAC (Electric)	102%	29,898	12	548,416	59%	17,679	11	324,299	\$ 539.10	\$ 29.39	\$ 9,530,690.25	N/A	22,149	Program measures	18.3
HVAC (Gas)	100%	3,437,234	-	67,175,352	91%	3,121,173	-	60,777,047	\$ 1.94	\$ 0.10	\$ 6,041,111.73	N/A	23,903	Program measures	19.5
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Electric)	86%	4,459	1	36,910	82%	3,669	0	30,376	\$ 264.62	\$ 31.96	\$ 970,904.51	N/A	25,380	Program measures	8.3
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Gas)	72%	327,684	-	2,024,417	90%	295,331	-	1,824,240	\$ 2.46	\$ 0.40	\$ 725,879.93	N/A	15,115	Program measures	6.2
Appliance Recycling (Electric)	102%	34,391	. 5	275,081	71%	24,541	3	196,296	\$ 256.74	\$ 32.10	\$ 6,300,643.93	N/A	35,201	Participants	8.0
Multifamily (Electric)	105%	33,649	6	366,169	97%	32,679	6	355,392	\$ 206.11	\$ 18.95	\$ 6,735,584.09	N/A	1,954	Projects	10.9
Multifamily (Gas)	101%	607,812	-	8,532,019	95%	576,478	-	8,086,413	\$ 3.64	\$ 0.26	\$ 2,097,081.77	N/A	1,954	Projects	14.0
HPwES/HEP/ESHP (Electric)	96%	10,753	5	158,735	92%	9,925	5	146,555	\$ 941.27	\$ 63.74	\$ 9,341,716.24	N/A	11,230	Participants	14.8
HPwES/HEP/ESHP (Gas)	102%	1,953,671	-	38,794,499	87%	1,699,785	-	33,697,295	\$ 4.58	\$ 0.23	\$ 7,780,355.43	N/A	11,230	Participants	19.9
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Electric)	82%	1,058	G 0	30,675	80%	846	0	24,540	\$ 1,196.75	\$ 41.27	\$ 1,012,689.03	N/A	541	Participants	29.0
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Gas)	86%	53,639) _	1,555,531	80%	42,911	-	1,244,419	\$ 10.37	\$ 0.36	\$ 445,088.12	N/A	541	Participants	29.0
Moderate Income (Electric)	95%	1,444	1	22,692	100%	1,444	1	22,692	\$ 1,067.47	\$ 67.91	\$ 1,540,950.60	N/A	852	Participants	15.7
Moderate Income (Gas)	100%	400,941	-	7,774,550	100%	400,941	-	7,774,550	\$ 4.19	\$ 0.22	\$ 1,678,590.54	N/A	852	Participants	19.4
Energy Efficiency Kits (Gas)	60%	62,574	-	490,116	54%	33,832	C	264,990	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.06	\$ 15,588.72	N/A	48,402	Program measures	7.8
Business Programs															
Custom (Electric)	95%	228,913	37	2,943,906	78%	177,891	29	2,287,825	\$ 124.70	\$ 9.64	21,362,494	N/A	418	Program measures	12.9
Custom (Gas)	96%	3,280,728	-	41,245,228	78%	2,546,833	-	31,984,639	\$ 1.94	\$ 0.15	4,827,801	N/A	119	Program measures	12.6
Standard (Electric)	95%	326,531	47	3,520,093	74%	240,834	35	2,588,059	\$ 147.64	\$ 12.53	29,360,514	N/A	201,736	Program measures	10.8
Standard (Gas)	99%	4,979,752	-	36,675,516	92%	4,595,329	-	33,399,743	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.14	4,064,258	N/A	40,042	Program measures	7.4
Retro-Commissioning (Electric)	91%	56,209	5	278,102	95%	53,357	5	263,994	\$ 183.69	\$ 36.74	5,315,120	N/A	88	Projects	4.9
Retro-Commissioning (Gas)	90%	1,142,892	-	4,434,170	95%	1,084,884	-	4,208,145	\$ 3.17	\$ 0.63	1,511,967	N/A	40	Projects	3.9

Table 29. Plan 2 (PY4-PY6) Detailed Ex Post Savings Results

	Realization Rate	Verif	ied Ex Post G	ross	Deemed/Used	Verified Ex Post Net					Actual	Evaluation Estimate (Where Available)	Par	ticipation	Weighted Average Measure Life
AIC PY6 Programs	Energy Savings (Ex Ante Gross/Ex Post Gross)	First Year Annual Energy Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	Net-to-Gross Ratio	First Year Annual Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	First Year Cost per First Year Annual Savings	First Year Cost per Lifetime Savings	Program Costs	Net-to-Gross Ratio	# Units	Units Definition	Years
	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$	%			
Residential Programs															
Residential Lighting (Electric)	104%	194,665	24	1,067,116	47%	91,493	11	501,547	\$ 104.40	\$ 19.05	\$ 9,552,043	63% Std./72% Specialty	4,659,601	Bulbs	5.3
Behavior Modification (Electric)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	41,051	0	41,051	\$ 29.91	\$ 29.91	\$ 1,228,025	N/A	215,460	Customers treated	1.0
Behavior Modification (Gas)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,809,293	-	1,809,293	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.68	\$ 1,228,025	N/A	224,430	Customers treated	1.0
HVAC (Electric)	101%	12,289	-	227,088	59%	7,300	4	134,901	\$ 576.89	\$ 31.22	\$ 4,211,303	51%	10,915	Program measures	18.5
HVAC (Gas)	100%	1,540,896	-	31,002,352	77%	1,186,946	-	23,880,987	\$ 2.21	\$ 0.11	\$ 2,624,302	N/A	12,372	Program measures	20.1
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Electric)	90%	1,545	0	12,476	82%	1,274	0	10,287	\$ 190.50	\$ 23.59	\$ 242,692	N/A	7,314	Program measures	8.1
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Gas)	78%	109,111	-	643,282	90%	98,200	-	578,954	\$ 2.74	\$ 0.46	\$ 268,913	N/A	7,314	Program measures	5.9
Appliance Recycling (Electric)	110%	8,466	1	67,715	63%	5,326	1	42,600	\$ 336.97	\$ 42.13	\$ 1,794,692	52% Ref./62% Freezer	9,277	Participants	8.0
Multifamily (Electric)	100%	9,286	1	74,816	98%	9,075	1	73,116	\$ 251.96	\$ 31.27	\$ 2,286,520	Varies by measure	518	Projects	8.1
Multifamily (Gas)	98%	100,815	-	804,864	99%	100,143	-	799,499	\$ 7.83	\$ 0.98	\$ 783,638	Varies by measure	518	Projects	8.0
Home Performance with ENERGY STAR (Electric)	94%	4,261	2	61,283	91%	3,883	2	55,844	\$ 865.18	\$ 60.15	\$ 3,359,268	Varies by measure	2,863	Participants	14.4
Home Performance with ENERGY STAR (Gas)	109%	503,875	-	9,540,055	82%	411,594	-	7,792,864	\$ 3.66	\$ 0.19	\$ 1,506,209	Varies by measure	2,863	Participants	18.9
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Electric)	57%	443	0	12,847	80%	354	0	10,266	\$ 1,528.85	\$ 52.72	\$ 541,214	42%	302	Participants	29.0
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Gas)	69%	23,193	-	672,597	80%	18,554	-	538,066	\$ 11.00	\$ 0.38	\$ 204,004	101%	302	Participants	29.0
Moderate Income (Electric)	95%	617.197	1	9,633	100%	617	1	9,633	\$ 1,168.76	\$ 74.88	\$ 721,357	N/A	317	Participants	15.6
Moderate Income (Gas)	107%	173,380	-	3,271,328	100%	173,380	-	3,271,328	\$ 3.00	\$ 0.16	\$ 519,447	N/A	317	Participants	18.9
Energy Efficiency Kits (Gas)	60%	62,574	-	490,116	54%	33,832	0	264,990	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.06	\$ 15,589	N/A	48,402	Program measures	7.8
Business Programs													_		
Custom (Electric)	105%	104,507	12	1,352,380	75%	78,380	9	1,014,282	\$ 124.70	\$ 9.64	\$ 9,774,160	N/A	121	Program measures	12.9
Custom (Gas)	91%	1,674,147	-	21,763,911	75%	1,255,610	-	16,322,930	\$ 1.94	\$ 0.15	\$ 2,435,474	83%	39	Program measures	13.0
Standard (Electric)	100%	81,024	16	955,050	69%	55,525	11	654,487	\$ 147.64	\$ 12.53	\$ 8,197,745	N/A	19,599	Program measures	11.8
Standard (Gas)	101%	991,163	-	6,987,463	98%	972,082	-	6,852,946	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.14	\$ 983,904	N/A	19,599	Program measures	7.0
Retro-Commissioning (Electric)	90%	10,892	0	54,460	95%	10,347	0	51,735	\$ 183.69	\$ 36.74	\$ 1,900,686	92%	22	Projects	5.0
Retro-Commissioning (Gas)	100%	248,851	-	1,244,255	95%	236,408	-	1,182,040	\$ 3.17	\$ 0.63	\$ 750,420	92%	26	Projects	5.0

Table 30. PY6 Detailed Ex Post Savings Results

	Realization Rate				Deemed/Used Verified Ex Post Net			Actual	Evaluation Estimate (Where Available)	P	articipation	Weighted Average Measure Life			
AIC PY5 Programs	Energy Savings (Ex Ante Gross/Ex Post Gross)	First Year Annual Energy Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	Net-to-Gross Ratio	First Year Annual Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	First Year Cost per First Year Annual Savings	First Year Cost per Lifetime Savings	Program Costs	Net-to-Gross Ratio	# Units	Units Definition	Years
	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$	%			
Residential Programs															
Residential Lighting (Electric)	120%	156,432	18	669,574	83%	129,839	15	555,749	\$ 39.25	\$ 9.17	\$ 5,096,149	47%	2,821,350	Bulbs	4.8
Behavior Modification (Electric)	N/A	N/A	N/A	. N/A	N/A	31,618	-	31,618	\$ 32.45	\$ 32.45	\$ 1,026,000	N/A	204,773	Customers treated	1.0
Behavior Modification (Gas)	N/A	N/A	N/A	. N/A	N/A	1,576,341	-	1,576,341	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.65	\$ 1,026,000	N/A	220,153	Customers treated	1.0
HVAC (Electric)	100%	9,105	6	6 168,264	59%	5,372	3	99,272	\$ 511.52	\$ 27.68	\$ 2,747,896	74%	6,579	Program measures	18.5
HVAC (Gas)	100%	945,617	-	19,025,522	102%	964,664	-	19,408,742	\$ 1.91	\$ 0.09	\$ 1,840,043	62%	5,930	Program measures	20.1
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Electric)	94%	1,354	0	11,981	83%	1,120	0	9,910	\$ 268.51	\$ 30.34	\$ 300,727	Varies by measure	8,211	Program measures	8.8
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Gas)	83%	102,989	-	751,809	90%	92,691	-	676,635	\$ 2.57	\$ 0.35	\$ 238,426	90%	4,457	Program measures	7.3
Appliance Recycling (Electric)	122%	7,729	2	61,827	98%	7,542	1	60,331	\$ 277.89	\$ 34.74	\$ 2,095,809	52% Ref./62% Freezer	11,683	Participants	8.0
Multifamily (Electric)	106%	16,754	3	200,589	97%	16,219	3	194,184	\$ 172.85	\$ 14.44	\$ 2,803,531	56% Common Area	835	Projects	12.0
Multifamily (Gas)	101%	207,806	-	2,894,485	88%	183,061	-	2,549,817	\$ 2.94	\$ 0.21	\$ 538,480	N/A	835	Projects	13.9
HEP/ESHP (Electric)	97%	4,319	3	65,798	94%	4,042	3	61,580	\$ 791.13	\$ 51.93	\$ 3,197,601	N/A	4,152	Participants	15.2
HEP/ESHP (Gas)	100%	714,698	-	13,843,313	97%	690,883	-	13,382,029	\$ 4.68	\$ 0.24	\$ 3,230,760	N/A	4,152	Participants	19.4
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Electric)	100%	379	0	10,992	80%	303	0	8,793	\$ 925.46	\$ 31.91	\$ 280,599	N/A	174	Participants	29.0
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Gas)	99%	14,446	-	418,934	80%	11,557	-	335,153	\$ 9.24	\$ 0.32	\$ 106,736	N/A	174	Participants	29.0
Moderate Income (Electric)	94%	541	0	8,540	100%	541	0	8,540	\$ 1,033.39	\$ 65.43	\$ 558,724	N/A	260	Participants	15.8
Moderate Income (Gas)	92%	116,653	-	2,192,550	100%	116,653	-	2,192,550	\$ 5.05	\$ 0.27	\$ 589,444	N/A	260	Participants	18.8
Business Programs			_				_	_					_		
Custom (Electric)	85%	63,465	18	828,969	81%	51,674	14	674,957	\$ 123.24	\$ 9.44	\$ 6,368,310	74%	170	Program measures	13.1
Custom (Gas)	97%	898,627	-	11,138,936	81%	729,439	0	9,041,765	\$ 1.94	\$ 0.16	\$ 1,417,686	N/A	21	Program measures	12.4
Standard (Electric)	98%	123,620	17	1,482,757	75%	92,498	13	1,109,465	\$ 118.01	\$ 9.84	\$ 10,915,482	77% Lighting	76,583	Program measures	12.0
Standard (Gas)	100%	2,062,981		13,131,434	100%	2,062,981	0	13,131,434	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.12	\$ 1,632,208	90% Steam Traps	799	Program measures	6.4
Retro-Commissioning (Electric)	93%	27,324	3	135,795	95%	25,958	3	129,007	\$ 54.94	\$ 11.06	\$ 1,426,184	N/A	35	Projects	5.0
Retro-Commissioning (Gas)	89%	512,116		1,280,290	95%	486,510	0	1,216,275	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.44	\$ 533,768	N/A	9	Projects	2.5

Table 31. PY5 Detailed Ex Post Savings Results

	Realization Rate				Deemed/Used	Verified Ex Post Net					Actual	Evaluation Estimate (Where Available)	P	articipation	Weighted Average Measure Life
AIC PY4 Programs	Energy Savings (Ex Ante Gross/Ex Post Gross)	First Year Annual Energy Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	Net-to-Gross Ratio	First Year Annual Savings	First Year Peak Demand Savings	Lifetime Savings	First Year Cost per First Year Annual Savings	First Year Cost per Lifetime Savings	Program Costs	Net-to-Gross Ratio	# Units	Units Definition	Years
	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	%	MWh/Therms	MW	MWh/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$/MWh or \$/Therms	\$	%			
Residential Programs															
Residential Lighting (Electric)	103%	175,587	22	862,791	83%	145,737	15	716,116	\$ 48.93	\$ 9.96	\$ 7,131,412	N/A	4,370,577	Bulbs	4.9
Behavior Modification (Electric)	N/A	. N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	22,412	-	22,412	\$ 32.37	\$ 32.37	\$ 725,555	N/A	230,261	Customers treated	1.0
Behavior Modification (Gas)	N/A	. N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,199,510	-	1,199,510	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.60	\$ 725,555	N/A	246,375	Customers treated	1.0
HVAC (Electric)	105%	8,504	6	153,064	59%	5,007	3	90,126	\$ 513.58	\$ 28.53	\$ 2,571,492	N/A	4,655	Program measures	18.0
HVAC (Gas)	100%	950,721	-	17,147,478	102%	969,563	-	17,487,318	\$ 1.63	\$ 0.09	\$ 1,576,767	N/A	5,601	Program measures	18.0
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Electric)	75%	1,560	0	12,453	82%	1,275	0	10,178	\$ 335.28	\$ 42.00	\$ 427,486	Varies by measure	9,855	Program measures	8.0
Residential Energy-Efficient Products (Gas)	57%	115,584	-	629,327	90%	104,440	-	568,652	\$ 2.09	\$ 0.38	\$ 218,541	Varies by measure	3,344	Program measures	5.4
Appliance Recycling (Electric)	89%	18,196	2	145,539	64%	11,673	1	93,365	\$ 206.47	\$ 25.81	\$ 2,410,143	59% Ref./61% Freezer	14,241	Participants	8.0
Multifamily (Electric)	109%	7,609	2	90,763	97%	7,385	2	88,091	\$ 222.82	\$ 18.68	\$ 1,645,534	N/A	601	Projects	11.9
Multifamily (Gas)	102%	299,191	-	4,832,670	98%	293,274	-	4,737,096	\$ 2.64	\$ 0.16	\$ 774,964	N/A	601	Projects	16.2
HEP/ESHP (Electric)	100%	2,173		31,655	92%	2,000	0	29,132	\$ 1,392.42	\$ 95.59	\$ 2,784,847	N/A	4,215	Participants	14.6
HEP/ESHP (Gas)	100%	735,098		15,411,132	81%	597,308	-	12,522,401	\$ 5.10	\$ 0.24	\$ 3,043,386	N/A	4,215	Participants	21.0
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Electric)	100%	236	0	6,835	80%	189	0	5,481	\$ 1,009.93	\$ 34.83	\$ 190,876	N/A	65	Participants	29.0
ENERGY STAR New Homes (Gas)	100%	16,000	-	464,000	80%	12,800	-	371,200	\$ 10.50	\$ 0.36	\$ 134,348	N/A	65	Participants	29.0
Moderate Income (Electric)	99%	286	0	4,519	100%	286	0	4,519	\$ 913.14	\$ 57.72	\$ 260,870	N/A	275	Participants	15.8
Moderate Income (Gas)	98%	110,908	-	2,310,672	100%	110,908	-	2,310,672	\$ 5.14	\$ 0.25	\$ 569,699	N/A	275	Participants	20.8
Business Programs															
Custom (Electric)	88%	60,941	8	762,557	78%	47,837	6	598,586	\$ 109.12	\$ 8.72	\$ 5,220,024	N/A	127	Program measures	12.5
Custom (Gas)	105%	707,954	-	8,342,381	79%	561,784	-	6,619,944	\$ 1.73	\$ 0.15	\$ 974,641	N/A	59	Program measures	11.8
Standard (Electric)	88%	121,887	14	1,082,286	76%	92,811	11	824,107	\$ 110.41	\$ 12.43	\$ 10,247,287	Varies by measure	105,554	Program measures	8.9
Standard (Gas)	98%	1,925,608	-	16,556,620	81%	1,560,266	-	13,415,363	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.11	\$ 1,448,146	Varies by measure	19,644	Program measures	8.6
Retro-Commissioning (Electric)	89%	17,993	2	87,847	95%	17,052	2	83,253	\$ 116.60	\$ 23.88	\$ 1,988,251	95%	31	Projects	4.9
Retro-Commissioning (Gas)	85%	381,925	-	1,909,625	95%	361,966	-	1,809,830	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.13	\$ 227,779	95%	5	Projects	5.0

Table 32. PY4 Detailed Ex Post Savings Results



B. Appendix - NTG Research for Future Application

The following table summarizes the timing of the evaluation team's NTG research during the Plan 2 period. The specific values developed are included in the team's recommendations to the Stakeholder Advisory Group (SAG), as well as presented in the individual evaluation reports.

Saatar	Brodrom	EPY4/G	PY1	EPY5/G	PY2	EPY6/GPY3		
Sector	Program	Electric	Gas	Electric	Gas	Electric	Gas	
	Lighting					•	N/A	
	HVAC					•	•	
	Behavioral Modification	N/A – B	illing /	Analysis P	rovide	s Net Imp	acts	
	HPwES	•	•			•	•	
Residential	Appliance Recycling					•	N/A	
	Multifamily			•	•	•	•	
	Moderate Income	N/A – Co	onsens	sus Reach	ed on	Deemed	Value	
	Efficient Products	•	•					
	ENERGY STAR New Homes					•	•	
	Standard	•	•	•	•			
Business	Custom	•	•	•	•		•	
	Retro-Commissioning	•	•			•	•	

Table 33. NTG Research by Program and Year

Note: The School Energy Efficiency Kits Programs is not included in this table because it was not subject to NTG research in EPY6/GPY3.

C. Appendix - PY4 Integrated Report

AIC PY4 Integrated Report FINAL 2014-C

D. Appendix - PY5 Integrated Report

AIC PY5 Integrated Report FINAL 2014-C

E. Appendix - PY6 Integrated Report

AIC PY6 Integrated Report FINAL 2016-C

For more information, please contact:

Hannah Arnold **Managing Director**

510 444 5050 tel 510 444 5222 fax harnold@opiniondynamics.com

1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1420 Oakland, CA 94612



Boston | Headquarters 617 492 1400 tel

617 497 7944 fax

1000 Winter St

800 966 1254 toll free

Waltham, MA 02451

San Francisco Bay 510 444 5050 tel

510 444 5222 fax

1999 Harrison St Suite 1420 Oakland, CA 94612 Madison, WI

608 819 8828 tel 608 819 8825 fax

2979 Triverton Pike Suite 102 Fitchburg, Wi 53711 Orem, UT

510 444 5050 tel 510 444 5222 fax

206 North Orem Blvd Orem, UT 84057