



Opinion **Dynamics**

Boston | Headquarters

617 492 1400 tel
617 497 7944 fax
800 966 1254 toll free

1000 Winter St
Waltham, MA 02451



Ameren Illinois Company 2021 Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Results

Final
June 29, 2022



Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary	3
1.1 Background.....	3
1.2 2021 Cost-Effectiveness Results	3
2. Background	5
3. Cost-Effectiveness Evaluation Methods	7
3.1 Portfolio Benefits Considered	8
3.2 Portfolio Costs Considered.....	9
3.2.1 Incremental Costs.....	9
3.3 Other Assumptions	11
4. Results, Findings, and Recommendations.....	12
4.1 Key Findings.....	13
Appendix A. Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 5.....	14
Appendix B. Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 6.....	19
Appendix C. Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 5	24
Appendix D. Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 6	25

Table of Tables

Table 1. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio	4
Table 2. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program.....	4
Table 3. Inputs and Sources for Cost-Effectiveness Analysis.....	7
Table 4. Portfolio Benefits Considered.....	8
Table 5. Portfolio Costs Considered	9
Table 6. Incremental Cost Source Detail	10
Table 7. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio	12
Table 8. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program.....	13
Table 9. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Benefits.....	14
Table 10. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Costs.....	16
Table 11. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Illinois Total Resource Cost Test.....	17
Table 12. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test.....	17
Table 13. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Benefits	19
Table 14. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Costs.....	21
Table 15. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Illinois Total Resource Cost Test.....	22
Table 16. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test.....	23
Table 17. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Benefits.....	24
Table 18. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Costs	24
Table 19. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Illinois Total Resource Cost Test	24
Table 20. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test.....	24
Table 21. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Benefits.....	25
Table 22. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Costs	25
Table 23. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Illinois Total Resource Cost Test	26
Table 24. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test.....	26

1. Executive Summary

This report presents the results of cost-effectiveness testing conducted for Ameren Illinois Company (AIC)'s portfolio of energy efficiency programs implemented during 2021.

1.1 Background

Illinois state law directs utilities to operate cost-effective energy efficiency programs, and to demonstrate that their energy efficiency portfolios are cost-effective using the Illinois Total Resource Cost (TRC) test.¹ In accordance with law, relevant Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) orders, and policy developed by the Illinois Stakeholder Advisory Group (SAG), Opinion Dynamics conducted cost-effectiveness testing for AIC's 2021 portfolio of energy efficiency programs. Cost-effectiveness testing for the Illinois TRC presented in this report aligns with national standard practice, as well as directives presented in the Illinois Energy Efficiency Policy Manual Version 1.1,² and incorporates information from AIC program tracking data, Opinion Dynamics' 2021 evaluations of AIC's portfolio, and supporting information from the Illinois TRM (IL-TRM).

1.2 2021 Cost-Effectiveness Results

Opinion Dynamics used two separate tests to establish benefit-cost ratios for AIC's 2021 portfolio: the Illinois TRC test and the Program Administrator Cost (PAC) test. The tests are similar in most respects but consider slightly different benefits and costs in determining a benefit-cost ratio.

Illinois state legislation directs that cost-effectiveness testing for investment in energy efficiency or demand response should be conducted using the Illinois TRC test. The Illinois TRC considers the net present value of the total benefits of energy efficiency programs as compared to the total costs of energy efficiency programs. The Illinois TRC takes a broad perspective, considering the net benefits that accrue to utilities, program participants, and society from operation of the programs, and uses a societal discount rate to account for the time value of money.

Additionally, Illinois stakeholders have requested that cost-effectiveness testing also use the PAC test to provide additional context for directing future energy efficiency investments. The PAC analyzes the costs and benefits of energy efficiency investment from the perspective of AIC and does not consider benefits or costs that accrue to other entities in energy efficiency programs.

We report cost-effectiveness results separately for AIC's 2021 Residential and Business Programs and for AIC's 2021 Voltage Optimization Program. The programs are funded through separate mechanisms and track spending separately, and therefore separate cost-effectiveness results were deemed appropriate by the evaluation team. For clarity, throughout this report, when we refer to "AIC's 2021 energy efficiency portfolio," we are referencing AIC's 2021 portfolio less Voltage Optimization.³

¹ 220 ILCS 5/8-103B (Section 8-103B) and 220 ILCS 5/8-104 (Section 8-104)

² Broadly speaking, Version 1.1 of the Policy Manual was in effect during these evaluations. However, a number of individual policies from Version 2.0 of the Policy Manual are also in effect during this evaluation; those individual policies (e.g., Section 11.1) are applied in this evaluation as well.

³ We note that this terminology is not exactly accurate; the Illinois Policy Manual defines voltage optimization as energy efficiency. Nevertheless, we use this terminology for convenience.

Overall, AIC’s 2021 energy efficiency portfolio was cost-effective as defined by the Illinois TRC test and the PAC test. Table 1 provides the Illinois TRC and PAC test benefit-cost ratios, calculated for the energy efficiency portfolio, the Residential and Business Programs, and the initiatives and channels that compose them.

Table 1. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio

Program	Initiative	Channel	Illinois TRC Benefit-Cost Ratio	PAC Benefit-Cost Ratio
Residential	Retail Products	Retail Products	7.54	4.46
		Retail Products – Income Qualified	7.49	4.30
	Income Qualified	Single Family	1.02	0.88
		CAA	0.48	0.53
		Multifamily	4.18	1.41
		Smart Savers	4.07	2.77
	Public Housing	Public Housing	0.99	0.40
	Multifamily	Multifamily	1.77	1.00
	Home Efficiency	Market Rate	0.48	0.51
	Midstream HVAC	Midstream HVAC	2.29	2.22
	Appliance Recycling	Appliance Recycling ^a	1.58	0.97
	Direct Distribution	School Kits	4.58	1.71
		Community Kits	6.78	4.83
	Efficient Choice Tool	Efficient Choice Tool	1.63	5.86
Market Transformation	Market Transformation	N/A ^b	N/A ^b	
Residential Program Total^c			2.94	2.13
Business	Standard ^d		4.03	4.09
	Custom		2.31	3.50
	Retro-Commissioning ^e		2.08	1.63
	Streetlighting		5.75	13.89
	Building Operator Certification		2.68	1.78
Business Program Total			3.61	4.14
2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio			3.04	2.80
2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio (not including IQ)^f			3.26	3.17

^a Includes Appliance Recycling Kits.

^b Market Transformation offerings did not produce quantifiable benefits in 2021.

^c The Residential Program benefit-cost ratios also include non-participant spillover benefits.

^d Includes Standard Core, Small Business Direct Install, Instant Incentives, and Online Store.

^e Includes Virtual Commissioning.

^f IQ includes the Income Qualified Initiative, the Public Housing Initiative, the Income Qualified channel of the Retail Products Initiative, and the Community Kits channel of the Direct Distribution Initiative.

AIC’s 2021 Voltage Optimization Program was also cost-effective as defined by the Illinois TRC test and the PAC test. Table 2 provides the Illinois TRC and PAC test benefit-cost ratios calculated for the Program.

Table 2. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program

Program	Illinois TRC Benefit-Cost Ratio	PAC Benefit-Cost Ratio
Voltage Optimization	4.54	3.47

2. Background

Opinion Dynamics analyzed the cost-effectiveness of Ameren Illinois Company (AIC)'s 2021 energy efficiency portfolio and Voltage Optimization Program using the Illinois Total Resource Cost (TRC) test and the Program Administrator Cost (PAC) test. Illinois state legislation directs that cost-effectiveness testing for investment in energy efficiency or demand response should be conducted using the Illinois TRC test. Additionally, Illinois stakeholders have requested that cost-effectiveness testing also use the PAC test to provide additional context for directing future energy efficiency investments. The combination of the TRC and PAC test values provides useful context to direct future investments.

As defined by Illinois state law (220 ILCS 5/8-103B [Section 8-103B]) and presented in the Illinois Energy Efficiency Policy Manual Version 1.1 (the Illinois Policy Manual), the definition of the Illinois TRC test for electric energy efficiency is as follows:

“Total resource cost test” or “TRC test” means a standard that is met if, for an investment in energy efficiency or demand-response measures, the benefit-cost ratio is greater than one. The benefit-cost ratio is the ratio of the net present value of the total benefits of the program to the net present value of the total costs as calculated over the lifetime of the measures. A total resource cost test compares the sum of avoided electric utility costs, representing the benefits that accrue to the system and the participant in the delivery of those efficiency measures and including avoided costs associated with reduced use of natural gas or other fuels, avoided costs associated with reduced water consumption, and avoided costs associated with reduced operation and maintenance costs, as well as other quantifiable societal benefits, to the sum of all incremental costs of end-use measures that are implemented due to the program (including both utility and participant contributions), plus costs to administer, deliver, and evaluate each demand-side program, to quantify the net savings obtained by substituting the demand-side program for supply resources. In calculating avoided costs of power and energy that an electric utility would otherwise have had to acquire, reasonable estimates shall be included of financial costs likely to be imposed by future regulations and legislation on emissions of greenhouse gases. In discounting future societal costs and benefits for the purpose of calculating net present values, a societal discount rate based on actual, long-term Treasury bond yields should be used. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the TRC test shall not include or take into account a calculation of market price suppression effects or demand reduction induced price effects.⁴

Illinois state law (220 ILCS 5/8-104 [Section 8-104]) also defines the Illinois TRC for natural gas energy efficiency:

“Cost-effective” means that the measures satisfy the total resource cost test which, for purposes of this Section, means a standard that is met if, for an investment in energy efficiency, the benefit-cost ratio is greater than one. The benefit-cost ratio is the ratio of the net present value of the total benefits of the measures to the net present value of the total costs as calculated over the lifetime of the measures. The total resource cost test compares the sum of avoided natural gas utility costs, representing the benefits that accrue to the system and the participant in the delivery of those efficiency measures, as well as other quantifiable societal benefits, including avoided electric utility costs, to the sum of all incremental costs of end use measures (including both utility and participant contributions), plus costs to administer, deliver, and evaluate each demand-side measure, to quantify the net savings obtained by substituting demand-side measures for supply resources. In calculating avoided costs, reasonable estimates shall be included for financial costs likely to be imposed by future

⁴ 20 ILCS 3855/1-10.

regulation of emissions of greenhouse gases. The low-income programs described in item (4) of subsection (f) of this Section shall not be required to meet the total resource cost test.

As directed by state law, our analysis includes reasonable estimates of the avoided costs associated with the portfolio that relate to future regulation of greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, as directed by the legislation, we utilized a societal discount rate to calculate the future societal costs and benefits delivered by the programs.

It is valuable for readers to note that the Illinois TRC test exhibits differences from tests referred to as “TRC” conducted in other jurisdictions. In particular, the Illinois TRC’s directive to use a societal discount rate differs from the specification of the test in many other jurisdictions. The Illinois TRC also includes non-energy impacts, such as avoided operation and maintenance (O&M) costs, avoided water costs, and avoided costs associated with greenhouse gas emissions.

3. Cost-Effectiveness Evaluation Methods

Opinion Dynamics used program data provided by AIC along with the 2021 impact evaluation results to develop the cost-effectiveness analyses at the measure level, using a proprietary Opinion Dynamics tool. These results were then rolled up to produce Illinois TRC and PAC benefit-cost ratios at the channel, initiative, program, and energy efficiency portfolio level. A detailed summary of the benefits and costs associated with each channel/initiative and the broader energy efficiency portfolio is provided in the appendices to this report.

Illinois state law requires AIC’s portfolio to be cost-effective at the portfolio level (not including income qualified initiatives) but individual programs, initiatives, channels, or measures are not required to be cost-effective. Nevertheless, our analysis provides program-, initiative-, and channel-level benefit-cost ratios where possible to provide further insight for program planning. In addition, our analysis complies with all Illinois-specific guidance, including the Illinois TRC provisions and definitions of costs included in the Illinois Policy Manual. Table 3 provides high-level detail on the inputs used in the cost-effectiveness analysis, as well as the sources of these inputs.

Table 3. Inputs and Sources for Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Category	Input	Source
Program-specific inputs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Net electric energy savings (including heating penalties and not including secondary savings from water supply and wastewater treatment)^{a,b} ▪ Net electric demand savings^a ▪ Net natural gas energy savings (including heating penalties)^a ▪ Measure counts 	Opinion Dynamics evaluation of the 2021 AIC portfolio
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Incremental measure costs ▪ Operations and maintenance costs ▪ Water savings (gallons) 	Opinion Dynamics analysis using IL-TRM V9.0
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Incentive costs ▪ Non-incentive costs 	AIC
Portfolio inputs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Portfolio administrative, Breakthrough Equipment and Devices, marketing, and evaluation, measurement, and verification costs 	AIC
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Net electric energy savings (including heating penalties and secondary savings) from residential nonparticipant spillover (NPSO) ▪ Net electric demand savings from residential NPSO ▪ Net natural gas energy savings (including heating penalties) from residential NPSO 	Opinion Dynamics evaluation of the 2021 AIC portfolio
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Avoided costs of electric production ▪ Avoided costs of electric capacity ▪ Avoided costs of natural gas production ▪ Avoided costs of water ▪ Avoided costs of greenhouse gas emissions ▪ Line losses ▪ Discount rate 	AIC

^a All net savings include temporal elements (including measure lives, baseline shifts, etc.) per the Illinois persisting savings framework.

^b Secondary savings from water supply and wastewater treatment are not included in the Illinois TRC because monetized benefits from water savings inherently include these benefits.

To assess cost-effectiveness, the team began with a valuation of each program’s and the portfolio’s net total benefits and costs, discussed in more detail in Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

3.1 Portfolio Benefits Considered

As directed in Illinois state law, our analysis included benefits associated with the 2021 AIC portfolio. These benefits are made up of a number of avoided costs, which are costs no longer incurred due to the energy efficiency programs under evaluation. Our analysis included avoided costs as defined in Table 4.

Table 4. Portfolio Benefits Considered

Benefit	Definition	Included In	
		Illinois TRC	PAC
Avoided cost of electric energy (electric production)	Dollars per net kWh saved	✓	✓
Avoided cost of demand for electricity (electric capacity)	Dollars per net kW saved	✓	✓
Avoided cost of natural gas energy (gas production)	Dollars per net therm saved	✓	✓
Avoided line losses (transmission and distribution [T&D] costs)	Percentage of energy lost during T&D applied to net savings	✓	✓
Avoided O&M costs	Net dollars saved	✓	
Avoided cost of water	Dollars per net gallon of water saved	✓	
Avoided costs of greenhouse gas emissions	Dollars per net kWh saved	✓	

Opinion Dynamics developed estimates of units of energy and water saved over time, as well as dollar estimates of avoided O&M costs. AIC provided avoided cost schedules, line loss factors, and a societal discount rate assumption, which were used to convert units of energy and water saved over time to a net present value (NPV) of total avoided costs in dollars.⁵

All benefits listed above are included in the Illinois TRC test. The avoided cost of water and avoided O&M costs are participant benefits only and are excluded from calculation of the PAC test. Avoided costs of greenhouse gas emissions are a societal benefit explicitly defined for consideration in the Illinois TRC and are also excluded from calculation of the PAC test.

⁵ The assumptions used within this report align with the assumptions AIC used in their 2018-2021 Energy Efficiency Plan filing, except the discount rate which we updated to match the value presented in the IL-TRM V9.0, which is required to be used by the Illinois Policy Manual V2.0. Additionally, Appendix B and Appendix D present the results of the analysis using the avoided cost, line loss, and discount rate assumptions used in AIC’s 2022-2025 filing, per a request from ICC Staff.

3.2 Portfolio Costs Considered

Our analysis also considered costs associated with the operation of the portfolio. The costs considered fall into four categories as defined in Table 5, and are in alignment with cost definitions from the Illinois Policy Manual.

Table 5. Portfolio Costs Considered

Cost	Definition	Included In	
		Illinois TRC	PAC
Net incremental measure costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incremental expenses associated with the installation of energy efficiency measures, including both customer- and utility- side costs For cost-effectiveness analysis, net-to-gross ratios (NTGRs) are applied to incremental costs to ensure that only net incremental costs are considered in the analysis 	✓	✓ ^a
Administrative costs associated with individual initiatives	AIC incurs administrative costs to operate energy efficiency programs; this category includes non-incentive costs associated with operation of individual initiatives	✓	✓
Administrative costs associated with the portfolio	AIC incurs administrative costs to operate energy efficiency programs; this category includes non-incentive costs associated with operation of the portfolio overall, including marketing and education, Breakthrough Equipment and Devices (BED), and evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V)	✓	✓
Incentive costs	Financial incentives paid to customers and incentives paid to third parties (as defined by the Illinois Policy Manual)		✓

^a Incremental measure costs are not typically included in the PAC test. However, the ongoing O&M costs associated with the Voltage Optimization Program are considered to be the incremental costs. Since these costs are incurred by the utility, we include them in the PAC.

All costs listed above are included in the PAC test. Incentive costs are not included in calculation of the Illinois TRC test to prevent double counting.⁶

3.2.1 Incremental Costs

As defined in the Illinois Policy Manual, “incremental costs” are the difference between the cost of the efficient measure and the cost of the most relevant baseline measure that would have been installed in the absence of an energy efficiency program. The Illinois Policy Manual directs those conducting cost-effectiveness testing to consider installation costs and O&M costs in calculation of incremental costs if there is a difference between the baseline and efficient measures. In accordance with further Policy Manual guidance to consider avoided O&M costs as a benefit in some cases, however, we do not include avoided O&M costs in incremental costs as part of this analysis but break them out separately for consideration.

Opinion Dynamics generally used the IL-TRM to define gross incremental costs in the 2021 cost-effectiveness analysis. In some cases, prescriptive incremental costs are not provided in the IL-TRM or the IL-TRM recommends using actual installation costs (e.g., retrofit measures where the assumed baseline expenditure is \$0). In those cases, we sourced measure cost information from the program tracking database.

⁶ Illinois Policy Manual for Energy Efficiency Version 1.1, Page 25, footnote 46.

As directed by the Illinois Policy Manual, we then applied net-to-gross ratios (NTGRs) to ensure that only net incremental costs were considered in our analysis. Table 6 provides additional detail on the source of incremental costs used in our analysis by initiative

Table 6. Incremental Cost Source Detail

Program	Initiative	Incremental Cost Source
Residential Program	Retail Products	Measure costs or measure cost assumptions were sourced from a combination of the IL-TRM V9.0 and program tracking data.
	Income Qualified	Measure costs for most measures were sourced from the program tracking data. In cases where using IL-TRM assumptions was necessary (e.g., early replacements), we used cost assumptions from IL-TRM V9.0.
	Public Housing	Measure costs were sourced from the program tracking data.
	Home Efficiency	Measure costs or measure cost assumptions were sourced from a combination of the IL-TRM V9.0 and program tracking data.
	Midstream HVAC	Measure costs or measure cost assumptions were sourced from a combination of the IL-TRM V9.0 and program tracking data.
	Appliance Recycling	Measure costs or measure cost assumptions were sourced from the IL-TRM V9.0.
	Multifamily	Measure costs for most measures were sourced from the program tracking data. In cases where using TRM assumptions was necessary (e.g., early replacements) we used cost assumptions from IL-TRM V9.0.
	Direct Distribution	Measure costs were sourced from the program tracking data.
	Efficient Choice Tool	Measure cost assumptions were sourced from the IL-TRM V9.0.
Business Program	Standard	For almost all measures, measure costs or measure cost guidance (e.g., incremental costs for some measures are defined as a function of measure size or another measure parameter) from the IL-TRM V9.0 were applied. For a handful of measures without prescriptive measure costs, we used the total project cost provided by AIC as the incremental cost.
	Custom	In most cases, the evaluation team considered projects to be retrofits and used the total project costs provided by AIC as the incremental cost. In some cases (e.g. New Construction Lighting), projects are considered incremental and AIC provided applicable incremental costs.
	Retro-Commissioning	The evaluation team considered projects to be retrofits and used the reported project costs provided by AIC (including the cost of retro-commissioning studies) as the incremental cost.
	Streetlighting	Per IL-TRM V9.0 guidance, we assumed that the total project cost was the incremental cost.
	Building Operator Certification	Measure costs or measure cost guidance (e.g., incremental costs for some measures are defined as a function of measure size or another measure parameter) from the IL-TRM V9.0 were applied, when available. Otherwise, the evaluation team used engineering judgement.
Voltage Optimization Program	AIC's ongoing O&M costs for Voltage Optimization over the life of the circuits are considered to be the incremental costs for the Program. To determine these costs for our analysis, we took AIC's annual O&M cost	

Program	Initiative	Incremental Cost Source
		estimates for circuits evaluated in 2021, extended them over the life of the circuits, and discounted costs to present value.

3.3 Other Assumptions

As directed by legislation, Opinion Dynamics used a societal discount rate to conduct the 2021 cost-effectiveness analysis. Opinion Dynamics used a nominal discount rate of 2.38% in the analysis (real discount rate of 0.46%) as presented in the IL-TRM V9.0 and required by the Illinois Policy Manual V2.0.

4. Results, Findings, and Recommendations

Overall, AIC's 2021 energy efficiency portfolio was cost-effective as defined by the Illinois TRC test and the PAC test. Table 7 provides the Illinois TRC and PAC test benefit-cost ratios, calculated for the energy efficiency portfolio, the Residential and Business Programs, and the initiatives and channels that compose them.

Table 7. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio

Program	Initiative	Channel	Illinois TRC Benefit-Cost Ratio	PAC Benefit-Cost Ratio
Residential	Retail Products	Retail Products	7.54	4.46
		Retail Products – Income Qualified	7.49	4.30
	Income Qualified	Single Family	1.02	0.88
		CAA	0.48	0.53
		Multifamily	4.18	1.41
		Smart Savers	4.07	2.77
	Public Housing	Public Housing	0.99	0.40
	Multifamily	Multifamily	1.77	1.00
	Home Efficiency	Market Rate	0.48	0.51
	Midstream HVAC	Midstream HVAC	2.29	2.22
	Appliance Recycling	Appliance Recycling ^a	1.58	0.97
	Direct Distribution	School Kits	4.58	1.71
		Community Kits	6.78	4.83
	Efficient Choice Tool	Efficient Choice Tool	1.63	5.86
Market Transformation	Market Transformation	N/A ^b	N/A ^b	
Residential Program Total^c			2.94	2.13
Business	Standard ^d		4.03	4.09
	Custom		2.31	3.50
	Retro-Commissioning ^e		2.08	1.63
	Streetlighting		5.75	13.89
	Building Operator Certification		2.68	1.78
Business Program Total			3.61	4.14
2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio			3.04	2.80
2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio (not including IQ)^f			3.26	3.17

^a Includes Appliance Recycling Kits.

^b Market Transformation offerings did not produce quantifiable benefits in 2021.

^c The Residential Program benefit-cost ratios also include non-participant spillover benefits.

^d Includes Standard Core, Small Business Direct Install, Instant Incentives, and Online Store.

^e Includes Virtual Commissioning.

^f IQ includes the Income Qualified Initiative, the Public Housing Initiative, the Income Qualified channel of the Retail Products Initiative, and the Community Kits channel of the Direct Distribution Initiative.

AIC’s 2021 Voltage Optimization Program was also cost-effective as defined by the Illinois TRC test and the PAC test. Table 8 provides the Illinois TRC and PAC test benefit-cost ratios calculated for the Program.

Table 8. Illinois TRC and PAC Test Results for the 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program

Program	Illinois TRC Benefit-Cost Ratio	PAC Benefit-Cost Ratio
Voltage Optimization	4.54	3.47

4.1 Key Findings

Key findings from the 2021 cost-effectiveness analysis are presented below.

- **Key Finding #1:** Overall, AIC’s 2021 energy efficiency portfolio was cost-effective based on the Illinois TRC test.
- **Key Finding #2:** The 2021 Residential, Business, and Voltage Optimization Programs were cost-effective based on the Illinois TRC.⁷
- **Key Finding #3:** Three initiatives/channels (Income Qualified – CAA, Public Housing, and Home Efficiency – Market Rate) were not cost-effective in 2021 based on the Illinois TRC.
 - The Income Qualified – CAA Initiative had an Illinois TRC benefit-cost ratio of 0.48.
 - The Public Housing Initiative had an Illinois TRC benefit-cost ratio of 0.99.
 - The Home Efficiency – Market Rate Initiative had an Illinois TRC benefit-cost ratio of 0.48.

⁷ Portfolio-level administrative costs were not considered as part of the benefit-cost ratios presented for individual programs or initiatives, and therefore, individual program and initiative benefit-cost ratios are inflated as compared to the portfolio-level benefit-cost ratio. Nevertheless, inclusion of these costs in either the Residential or Business Program analyses would not cause either program to become non-cost-effective.

Appendix A. Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 5

Detailed cost-effectiveness results for the AIC energy efficiency portfolio, aligning with the SAG template for cost-effectiveness reporting and including initiative-level benefits, costs, and benefit-cost ratios, are provided in Table 9, Table 10, Table 11, and Table 12 below. The results are also attached as a spreadsheet.

Table 9. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Benefits

Program	Avoided Electric Production	Avoided Electric Capacity	Avoided Gas Production	Avoided Water Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	Avoided GHG Emissions
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
Residential Program	\$48,775,031	\$29,987,930	\$13,019,484	\$11,107,491	\$15,047,600	\$20,591,063
Retail Products	\$18,909,852	\$11,965,919	\$7,046,595	\$1,154,474	\$4,706,033	\$7,767,750
Retail Products - Income Qualified	\$10,558,726	\$4,445,289	-\$2,384,300	\$0	\$6,744,626	\$4,351,858
Income Qualified – Single Family	\$4,576,250	\$2,902,982	\$4,110,276	\$3,930,545	\$1,202,124	\$2,028,924
Income Qualified – CAA	\$450,732	\$564,764	\$644,586	\$54,371	\$65,630	\$235,513
Income Qualified – Multifamily	\$2,058,058	\$1,028,104	\$128,893	\$1,103,611	\$407,899	\$925,464
Income Qualified – Smart Savers	\$2,277,523	\$2,314,491	\$2,476,127	\$0	\$0	\$1,002,269
Public Housing	\$500,485	\$65,326	\$42,540	\$203,423	\$81,489	\$247,494
Multifamily	\$639,573	\$294,551	\$61,633	\$301,929	\$97,232	\$278,841
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$74,105	\$141,840	\$214,836	\$0	\$1,234	\$37,870
Midstream HVAC	\$1,837,875	\$3,202,884	\$276,004	\$0	\$0	\$952,420
Appliance Recycling	\$1,216,997	\$518,658	\$62,833	\$1,032,530	\$55,357	\$440,982
School Kits	\$1,129,278	\$602,797	\$408,079	\$1,585,866	\$203,388	\$473,367
Community Kits	\$3,812,052	\$1,512,658	-\$464,053	\$1,637,265	\$1,464,728	\$1,535,962
Efficient Choice Tool	\$260,300	\$124,975	\$158,190	\$103,476	\$17,861	\$124,781
Market Transformation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Non-Participant Spillover	\$473,223	\$302,695	\$237,244	\$0	\$0	\$187,568
Business Program	\$145,631,315	\$81,847,030	\$557,450	\$319,786	\$35,651,553	\$71,505,341
Standard	\$97,075,329	\$64,677,879	-\$7,399,618	\$319,786	\$31,739,732	\$46,089,015
Custom	\$25,568,969	\$17,169,151	\$7,842,536	\$0	\$0	\$12,782,820
Retro-Commissioning	\$2,010,817	\$0	\$114,531	\$0	\$0	\$735,607
Streetlighting	\$20,943,636	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,911,821	\$11,881,488
Building Operator Certification	\$32,563	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,411
Portfolio Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BED	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Program	Avoided Electric Production	Avoided Electric Capacity	Avoided Gas Production	Avoided Water Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	Avoided GHG Emissions
<i>EM&V</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>Marketing & Education</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>Administrative Expenses</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>Program Implementation</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$194,406,346	\$111,834,961	\$13,576,934	\$11,427,277	\$50,699,153	\$92,096,404

Table 10. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Costs

Program	Non-Incentive Costs (Electric)	Non-Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incentive Costs (Electric)	Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incremental Costs (Net)
(a)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)
Residential Program	\$16,619,142	\$2,497,217	\$17,703,469	\$6,206,311	\$27,939,774
Retail Products	\$2,374,750	\$339,308	\$4,271,849	\$1,508,071	\$4,125,054
Retail Products - Income Qualified	\$792,099	\$101,488	\$1,922,065	\$119,154	\$2,271,792
Income Qualified - Single Family	\$4,485,563	\$857,671	\$4,215,028	\$3,617,864	\$13,078,568
Income Qualified - CAA	\$1,402,530	\$250,662	\$1,004,643	\$463,424	\$2,579,391
Income Qualified - Multifamily	\$823,311	\$129,082	\$1,305,083	\$22,773	\$400,179
Income Qualified - Smart Savers	\$783,014	\$150,363	\$1,580,688	\$39,804	\$1,047,567
Public Housing	\$828,529	\$149,530	\$542,440	\$14,777	\$171,426
Multifamily	\$635,284	\$128,973	\$222,809	\$13,362	\$179,227
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$547,990	\$173,122	\$53,675	\$67,746	\$252,440
Midstream HVAC	\$1,328,623	\$32,732	\$1,008,971	\$29,564	\$1,373,729
Appliance Recycling	\$1,441,311	\$5,231	\$391,573	\$13,967	\$662,020
School Kits	\$330,426	\$76,259	\$620,700	\$224,940	\$554,325
Community Kits	\$502,100	\$46,859	\$402,752	\$54,922	\$851,442
Efficient Choice Tool	\$79,808	\$12,992	\$0	\$0	\$392,615
Market Transformation	\$263,805	\$42,945	\$161,194	\$15,942	\$0
Non-Participant Spillover	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Business Program	\$13,008,689	\$1,772,188	\$37,643,483	\$2,637,968	\$78,134,171
Standard	\$8,095,202	\$652,959	\$28,205,206	\$810,118	\$48,977,578
Custom	\$3,808,440	\$781,717	\$8,092,581	\$1,783,279	\$22,823,719
Retro-Commissioning	\$871,423	\$334,953	\$55,246	\$44,571	\$166,906
Streetlighting	\$217,902	\$0	\$1,290,451	\$0	\$6,165,969
Building Operator Certification	\$15,722	\$2,559	\$0	\$0	\$0
Portfolio Costs	\$14,305,998	\$1,851,275	\$0	\$0	\$0
BED	\$2,716,337	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
EM&V	\$2,783,954	\$484,295	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marketing & Education	\$1,779,688	\$207,055	\$0	\$0	\$0
Administrative Expenses	\$4,794,769	\$785,251	\$0	\$0	\$0
Program Implementation	\$2,231,251	\$374,674	\$0	\$0	\$0
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$43,933,829	\$6,120,681	\$55,346,952	\$8,844,279	\$106,073,946

Table 11. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Illinois Total Resource Cost Test

Program	IL TRC Benefits	IL TRC Costs	IL TRC Test Net Benefits	IL TRC Test Ratio
(a)	(m) =(b+c+d+e+f+g)	(n) =(h+i+l)	(o)=(m-n)	(p)=(m/n)
Residential Program	\$138,528,599	\$47,056,133	\$91,472,466	2.94
Retail Products	\$51,550,622	\$6,839,111	\$44,711,510	7.54
Retail Products - Income Qualified	\$23,716,199	\$3,165,378	\$20,550,821	7.49
Income Qualified - Single Family	\$18,751,102	\$18,421,802	\$329,301	1.02
Income Qualified - CAA	\$2,015,596	\$4,232,584	-\$2,216,988	0.48
Income Qualified - Multifamily	\$5,652,029	\$1,352,571	\$4,299,458	4.18
Income Qualified - Smart Savers	\$8,070,411	\$1,980,944	\$6,089,467	4.07
Public Housing	\$1,140,758	\$1,149,486	-\$8,728	0.99
Multifamily	\$1,673,758	\$943,484	\$730,275	1.77
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$469,885	\$973,552	-\$503,667	0.48
Midstream HVAC	\$6,269,183	\$2,735,084	\$3,534,099	2.29
Appliance Recycling	\$3,327,356	\$2,108,561	\$1,218,794	1.58
School Kits	\$4,402,775	\$961,010	\$3,441,765	4.58
Community Kits	\$9,498,612	\$1,400,402	\$8,098,210	6.78
Efficient Choice Tool	\$789,583	\$485,415	\$304,169	1.63
Market Transformation	\$0	\$306,750	-\$306,750	0.00
Non-Participant Spillover	\$1,200,730	\$0	\$1,200,730	N/A
Business Program	\$335,512,474	\$92,915,049	\$242,597,426	3.61
Standard	\$232,502,124	\$57,725,739	\$174,776,385	4.03
Custom	\$63,363,476	\$27,413,876	\$35,949,601	2.31
Retro-Commissioning	\$2,860,956	\$1,373,282	\$1,487,674	2.08
Streetlighting	\$36,736,945	\$6,383,871	\$30,353,074	5.75
Building Operator Certification	\$48,974	\$18,281	\$30,693	2.68
Portfolio Costs	\$0	\$16,157,274	-\$16,157,274	N/A
BED	\$0	\$2,716,337	-\$2,716,337	N/A
EM&V	\$0	\$3,268,248	-\$3,268,248	N/A
Marketing & Education	\$0	\$1,986,743	-\$1,986,743	N/A
Administrative Expenses	\$0	\$5,580,020	-\$5,580,020	N/A
Program Implementation	\$0	\$2,605,925	-\$2,605,925	N/A
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$474,041,073	\$156,128,456	\$317,912,618	3.04
AIC 2021 Portfolio (not including IQ)	\$405,196,367	\$124,425,289	\$280,771,078	3.26

Table 12. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test

Program	PAC Benefits	PAC Costs	PAC Test Net Benefits	PAC Test Ratio
(a)	(q) =(b+c+d)	(r) =(h+i+j+k)	(s)=(q-r)	(t)=(q/r)
Residential Program	\$91,782,445	\$43,026,139	\$48,756,306	2.13
Retail Products	\$37,922,365	\$8,493,977	\$29,428,388	4.46
Retail Products - Income Qualified	\$12,619,714	\$2,934,806	\$9,684,909	4.30
Income Qualified - Single Family	\$11,589,508	\$13,176,126	-\$1,586,618	0.88
Income Qualified - CAA	\$1,660,082	\$3,121,260	-\$1,461,178	0.53
Income Qualified - Multifamily	\$3,215,055	\$2,280,248	\$934,807	1.41
Income Qualified - Smart Savers	\$7,068,141	\$2,553,869	\$4,514,272	2.77
Public Housing	\$608,351	\$1,535,277	-\$926,926	0.40
Multifamily	\$995,757	\$1,000,427	-\$4,671	1.00
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$430,781	\$842,532	-\$411,751	0.51
Midstream HVAC	\$5,316,763	\$2,399,890	\$2,916,873	2.22
Appliance Recycling	\$1,798,488	\$1,852,081	-\$53,593	0.97
School Kits	\$2,140,154	\$1,252,325	\$887,829	1.71
Community Kits	\$4,860,657	\$1,006,634	\$3,854,023	4.83
Efficient Choice Tool	\$543,465	\$92,800	\$450,665	5.86
Market Transformation	\$0	\$483,886	-\$483,886	0.00
Non-Participant Spillover	\$1,013,162	\$0	\$1,013,162	N/A
Business Program	\$228,035,795	\$55,062,328	\$172,973,467	4.14
Standard	\$154,353,591	\$37,763,485	\$116,590,106	4.09
Custom	\$50,580,656	\$14,466,017	\$36,114,639	3.50
Retro-Commissioning	\$2,125,349	\$1,306,192	\$819,157	1.63
Streetlighting	\$20,943,636	\$1,508,353	\$19,435,283	13.89
Building Operator Certification	\$32,563	\$18,281	\$14,282	1.78
Portfolio Costs	\$0	\$16,157,274	-\$16,157,274	N/A
BED	\$0	\$2,716,337	-\$2,716,337	N/A
EM&V	\$0	\$3,268,248	-\$3,268,248	N/A
Marketing & Education	\$0	\$1,986,743	-\$1,986,743	N/A
Administrative Expenses	\$0	\$5,580,020	-\$5,580,020	N/A
Program Implementation	\$0	\$2,605,925	-\$2,605,925	N/A
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$319,818,240	\$114,245,741	\$205,572,499	2.80
AIC 2021 Portfolio (not including IQ)	\$278,196,731	\$87,637,521	\$190,559,210	3.17

Appendix B. Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 6

Per a request from ICC Staff, we also include detailed cost-effectiveness results for the AIC energy efficiency portfolio using the avoided cost and line loss assumptions from AIC’s 2022–2025 plan filing. ICC Staff’s position is that using these assumptions best aligns with the Illinois Policy Manual’s directive to use best available information in cost-effectiveness analysis. Notable differences from the results provided in the body of this report and Appendix A include presenting avoided T&D benefits as a discrete benefit stream,⁸ including avoided GHG emissions from natural gas savings, and the addition of societal NEIs associated with the portfolio. We present initiative-level benefits, costs, and benefit-cost ratios in Table 13, Table 14, Table 15, and Table 16 below. The results are also attached as a spreadsheet.

Table 13. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Benefits

Program	Avoided Electric Production	Avoided Electric Capacity	Avoided Gas Production	Avoided Water Costs	Avoided T&D Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	Societal NEIs	Avoided GHG Emissions
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Residential Program	\$29,201,336	\$18,301,820	\$8,181,038	\$10,702,073	\$3,831,697	\$15,047,600	\$5,072,784	\$27,247,733
Retail Products	\$11,329,790	\$7,296,565	\$4,460,098	\$1,094,207	\$1,554,230	\$4,706,033	\$2,044,142	\$11,192,661
Retail Products – Income Qualified	\$6,224,654	\$2,719,305	-\$1,526,499	\$0	\$570,919	\$6,744,626	\$918,521	\$3,532,723
Income Qualified – Single Family	\$2,760,896	\$1,772,766	\$2,564,589	\$3,795,382	\$365,439	\$1,202,124	\$567,846	\$3,993,722
Income Qualified – CAA	\$288,055	\$344,472	\$398,864	\$52,496	\$67,432	\$65,630	\$62,857	\$544,817
Income Qualified – Multifamily	\$1,255,613	\$628,353	\$79,302	\$1,065,550	\$130,251	\$407,899	\$201,274	\$1,010,063
Income Qualified – Smart Savers	\$1,381,525	\$1,414,271	\$1,576,718	\$0	\$290,669	\$0	\$309,906	\$2,102,730
Public Housing	\$316,085	\$39,799	\$26,346	\$190,937	\$9,990	\$81,489	\$48,251	\$270,145
Multifamily	\$387,621	\$179,864	\$38,928	\$291,516	\$37,604	\$97,232	\$63,868	\$315,429
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$47,171	\$86,558	\$134,724	\$0	\$17,250	\$1,234	\$14,302	\$136,285
Midstream HVAC	\$1,178,670	\$1,953,096	\$175,919	\$0	\$382,961	\$0	\$181,641	\$1,073,776
Appliance Recycling	\$686,593	\$316,259	\$40,002	\$996,920	\$70,867	\$55,357	\$113,208	\$493,705
School Kits	\$675,504	\$364,125	\$256,850	\$1,536,049	\$83,626	\$203,388	\$119,266	\$678,971

⁸ Avoided T&D costs are captured in AIC’s existing avoided cost assumptions as well but are included in the avoided electric capacity benefit stream rather than being broken out separately.

Program	Avoided Electric Production	Avoided Electric Capacity	Avoided Gas Production	Avoided Water Costs	Avoided T&D Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	Societal NEIs	Avoided GHG Emissions
Community Kits	\$2,227,471	\$925,536	-\$297,456	\$1,580,913	\$195,553	\$1,464,728	\$344,517	\$1,411,509
Efficient Choice Tool	\$162,638	\$76,297	\$100,355	\$98,103	\$15,572	\$17,861	\$30,361	\$196,058
Market Transformation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Non-Participant Spillover	\$279,049	\$184,554	\$152,299	\$0	\$39,333	\$0	\$52,824	\$295,139
Business Program	\$91,711,913	\$50,540,480	\$277,564	\$314,213	\$10,174,875	\$35,651,553	\$13,710,982	\$72,739,374
Standard	\$60,578,897	\$39,937,355	-\$4,696,727	\$314,213	\$8,065,264	\$31,739,732	\$8,994,890	\$43,699,440
Custom	\$16,170,422	\$10,603,125	\$4,900,639	\$0	\$2,109,611	\$0	\$2,676,455	\$16,528,928
Retro-Commissioning	\$1,126,181	\$0	\$73,652	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$187,754	\$823,137
Streetlighting	\$13,815,692	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,911,821	\$1,848,792	\$11,671,297
Building Operator Certification	\$20,722	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,092	\$16,571
Portfolio Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
BED	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
EM&V	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marketing & Education	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Administrative Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Program Implementation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$120,913,249	\$68,842,300	\$8,458,602	\$11,016,287	\$14,006,572	\$50,699,153	\$18,783,767	\$99,987,107

Table 14. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Cost-Effectiveness Costs

Program	Non-Incentive Costs (Electric)	Non-Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incentive Costs (Electric)	Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incremental Costs (Net)
(a)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)
Residential Program	\$16,619,142	\$2,497,217	\$17,703,469	\$6,206,311	\$27,939,774
Retail Products	\$2,374,750	\$339,308	\$4,271,849	\$1,508,071	\$4,125,054
Retail Products – Income Qualified	\$792,099	\$101,488	\$1,922,065	\$119,154	\$2,271,792
Income Qualified - Single Family	\$4,485,563	\$857,671	\$4,215,028	\$3,617,864	\$13,078,568
Income Qualified - CAA	\$1,402,530	\$250,662	\$1,004,643	\$463,424	\$2,579,391
Income Qualified - Multifamily	\$823,311	\$129,082	\$1,305,083	\$22,773	\$400,179
Income Qualified - Smart Savers	\$783,014	\$150,363	\$1,580,688	\$39,804	\$1,047,567
Public Housing	\$828,529	\$149,530	\$542,440	\$14,777	\$171,426
Multifamily	\$635,284	\$128,973	\$222,809	\$13,362	\$179,227
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$547,990	\$173,122	\$53,675	\$67,746	\$252,440
Midstream HVAC	\$1,328,623	\$32,732	\$1,008,971	\$29,564	\$1,373,729
Appliance Recycling	\$1,441,311	\$5,231	\$391,573	\$13,967	\$662,020
School Kits	\$330,426	\$76,259	\$620,700	\$224,940	\$554,325
Community Kits	\$502,100	\$46,859	\$402,752	\$54,922	\$851,442
Efficient Choice Tool	\$79,808	\$12,992	\$0	\$0	\$392,615
Market Transformation	\$263,805	\$42,945	\$161,194	\$15,942	\$0
Non-Participant Spillover	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Business Program	\$13,008,689	\$1,772,188	\$37,643,483	\$2,637,968	\$78,134,171
Standard	\$8,095,202	\$652,959	\$28,205,206	\$810,118	\$48,977,578
Custom	\$3,808,440	\$781,717	\$8,092,581	\$1,783,279	\$22,823,719
Retro-Commissioning	\$871,423	\$334,953	\$55,246	\$44,571	\$166,906
Streetlighting	\$217,902	\$0	\$1,290,451	\$0	\$6,165,969
Building Operator Certification	\$15,722	\$2,559	\$0	\$0	\$0
Portfolio Costs	\$14,305,998	\$1,851,275	\$0	\$0	\$0
BED	\$2,716,337	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
EM&V	\$2,783,954	\$484,295	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marketing & Education	\$1,779,688	\$207,055	\$0	\$0	\$0
Administrative Expenses	\$4,794,769	\$785,251	\$0	\$0	\$0
Program Implementation	\$2,231,251	\$374,674	\$0	\$0	\$0
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$43,933,829	\$6,120,681	\$55,346,952	\$8,844,279	\$106,073,946

Table 15. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Illinois Total Resource Cost Test

Program	IL TRC Benefits	IL TRC Costs	IL TRC Test Net Benefits	IL TRC Test Ratio – with NEIs	IL TRC Test Ratio – without NEIs
(a)	(o) = (b+c+d+e+f+g+h+i)	(p) = (j+k+n)	(q) = (o-p)	(r) = (o/p)	(v) = [(o-h)/p]
Residential Program	\$117,586,082	\$47,056,133	\$70,529,949	2.50	2.39
Retail Products	\$43,677,726	\$6,839,111	\$36,838,615	6.39	6.09
Retail Products – Income Qualified	\$19,184,249	\$3,165,378	\$16,018,871	6.06	5.77
Income Qualified - Single Family	\$17,022,765	\$18,421,802	-\$1,399,037	0.92	0.89
Income Qualified - CAA	\$1,824,624	\$4,232,584	-\$2,407,960	0.43	0.42
Income Qualified - Multifamily	\$4,778,305	\$1,352,571	\$3,425,734	3.53	3.38
Income Qualified - Smart Savers	\$7,075,818	\$1,980,944	\$5,094,874	3.57	3.42
Public Housing	\$983,043	\$1,149,486	-\$166,443	0.86	0.81
Multifamily	\$1,412,061	\$943,484	\$468,577	1.50	1.43
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$437,524	\$973,552	-\$536,028	0.45	0.43
Midstream HVAC	\$4,946,063	\$2,735,084	\$2,210,979	1.81	1.74
Appliance Recycling	\$2,772,911	\$2,108,561	\$664,350	1.32	1.26
School Kits	\$3,917,779	\$961,010	\$2,956,769	4.08	3.95
Community Kits	\$7,852,771	\$1,400,402	\$6,452,370	5.61	5.36
Efficient Choice Tool	\$697,245	\$485,415	\$211,830	1.44	1.37
Market Transformation	\$0	\$306,750	-\$306,750	0.00	0.00
Non-Participant Spillover	\$1,003,198	\$0	\$1,003,198	N/A	N/A
Business Program	\$275,120,955	\$92,915,049	\$182,205,906	2.96	2.81
Standard	\$188,633,065	\$57,725,739	\$130,907,327	3.27	3.11
Custom	\$52,989,181	\$27,413,876	\$25,575,305	1.93	1.84
Retro-Commissioning	\$2,210,724	\$1,373,282	\$837,442	1.61	1.47
Streetlighting	\$31,247,601	\$6,383,871	\$24,863,730	4.89	4.61
Building Operator Certification	\$40,384	\$18,281	\$22,103	2.21	2.04
Portfolio Costs	\$0	\$16,157,274	-\$16,157,274	N/A	N/A
BED	\$0	\$2,716,337	-\$2,716,337	N/A	N/A
EM&V	\$0	\$3,268,248	-\$3,268,248	N/A	N/A
Marketing & Education	\$0	\$1,986,743	-\$1,986,743	N/A	N/A
Administrative Expenses	\$0	\$5,580,020	-\$5,580,020	N/A	N/A
Program Implementation	\$0	\$2,605,925	-\$2,605,925	N/A	N/A
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$392,707,037	\$156,128,456	\$236,578,581	2.52	2.39
AIC 2021 Portfolio (not including IQ)	\$333,985,462	\$124,425,289	\$209,560,174	2.68	2.55

Table 16. 2021 AIC Energy Efficiency Portfolio Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test

Program	PAC Benefits	PAC Costs	PAC Test Net Benefits	PAC Test Ratio
(a)	(w) =(b+c+d+f)	(x) =(j+k+l+m)	(y)=(w-x)	(z)=(w/x)
Residential Program	\$59,515,890.91	\$43,026,139.16	\$16,489,751.75	1.38
Retail Products	\$24,640,682.98	\$8,493,977.41	\$16,146,705.57	2.90
Income Qualified - Single Family	\$7,988,379.07	\$2,934,805.90	\$5,053,573.17	2.72
Income Qualified - CAA	\$7,463,689.99	\$13,176,126.35	-\$5,712,436.36	0.57
Income Qualified - Multifamily	\$1,098,823.40	\$3,121,259.50	-\$2,022,436.10	0.35
Income Qualified - Smart Savers	\$2,093,518.98	\$2,280,247.97	-\$186,728.99	0.92
Income Qualified – Retail Products	\$4,663,182.31	\$2,553,869.17	\$2,109,313.14	1.83
Public Housing	\$392,220.98	\$1,535,277.02	-\$1,143,056.05	0.26
Multifamily	\$644,015.66	\$1,000,427.50	-\$356,411.84	0.64
Home Efficiency – Market Rate	\$285,702.64	\$842,532.39	-\$556,829.75	0.34
Midstream HVAC	\$3,690,646.08	\$2,399,889.81	\$1,290,756.27	1.54
Appliance Recycling	\$1,113,721.13	\$1,852,081.47	-\$738,360.34	0.60
School Kits	\$1,380,105.16	\$1,252,324.76	\$127,780.40	1.10
Community Kits	\$3,051,103.95	\$1,006,633.91	\$2,044,470.03	3.03
Efficient Choice Tool	\$354,862.97	\$92,800.00	\$262,062.97	3.82
Market Transformation	\$0.00	\$483,886.00	-\$483,886.00	0.00
Non-Participant Spillover	\$655,235.63	\$0.00	\$655,235.63	N/A
Business Program	\$152,704,832.77	\$55,062,328.39	\$97,642,504.38	2.77
Standard	\$103,884,789.65	\$37,763,485.18	\$66,121,304.47	2.75
Custom	\$33,783,796.91	\$14,466,016.85	\$19,317,780.06	2.34
Retro-Commissioning	\$1,199,833.05	\$1,306,192.11	-\$106,359.06	0.92
Streetlighting	\$13,815,691.55	\$1,508,352.82	\$12,307,338.73	9.16
Building Operator Certification	\$20,721.62	\$18,281.44	\$2,440.18	1.13
Portfolio Costs	\$0.00	\$16,157,273.52	-\$16,157,273.52	N/A
BED	\$0.00	\$2,716,336.70	-\$2,716,336.70	N/A
EM&V	\$0.00	\$3,268,248.36	-\$3,268,248.36	N/A
Marketing & Education	\$0.00	\$1,986,743.31	-\$1,986,743.31	N/A
Administrative Expenses	\$0.00	\$5,580,020.17	-\$5,580,020.17	N/A
Program Implementation	\$0.00	\$2,605,924.98	-\$2,605,924.98	N/A
AIC 2021 Portfolio	\$212,220,724	\$114,245,741	\$97,974,983	1.86
AIC 2021 Portfolio (not including IQ)	\$185,469,805	\$87,637,521	\$97,832,284	2.12

Appendix C. Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 5

Detailed cost-effectiveness results for the Voltage Optimization Program, aligning with the SAG template for cost-effectiveness reporting and including program-level benefits, costs, and benefit-cost ratios, are provided in Table 17, Table 18, Table 19, and Table 20 below. The results are also attached as a spreadsheet.

Table 17. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Benefits

Program	Avoided Electric Production	Avoided Electric Capacity	Avoided Gas Production	Avoided Water Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	Avoided GHG Emissions
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
Voltage Optimization	\$65,700,125	\$42,045,353	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33,110,514

Table 18. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Costs

Program	Non-Incentive Costs (Electric) ^a	Non-Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incentive Costs (Electric)	Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incremental Costs (Net)
(a)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)
Voltage Optimization	\$21,407,601	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,633,468

^a Non-incentive costs include software costs, capital investment costs, and one-time installation and maintenance costs.

Table 19. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Illinois Total Resource Cost Test

Program	IL TRC Benefits	IL TRC Costs	IL TRC Test Net Benefits	IL TRC Test Ratio
(a)	(m) = (b+c+d+e+f+g)	(n) = (h+i+l)	(o) = (m-n)	(p) = (m/n)
Voltage Optimization	\$140,855,991	\$31,041,070	\$109,814,922	4.54

Table 20. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test

Program	PAC Benefits	PAC Costs	PAC Test Net Benefits	PAC Test Ratio
(a)	(q) = (b+c+d)	(r) = (h+i+j+k+l) ^a	(s) = (q-r)	(t) = (q/r)
Voltage Optimization	\$107,745,477	\$31,041,070	\$76,704,408	3.47

^a For the purposes of the PAC, the evaluation team adjusted the costs to include incremental measure costs because these costs are borne by the utility.

Appendix D. Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Tables – Plan 6

Per a request from ICC Staff, we also include detailed cost-effectiveness results for the Voltage Optimization Program using the avoided cost and line loss assumptions from AIC’s 2022–2025 plan filing. ICC Staff’s position is that using these assumptions best aligns with the Illinois Policy Manual’s directive to use best available information in cost-effectiveness analysis. Notable differences from the results provided in the body of this report and Appendix C include presenting avoided T&D benefits as a discrete benefit stream,⁹ and the addition of societal NEIs associated with the portfolio. We present program-level benefits, costs, and benefit-cost ratios in Table 21, Table 22, Table 23, and Table 24 below. The results are also attached as a spreadsheet.

Table 21. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Benefits

Program	Avoided Electric Production	Avoided Electric Capacity	Avoided Gas Production	Avoided Water Costs	Avoided T&D Costs	Avoided O&M Costs	Societal NEIs	Avoided GHG Emissions
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Voltage Optimization	\$41,808,245	\$25,958,057	\$0	\$0	\$5,150,554	\$0	\$6,237,507	\$33,434,193

Table 22. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Cost-Effectiveness Costs

Program	Non-Incentive Costs (Electric) ^a	Non-Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incentive Costs (Electric)	Incentive Costs (Gas)	Incremental Costs (Net)
(a)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)
Voltage Optimization	\$21,407,601	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,633,468

^a Non-incentive costs include software costs, capital investment costs, and one-time installation and maintenance costs.

⁹ Avoided T&D costs are captured in AIC’s existing avoided cost assumptions as well but are included in the avoided electric capacity benefit stream rather than being separately broken out.

Table 23. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Illinois Total Resource Cost Test

Program	IL TRC Benefits	IL TRC Costs	IL TRC Test Net Benefits	IL TRC Test Ratio - with NEIs	IL TRC Test Ratio - without NEIs
(a)	(o) = (b+c+d+e+f+g+h+i)	(p) = (j+k+n)	(q) = (o-p)	(r) = (o/p)	(v) = [(o-h)/p]
Voltage Optimization	\$ 112,588,555	\$31,041,070	\$ 81,547,486	3.63	3.43

Table 24. 2021 AIC Voltage Optimization Program Utility Cost Test/Program Administrator Cost Test

Program	PAC Benefits	PAC Costs	PAC Test Net Benefits	PAC Test Ratio
(a)	(w) = (b+c+d+f)	(x) = (j+k+l+m)	(y) = (w-x)	(z) = (w/x)
Voltage Optimization	\$72,916,856	\$31,041,070	\$41,875,786	2.35

Note: For the purposes of the PAC, the evaluation team adjusted the costs to include incremental measure costs because these costs are borne by the utility.

For more information, please contact:

Zach Ross
Director

617-301-4663 tel
617-497-7944 fax
zross@opiniondynamics.com

1000 Winter Street
Waltham, MA 02451



Opinion **Dynamics**

Boston | Headquarters

617 492 1400 tel
617 492 7944 fax
800 966 1254 toll free

1000 Winter Street
Waltham, MA 02451

San Francisco Bay

510 444 5050 tel
510 444 5222 fax

1 Kaiser Plaza
Suite 445
Oakland, CA 94612

San Diego

858 270 5010 tel
858 270 5211 fax

1200 Prospect Street
Suite #G-100
La Jolla, CA 92037

Portland

503 287 9136 tel
503-281-7375 fax

1500 NE Irving Street
Suite #370
Portland, OR 97232