

Methodology for Attribution of Energy Savings in Utility and IRA Coordinated Projects

Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (MEEA) Comments (7/10/26)

Stakeholders in the Illinois Stakeholder Advisory Group (“SAG”) acknowledge that the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (“IL EPA”) may seek to braid funding from the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 (“IRA”) with Illinois utilities and their respective energy efficiency and electrification programs. Utilities shall incorporate and leverage IRA funding to the extent funding becomes available for all applicable 2027-2029 programs, with priority given to income eligible program braiding, provided leveraging such funds does not disadvantage the utilities’ ability to meet their energy savings goals. For braided projects using IRA funds, where utilities fund 50% of eligible measure costs, utility energy efficiency programs shall be eligible to claim 100% of resulting savings using an acceptable methodology to calculate savings, for example, the Illinois Technical Reference Manual (“IL-TRM”). Where the project is braided jointly with both electric and gas utility funding, the 50% utility cost share will be proportionally funded in accordance with the specific electric and gas cost-allocation agreements.

Starting in 2026 and for future Energy Efficiency Plan cycles, Illinois utilities can claim 100% of energy savings for IRA funded measures, provided the aggregate utility contribution equals at least 50% of the total “all-in” project costs (measure costs + administrative costs). Once this utility funding threshold is met, the amount, source, or combination of non-utility funding shall not affect savings attribution. Similarly, the relative share of utility funding across fuel types shall not affect savings attribution, provided the collective utility contribution meets the 50% requirement. In instances where multiple utilities jointly fund a measure, attributed savings may be allocated between utilities using established cost allocation methodologies. It is also recognized that IRA-funded programs include significant non-measure costs, including but not limited to administrative, outreach, training, health and safety, and program infrastructure costs (collectively, “administrative costs”), many of which do not scale proportionally with the level of utility financial participation. Accordingly, that utility participation in and coordination with IRA-funded programs supports the full incremental cost of the efficiency and/or electrification measures implemented within the utility service territory.

This policy is modeled in alignment with prior standing policies and stipulated agreements related to the Illinois Home Weatherization Assistance Program (“IHWAP”) and reflects the current understanding of IRA program coordination and savings attribution. Should material changes occur in program structure, funding allocations, or implementation responsibilities such that the underlying assumptions supporting this attribution framework are no longer reasonable, development of a revised savings attribution approach may be considered through the SAG process or other appropriate collaborative forums.

Commented [KW1]: These two sentences are confusing us. The first sentence of this paragraph (50% of measure costs + administrative costs) is straightforward, but what do these sentences mean? Is this to emphasize that if the administrative costs are high, the utility still has to pay at least 50%? It's unclear.