

ComEd TRM Policy Updates

6/23/2025

Summary of Stakeholder Comments:

Portfolio Impacts: Risk of Diverting Funds from Core EE Programs

- Organizations like NRDC and The Preservation Compact argue that including PV solar in the TRM could dilute funding for traditional EE measures (e.g., insulation, HVAC upgrades)
- These programs are seen as more cost-effective and critical for low-income and multifamily housing

Statute Definition: Statutory and Legal Framework Excludes Generation

- Multiple stakeholders (e.g., ICC Staff, IL OAG, IPA) emphasize that Illinois law explicitly excludes generation, transmission, and distribution infrastructure from the definition of energy efficiency
- Including PV solar could conflict with existing statutes and blur the lines between energy efficiency and renewable generation programs

Market Impacts: Potential for Market Confusion and Program Overlap

- The Illinois Power Agency and NRDC warn that integrating PV solar into EE programs could confuse customers, duplicate existing incentives (like Illinois Shines), and weaken consumer protections
- It may also complicate REC tracking and undermine the integrity of renewable energy programs

• Generation Technologies: Slippery Slope to Including Other Generation Technologies

• Several stakeholders caution that allowing PV solar as EE could set a precedent for including other generation sources (e.g., fossilfuel generators, battery storage), which could undermine environmental goals and distort the intent of EE programs

Solar as Energy Efficiency: Portfolio Impacts

Future Portfolio Considerations

- The portfolio has already closed the gap of screw-in lighting (300 GWh) by increasing investment in comprehensive
 offerings for C&I Markets such as Industrial Studies, Commissioning Solutions and Energy Advising. In parallel,
 ComEd is implementing innovative new upstream offerings such as Stretch Codes and Market Transformation efforts.
- In the coming years, linear lighting offerings will be phased out, introducing an equally large savings and offering gap to customers
 - To date, no other existing or incoming energy efficiency measure/technology has demonstrated the same level of effectiveness and market penetration as lighting
 - Advanced lighting controls, Heat Pumps and Weatherization alone cannot close this gap
 - Neither will PV Solar, It's not a replacement for "Traditional Energy Efficiency" it's one more tool in our tool-box. Not even our most cost-effective tool at that.
- The solution is integrating these offerings, into comprehensive whole building offerings
 - Whole Home Electric + Solar Integration
 - A bundled offering that combines deep electric efficiency (or EEE) upgrades (e.g., heat pumps, induction stoves,
 building controls and Water Heating) with rooftop solar installation, delivered through a single contractor under the EE framework

Solar as EE: Market Impact

Solar as EE: Market Impact

- We've heard concerns on maintaining "Traditional Energy Efficiency" and we've asked the question "Is there some other magical thing we're missing?"
 - To date, no energy efficiency measure has matched the impact and mass adoption of lighting
 - The market is shaped by our customers, contractors, and stakeholders
 - Innovation is welcome, but adoption depends on Customer Needs, Market Capacity, and Economic Feasibility
 - We continue to explore new measures, but none currently offer the scale or cost-effectiveness of lighting
- Solar's Value Proposition as Energy Efficiency
 - Resource Adequacy
 - Solar contributes to grid reliability by offsetting peak demand, especially when paired with storage
 - Helps reduce reliance on dispatchable fossil generation
 - Price Suppression
 - Solar generation can lower wholesale electricity prices by displacing higher-cost generation
 - This effect benefits non-participants through societal cost reductions and Price Supression
 - Energy Savings & Customer Protections
 - Solar delivered through EE frameworks ensures stronger consumer protections when combined with traditional REC-based models

Solar as EE: Enhancing, Not Undermining, REC Contracts

- Solar delivered through EE frameworks complements REC contracts, rather than replacing or weakening them
 - The **REC contract remains intact**, preserving IPA's oversight and compliance mechanisms
 - Solar generation can still be tracked for exported power, while EE frameworks focus on behind-the-meter consumption—ensuring no double-counting
- Consumer protections are stronger when combined with EE delivery:
 - Customers benefit from one installer, transparent pricing, and standardized quality assurance
 - EE programs have their own consumer protections in place that will stack on-top of those existing
- Point-of-sale (POS) rebates under EE are not taxable, unlike REC-based incentives:
 - This results in ~20% more MW delivered per dollar compared to traditional REC contracts
 - Customers save more upfront and avoid additional tax burdens, improving affordability and adoption
- EE-led solar deployment supports broader state policy goals:
 - Drives resource adequacy by reducing peak demand and grid stress
 - Contributes to price suppression in wholesale markets, benefiting all ratepayers—including non-participants.
 - Delivers energy savings by reducing delivery load and improved grid efficiency
 - Reduces total BTU's of Electricity or Natural gas needed to meet end uses

Solar as EE: Generation Technologies

Opening the Door for Generation

- Generation measures already exists in the TRM and portfolio offerings, Combined Heat and Power is a generation measure and power generation consumed on-site can be claimed as Energy Efficiency
 - Proposed solar savings methodology for Solar PV is no different
 - Every generation technology must be explored within this own merit in accordance with the definitions and intent of the law

Solar PV is Uniquely Aligned with EE and Decarbonization Goals

 Unlike fossil-fuel generators, solar PV produces zero-emission electricity and directly supports Illinois' climate and equity mandates under CEJA. Its inclusion in EE portfolios is based on environmental performance, not just generation capability

- Policy Precedent Already Differentiates Generation Technologies

- Regulatory frameworks already distinguish between clean distributed generation (like PV Solar and CHP) and fossil-based systems. Including solar PV does not require opening the door to all generation technologies—criteria such as emissions, fuel source, and grid impact can be used to maintain program integrity
- Unlike other carbon-fuel based generation technologies, PV Solar Reduces total BTU's of Electricity or Natural gas in the grid needed to meet end uses at the meter

